

On the product Riemannian manifolds

M. Atçeken and S. Keleş

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the Riemannian curvature tensor and the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor of a product Riemannian manifold. We show that the Riemannian curvature tensor and the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor of the product Riemannian manifold can be written respectively as the sum of the Riemannian curvature tensor and the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor of each Riemannian manifold. Furthermore, making use of these results some theorems regarding the local symmetry, flatness and sectional curvature are given.

M.S.C. 2000: 53C50, 53C42.

Key words: product Riemannian manifold, curvature.

§1. Introduction

By S and R we denote respectively the Ricci tensor and the Riemannian curvature tensor of an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) . Then S and R are defined by

$$S(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^m g(R(e_i, X)Y, e_i),$$

and

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z,$$

where $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ are orthonormal basis vector fields in TM , $X, Y, Z \in TM$ and ∇ is the connection on M . We note that S is independent from the choice of basis in TM .

Let X and Y be two linearly independent vectors at a point $p \in M$ and $\gamma(X, Y)$ be the plane section spanned by X and Y . The sectional curvature $k(\gamma)$ for γ is defined by

$$k(\gamma) = \frac{g(R(X, Y)X, Y)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}.$$

It is easy to see that this $k(\gamma)$ is uniquely determined by the plane section γ and is independent of the choice of X and Y on M .

If $k(\gamma)$ is a constant for all plane sections γ in the tangent space $T_M(p)$ at p and for all points $p \in M$, then M is called a *space of constant curvature* or we say that it has constant sectional curvature ([1]).

Lemma 1. *Let M be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold ($m > 2$). If we have*

$$K(X, Y, Z, X) = 0$$

for any orthonormal vector fields X, Y, Z in M , then M has constant sectional curvature, where K is the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor of M [1].

Conversely, if M has constant sectional curvature c , then for all $X, Y, Z, W \in TM$

$$K(X, Y, Z, W) = c\{g(Z, Y)g(X, W) - g(Z, X)g(Y, W)\}.$$

If X, Y, Z are arbitrary orthonormal vector fields in M ,

$$K(X, Y, Z, X) = c\{g(Z, Y)g(X, X) - g(Z, X)g(Y, X)\} = 0,$$

where K and g are the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor and Riemannian metric of M , respectively. Furthermore, A Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature is said to be elliptic, hyperbolic or flat, according as the constant sectional curvature is positive, negative or zero, respectively. For a flat manifold the Riemannian curvature tensor is zero [5].

Definition. A Riemannian manifold is called a locally symmetric manifold if its Riemannian curvature tensor R is parallel with respect to ∇ , i.e., $\nabla R = 0$ [2].

Now, we can give the following

Proposition. *Let M be a totally umbilical submanifold of a Riemannian manifold \bar{M} which has constant sectional curvature c . Then M has also constant sectional curvature $c + \|H\|^2$, where H is the mean curvature vector field of M in \bar{M} [1].*

Let (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) be Riemannian manifolds, with dimensions n and m , respectively. $U \times V$ is the Riemannian product of U and V . We denote by P and Q the projection mappings of $T(U \times V)$ to TU and TV respectively, that is,

$$P : T(U \times V) \rightarrow TU, \quad Q : T(U \times V) \rightarrow TV.$$

Then we have

$$P + Q = I, P^2 = P, Q^2 = Q, PQ = QP = 0.$$

If we put $J = P - Q$, we can easily see that $J^2 = I$, where I is the identity transformation of $T(U \times V)$.

We define a Riemannian metric of $U \times V$ by

$$g(X, Y) = g_1(PX, PY) + g_2(QX, QY)$$

for all $X, Y \in T(U \times V)$. Hence $(U \times V, g)$ is a Riemannian manifold, which is called *product Riemannian manifold*.

From the definition of g , we can get that U and V are all totally geodesic submanifolds of $U \times V$. The Riemannian metric g satisfies the condition $g(JX, Y) = g(X, JY)$, which is equivalent to $g(X, Y) = g(JX, JY)$.

By ∇ , we denote the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g . Then we can easily see that ([3])

$$\nabla P = \nabla Q = \nabla J = 0.$$

§2. On the Curvatures of Product Riemannian Manifolds

In this section, we will prove the main theorems of the paper.

By R and S we denote the Riemannian curvature tensor and Ricci tensor of product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$. Then we have the following

Lemma 2. *Let $(U \times V, g)$ be a product Riemannian manifold of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) . Then R and S satisfy the following conditions.*

a) $R(X, Y)JZ = JR(X, Y)Z$

b) $R(JX, JY) = R(X, Y)$

c) $S(JX, JY) = S(X, Y)$

for all $X, Y, Z \in T(U \times V)$.

Proof. a) Using the parallelism of J , that is, $\nabla J = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)JZ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y JZ - \nabla_Y \nabla_X JZ - \nabla_{[X, Y]} JZ \\ &= \nabla_X J \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y J \nabla_X Z - J \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \\ &= J \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - J \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - J \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \\ &= J(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z) \\ &= JR(X, Y)Z. \end{aligned}$$

b) Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(JX, JY)Z, W) &= K(JX, JY, Z, W) = K(Z, W, JX, JY) \\ &= g(R(Z, W)JX, JY) = g(JR(Z, W)X, JY) \\ &= g(R(Z, W)X, Y) = K(Z, W, X, Y) \\ &= K(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W) \end{aligned}$$

So, $R(JX, JY) = R(X, Y)$.

c)

$$\begin{aligned} S(JX, JY) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} g(R(e_i, JX)JY, e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} g(R(Je_i, X)Y, Je_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+m} g(R(e_i, X)Y, e_i) = S(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma. \square

From Lemma 2, we have $R(X_1, X_2) = 0$ and $S(X_1, X_2) = 0$ for all $X_1 \in TU$ and $X_2 \in TV$. Since $\nabla P = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y)PZ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y PZ - \nabla_Y \nabla_X PZ - \nabla_{[X, Y]} PZ \\
&= \nabla_X P \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y P \nabla_X Z - P \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \\
&= P \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - P \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - P \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \\
&= P(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z) \\
&= PR(X, Y)Z
\end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in T(U \times V)$. So $R(X, Y)PZ \in TU$. In the same way, $R(X, Y)QZ \in TV$. Thus we have

$$R(X, Y) = R(X_1, Y_1) + R(X_2, Y_2),$$

where $X = X_1 + X_2, Y = Y_1 + Y_2 \in T(U \times V)$. Making use of the first Bianchi identity, we obtain by direct calculations

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y)Z &= R(X_1, Y_1)Z + R(X_2, Y_2)Z \\
&= PR(X_1, Y_1)Z + QR(X_1, Y_1)Z + PR(X_2, Y_2)Z + QR(X_2, Y_2)Z \\
&= R(X_1, Y_1)PZ + R(X_2, Y_2)QZ + Q(-R(Y_1, Z)X_1 - R(Z, X_1)Y_1) \\
&\quad + P(-R(Y_2, Z)X_2 - R(Z, X_2)Y_2) \\
&= R(X_1, Y_1)Z_1 + R(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 - R(Y_1, Z)QX_1 - R(Z, X_1)QY_1 \\
&\quad - R(Y_2, Z)PX_2 - R(Z, X_2)PY_2 \\
&= R(X_1, Y_1)Z_1 + R(X_2, Y_2)Z_2.
\end{aligned}$$

If we put $R_1(X_1, Y_1)Z_1 = R(X_1, Y_1)Z_1$ and $R_2(X_2, Y_2)Z_2 = R(X_2, Y_2)Z_2$, then we can easily see that R_1 and R_2 are also Riemannian curvature tensors of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) , respectively. Therefore we have

$$(2.1) \quad R(X, Y)Z = R_1(X_1, Y_1)Z_1 + R_2(X_2, Y_2)Z_2$$

Theorem 1. *Let $(U \times V, g)$ be a product Riemannian manifold of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) . Then the product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$ is a locally symmetric manifold if and only if (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) are locally symmetric manifolds.*

Proof. If $(U \times V, g)$ is locally symmetric, then $\nabla R = \nabla R_1 + \nabla R_2 = 0$. From $J = P - Q, JP = P$ and $JQ = -Q$ we have

$$JR = JR_1 + JR_2 = JPR + JQR = PR - QR = R_1 - R_2.$$

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla R = \nabla R_1 + \nabla R_2 = 0,$$

Since J is parallel,

$$\begin{aligned}
J\nabla R &= J\nabla R_1 + J\nabla R_2 \\
(2.3) \quad \nabla JR &= \nabla JR_1 + \nabla JR_2 \\
&= \nabla R_2 - \nabla R_2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (2) and (3) imply that $\nabla R_1 = \nabla R_2 = 0$. So (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) are locally symmetric manifolds.

Conversely, we suppose that (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) are locally symmetric manifolds, that is, $\nabla R_1 = \nabla R_2 = 0$. Then we can easily see that $\nabla R = 0$. So $(U \times V, g)$ is a locally symmetric manifold. \square

Now, we can generalize Theorem 1 with the following

Theorem 2. *Let $(U \times V, g)$ be a product Riemannian manifold of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) . Then the product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$ is flat if and only if the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) are flat.*

Proof. Follows from the equation (1). \square

Using the Lemma 2, by a direct calculation we obtain

$$S(X, Y) = S(X_1, Y_1) + S(X_2, Y_2).$$

If we put $S(X_1, Y_1) = S_1(X_1, Y_1)$ and $S(X_2, Y_2) = S_2(X_2, Y_2)$, then we can easily see that S_1 and S_2 are the Ricci tensors of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) , respectively, where $X = X_1 + X_2, Y = Y_1 + Y_2 \in T(U \times V)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $(U \times V, g)$ be a product Riemannian manifold of the Riemannian manifold of (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) . The product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$ is a Ricci flat manifold if and only if the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) are Ricci flat manifolds.*

Proof. The product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$ is Ricci flat; then

$$S(X, Y) = S_1(X_1, Y_1) + S_2(X_2, Y_2) = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$S(X, JY) = S_1(X_1, Y_1) - S_2(X_2, Y_2) = 0.$$

Thus we have $S_1(X_1, Y_1) = S_2(X_2, Y_2) = 0$, that is, (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) are Ricci flat manifolds. The converse is obvious. \square

By K we denote the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor of the product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$. Then we can give the following

Lemma 3. *Let $(U \times V, g)$ be a product Riemannian manifold of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) . Then K satisfies the following conditions.*

- a) $K(JX, JY, JZ, JW) = K(JX, JY, Z, W) = K(X, Y, JZ, JW) = K(X, Y, Z, W)$
b) $K(X, JY, JZ, W) = K(X, JY, Z, JW) = K(JX, Y, JZ, W)$
for all $X, Y, Z, W \in T(U \times V)$.

Proof. a) Since J is parallel, from Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} K(JX, JY, JZ, JW) &= g(R(JX, JY)JZ, JW) \\ &= g(JR(JX, JY)Z, JW) = g(R(JX, JY)Z, W) \\ &= g(R(X, Y)Z, W) = K(X, Y, Z, W) \\ K(JX, JY, JZ, JW) &= g(R(JX, JY)JZ, JW) \\ &= g(JR(JX, JY)Z, JW) = g(R(JX, JY)Z, W) \\ &= K(JX, JY, Z, W) = K(JX, JY, Z, Y) \\ &= g(R(JX, JY)Z, W) = g(JR(X, Y)Z, JW) \\ &= g(R(X, Y)JZ, JW) = K(X, Y, JZ, JW). \end{aligned}$$

b) Similarly, using the properties of R and K we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K(X, JY, JZ, W) &= g(R(X, JY)JZ, W) = g(JR(X, JY)Z, W) \\
&= K(R(X, JY)Z, JW) = K(X, JY, Z, JW) \\
K(X, JY, JZ, W) &= K(R(JZ, W)X, JY) = g(R(JZ, W)JX, Y) \\
&= K(JZ, W, JX, Y) = K(JX, Y, JZ, W) \\
&= K(JX, Y, Z, JW).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let X be an arbitrary tangent vector field of $U \times V$. Then we can write X in the following way:

$$X = PX + QX,$$

where PX and QX are tangent vector fields of U and V , respectively. We consider Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and the equation (1), and using the properties of K and R , by direct calculations we obtain

$$K(X, Y, Z, W) = K(PX, PY, PZ, PW) + K(QX, QY, QZ, QW).$$

It is easily seen that $K(PX, PY, PZ, PW)$ and $K(QX, QY, QZ, QW)$ are the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensors of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) , respectively.

If we put $X = X_1 + X_2$, $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$, $Z = Z_1 + Z_2$, $W = W_1 + W_2 \in T(U \times V)$, then we have

$$(2.4) \quad K(X, Y, Z, W) = K_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, W_1) + K_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, W_2),$$

where K_1 and K_2 are also the Riemannian-Christoffel curvature tensor of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) , respectively.

Now we can give the following

Theorem 4. *Let $(U \times V, g)$ be the product Riemannian manifold of Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) . Then the product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$ has constant sectional curvature if and only if the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) have constant sectional curvatures.*

Proof. If the product Riemannian manifold $U \times V$ has constant sectional curvature, then

$$K(X, Y, Z, X) = 0$$

for any orthonormal vector fields X, Y, Z in $U \times V$.

If $\{X_1, Y_1, Z_1\}$ and $\{X_2, Y_2, Z_2\}$ are any orthonormal vector fields in U and V respectively, then

$$\left\{ X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X_1 + X_2), Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Y_1 + Y_2), Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1 + Z_2) \right\}$$

are orthonormal vector fields in $U \times V$. Moreover, from the definition of the Riemannian metric g , $\{X, Y, JZ\}$ are also orthonormal vector fields in $U \times V$. So, we have from the equation (4)

$$K(X, Y, Z, X) = \frac{1}{4}K_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_1) + \frac{1}{4}K_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, X_2) = 0$$

and

$$K(X, Y, JZ, X) = \frac{1}{4}K_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_1) - \frac{1}{4}K_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, X_2) = 0,$$

which imply that

$$K_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_1) = K_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, X_2) = 0$$

for any orthonormal vector fields X_1, Y_1, Z_1 and X_2, Y_2, Z_2 in U and V respectively. So, (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) have constant sectional curvatures.

Conversely, we suppose that (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) have constant sectional curvatures; then we have

$$K_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_1) = K_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, X_2) = 0$$

for any orthonormal vector fields $\{X_1, Y_1, Z_1\}$ and $\{X_2, Y_2, Z_2\}$ in U and V , respectively. We get from the equation (4)

$$4K(X, Y, Z, X) = K_1(X_1, Y_1, Z_1, X_1) + K_2(X_2, Y_2, Z_2, X_2) = 0$$

for any orthonormal vector fields

$$\left\{ X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X_1 + X_2), \quad Y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Y_1 + Y_2), \quad Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(Z_1 + Z_2) \right\}$$

in $U \times V$. Thus $(U \times V, g)$ has also constant sectional curvature. \square

Because the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) are totally geodesic submanifolds of the product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$, we infer from the Proposition and Theorem 4 that the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) , (V, g_2) and $(U \times V, g)$ have the same constant sectional curvature. So we can give the following

Corollary. *Let $(U \times V, g)$ be the product Riemannian manifold of the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) . In this case, product Riemannian manifold $(U \times V, g)$ is elliptic (resp. hyperbolic or flat) if and only if the Riemannian manifolds (U, g_1) and (V, g_2) are elliptic (resp. hyperbolic or flat).*

References

- [1] Chen B.Y., *Geometry of submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1973.
- [2] Chen B.Y., *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*, World Scientific, 1984.
- [3] Senlin X. and Yilong.N., *Submanifolds of product Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Mathematica Scientia 20B (2000), 2, 213-218.
- [4] Stepanov S.E., *Riemannian almost product manifolds and submersions*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences 99 (2000), 6, 1811-1831.
- [5] O'Neill B., *Semi-Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.

Author's address:

M. Ateken and S. Keleş
Inonu University, Faculty of Science and Art,
Department of Mathematics, 44100 Malatya, Turkey
Email: matceken@inonu.edu.tr