

# Relative topological dynamics

B. Ghazanfari and M.R. Molaei

**Abstract.** In this paper the notion of topological dynamics from the viewpoint of an observer is considered. Quasi-minimal-relative topological dynamics which is an approach to the notion of minimality for the non-invariant state spaces is studied. An extension of the notion of transitivity from the point of view of an observer is considered. Non-wandering sets for a relative topological dynamics is studied.

**M.S.C. 2000:** 37B99, 54H99.

**Key words:** Topological Dynamics, transitivity, minimality, non-wandering set.

## 1 Introduction

In the consideration of the nature an observer can modelize by an operator which evaluates each proposition by a number in the closed interval  $[0, 1]$  [1, 3]. We assume that  $X$  is the state space, i.e. "propositions" are members of  $X$  and  $\mu$  as a function from  $X$  to  $[0, 1]$  is an observer of  $X$ . Moreover we assume that  $\tau_\mu$  is a relative topology, it means that: it is a collection of members of  $[0, 1]^X$ , which are subsets of  $\mu$  with the following properties [2]:

- i)  $\mu, \chi_\phi \in \tau_\mu$ , where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function;
- ii) If  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \tau_\mu$ , then  $\lambda_1 \cap \lambda_2 \in \tau_\mu$ ;
- iii) If  $\{\lambda_i : i \in \Gamma\} \subset \tau_\mu$ , then  $\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} \lambda_i \in \tau_\mu$ .

$\tau_\mu$  is a mathematical modelling of the notion of topology from the viewpoint of an observer  $\mu$ .

A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called a relative continuous map or a  $\mu$ -continuous map if  $f^{-1}(\eta) \cap \mu \in \tau_\mu$  for all  $\eta \in \tau_\mu$ , where  $f^{-1}(\eta)(x) = \eta(f(x))$ .

$f$  is called a  $\mu$ -homeomorphism or a relative homeomorphism if  $f$  is a bijection and  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $\mu$ -continuous maps.

**Example 1.1** Let  $X$  be the set of  $C^1$  diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let the observer  $\mu$  defined by  $\mu(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \text{ is a hyperbolic fixed point of } g \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . We denote the stable manifold of the hyperbolic fixed point 0 of a diffeomorphism  $g$  by  $W_g^s(0)$ . For  $m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$  we define  $\lambda^m$  by  $\lambda^m(g) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } 0 \text{ is a hyperbolic fixed point of } g \text{ and } \dim(W_g^s(0)) = m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . Let

$\tau_\mu$  be the relative topology generated by  $\{\mu, \lambda^m, \chi_\emptyset : m \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}\}$ , and  $\psi : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $\psi(g) = Dg(0)$ . Then  $\psi$  is a  $\mu$ -continuous map.

We now would like to have a description of topological dynamics from the viewpoint of the observer  $\mu$ . For this purpose we assume that  $T$  is the set of real numbers or the set of integer numbers, and  $(X, \tau_\mu)$  is a relative topological space. Moreover we assume that  $\{\varphi^t : t \in T\}$  is a one parameter family of  $\mu$ -homeomorphisms from  $X$  to  $X$ , then we have the following definition.

**Definition 1.1** With the above assumptions a triple  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is called a relative topological dynamics if:

- (i)  $\varphi^0$  is the identity map on  $X$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi^s \circ \varphi^t = \varphi^{s+t}$  for all  $s, t \in T$ .

**Example 1.2** Let  $X$  be the set of mappings  $x$  from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\{0, 1\}$ , and  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by  $\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x(i) = 0 \text{ for all } i \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

Moreover let  $T$  be the set of integer numbers and  $\tau_\mu = \{\mu, \lambda_j, \chi_\emptyset : j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ , where

$\lambda_j(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{j+1}} & \text{if } x(j) = 1 \text{ or } x(-j) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ . Then for  $t \in T$ , the mapping  $\varphi^t : X \rightarrow$

$X$  defined by  $\varphi^t(x)(i) = x(i+t)$  is a  $\mu$ -continuous map. Moreover  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is a relative topological dynamics. For given  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  and  $\lambda \in \tau_\mu$  the  $\alpha$ -cut of  $\lambda$  is the set  $\lambda^{-1}(\alpha, 1]$  and denoted by  $\lambda_\alpha$ .

**Theorem 1.1** Let  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  be a relative topological dynamics from the viewpoint of the observer  $\mu$ . Moreover assume that  $\mu_\alpha$  is an invariant set for  $\varphi^t$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , and  $t \in T$ . Then  $(\mu_\alpha, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is a topological dynamics, where the topology of  $\mu_\alpha$  is  $\tau_\mu^\alpha = \{\lambda_\alpha : \lambda \in \tau_\mu\}$ .

*Proof.* The straightforward calculations show that  $\tau_\mu^\alpha$  is a topology for  $\mu_\alpha$ . So we only prove the  $\mu$ -continuity of  $\varphi^t$  where  $t \in T$ .

If  $\lambda_\alpha \in \tau_\mu^\alpha$  then  $\varphi^{-t}(\lambda^{-1}((\alpha, 1])) = \varphi^{-t}(\{x \in \mu_\alpha : \lambda(x) > \alpha\}) = \{y : \lambda(\varphi^t(y)) > \alpha \text{ and } \varphi^t(y) \in \mu_\alpha\} = (\varphi^{-t}(\lambda) \cap \mu)((\alpha, 1])$ . So  $\varphi^{-t}(\lambda^{-1}((\alpha, 1])) \in \tau_\mu^\alpha$ .  $\square$

## 2 Quasi-minimal relative topological dynamics

If  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is a relative topological dynamics and  $x \in X$  then the set  $O(x) = \{\varphi^t(x) : t \in T\}$  is called the orbit of  $x \in X$ . If  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  then  $O_\mu^\alpha(x) = O(x) \cap \mu^{-1}((\alpha, 1])$  is the relative orbit of  $x$  or  $\mu$ -orbit of  $x$  with the level  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 2.1** A relative topological dynamics  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is called a quasi-minimal relative topological dynamics on  $\mu_\alpha$  or briefly " $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal" if for all  $x \in \mu_\alpha$ ,  $O_\mu^\alpha(x)$  is a dense subset of  $\mu_\alpha$ , where the topology of  $\mu_\alpha$  is  $\tau_\mu^\alpha$ .

It is important to mention this point that: in the above definition it is not necessary  $\mu_\alpha$  be an invariant set for  $\varphi^t$ .

**Example 2.1** Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of all real numbers, also let  $\mu : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by:

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, let  $\tau_\mu$  be the  $\mu$ -topology generated by the set  $\{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where  $\lambda_i : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined by:

$$\lambda_i(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } x \in (i, i+1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $T = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\varphi^t : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $\varphi^t(x) = x + t$  where  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $(\mathbb{R}, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\})$  is  $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal, where  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $x \in \mu_\alpha$  and  $\lambda_j^\alpha \in \tau_\mu^\alpha$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\varphi^t(x) \in \lambda_j^\alpha$ . Because  $x \in \mu_\alpha$  implies  $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $x \in (i, i+1)$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  then for  $t = j - i$ ,  $i + j - i < \varphi^t(x) = x + t < i + 1 + j - i$ . So  $\varphi^t(x) \in (j, j+1)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  be a relative topological dynamics, and let  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  be  $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal. Then the only closed subsets  $E$  of  $\mu_\alpha$  with  $\varphi^t(E) = E$  are  $\emptyset$  and  $\mu_\alpha$  where  $t \in T$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is  $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal, and  $\emptyset \neq E$  is a closed subset of  $\mu_\alpha$  such that  $\varphi^t(E) = E$ . If  $x \in E$  then  $O_\mu^\alpha(x) \subset E$ . So  $\mu_\alpha = \overline{O_\mu^\alpha(x)} \subset E$ . Hence  $\mu_\alpha = E$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2** *If  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is  $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal, such that  $\varphi^t(\mu_\alpha) \subset \mu_\alpha$  for all  $t \in T$ , then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) *The only closed subsets  $E$  of  $\mu_\alpha$  with  $\varphi^t(E) = E$  are  $\emptyset$  and  $\mu_\alpha$  where  $t \in T$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\emptyset \neq U \in \tau_\mu^\alpha$ , then  $\cup_{t \in T} (\varphi^t(U) \cap \mu_\alpha) = \mu_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii). If  $\emptyset \neq U \in \tau_\mu^\alpha$ , then there exists  $\lambda \in \tau_\mu$  such that  $U = \lambda_\alpha$ . For all  $t \in T$ ,  $\varphi^{-t}(U) \cap \mu_\alpha = (\varphi^{-t}(\lambda) \cap \mu)_\alpha$ . So  $E = \mu_\alpha \setminus \cup_{t \in T} (\varphi^t(U) \cap \mu_\alpha)$  is a closed subset of  $\mu_\alpha$ . Moreover  $\varphi^t(E) = E$ . Since  $E \neq \mu_\alpha$  we have  $E = \emptyset$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (i). Let  $x \in \mu_\alpha$  and let  $U$  be any non-empty open subset of  $\mu_\alpha$ . By (ii)  $x \in \varphi^t(U) \cap \mu_\alpha$  for some  $t \in T$ . So  $\varphi^{-t}(x) \in U$  and  $O_\mu^\alpha(x)$  is dense in  $\mu_\alpha$ . Thus Theorem 2.1 implies to (i).  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  be  $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal and let  $f : \mu_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mu$ -continuous function such that,  $f \circ \varphi^t = f$  for all  $t \in T$ . Then  $f$  is a constant function.*

*Proof.* Since  $f \circ \varphi^t = f$  for all  $t \in T$ , then for  $x \in \mu_\alpha$  we have  $f(O_\mu^\alpha(x)) = \{f(x)\}$ . Moreover  $O_\mu^\alpha(x)$  is a dense subset of  $\mu_\alpha$  and  $f$  is  $\mu$ -continuous. So

$$f(\mu_\alpha) = f(\overline{O_\mu^\alpha(x)}) = \overline{f(O_\mu^\alpha(x))} = \{f(x)\}. \quad \square$$

**Example 2.2** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  and let  $\varphi^t : X \rightarrow X$  be defined by  $\varphi^t(x) = x + t\beta \pmod{1}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover let

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 1/2, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and  $\tau_\mu$  be the relative topology generated by  $\{\emptyset, \mu, \lambda_j : j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$  where

$$\lambda_0(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } x \in (1/2, 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and for  $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lambda_j(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \text{if } x \in [1/2^{j+1}, 1/2^j), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $\beta$  is irrational, then we show  $\varphi^t$  has a dense orbit  $O_\mu^\alpha(0)$  in  $\mu_\alpha$  where  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  since  $\{\varphi^{nt}(0) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an infinite set in  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  then for  $x \in \mu_\alpha$  there is a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  with  $\varphi^{t_n}(0) = t_n\beta \pmod{1} \rightarrow x$ , where  $t_n = nt \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For all  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find  $t_{n_i} > t_{n_j}$  with  $|\varphi^{t_{n_i}}(0) - x| < \epsilon/2$  and  $|\varphi^{t_{n_j}}(0) - x| < \epsilon/2$ . So  $|\varphi^{t_{n_i}}(0) - \varphi^{t_{n_j}}(0)| = |t_{n_i}\beta - t_{n_j}\beta| = |\varphi^{t_{n_i}-t_{n_j}}(0)| < \epsilon$ . Moreover,  $\varphi^{t_{n_i}}(0) \neq \varphi^{t_{n_j}}(0)$ . Because if  $\varphi^{t_{n_i}}(0) = \varphi^{t_{n_j}}(0)$  then  $t_{n_i}\beta = t_{n_j}\beta$  and this is contradiction with irrationality of  $\beta$ . Hence  $\varphi^{k(t_{n_i}-t_{n_j})}(0)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  form an  $\epsilon$ -dense subset of  $\mu_\alpha$ . We show if  $\beta$  is irrational then  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\})$  is  $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal where  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Let  $x \in \mu_\alpha$  and  $(y - \epsilon, y + \epsilon)$  be a neighborhood of  $y \in \mu_\alpha$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\{\varphi^t : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  has a dense set in  $\mu_\alpha$  i.e.  $\overline{O_\mu^\alpha(0)} = \mu_\alpha$  then there is a sub-sequence  $t_{n_i} \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\varphi^{t_{n_i}}(0) \rightarrow y - x$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $\varphi^{t_{n_i}}(x) = x + t_{n_i}\beta \pmod{1} = \varphi^{t_{n_i}}(0) + x \pmod{1} \rightarrow y \pmod{1}$ .

### 3 The non-wandering sets from the observer viewpoint

In this section we assume that the topology generated by  $\tau_\mu^\alpha$  on  $\mu_\alpha$  is a metrizable topology. In this case we say that  $\tau_\mu^\alpha$  is a topology on the metric space  $X$ , and we have the next definition.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  be a relative topological dynamics on a metric space  $X$  and let  $x \in \mu_\alpha$ . For given  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  we define  $w_{\mu_\alpha}(x) = \{y \in \mu_\alpha \mid \exists \{t_n\} \subset T \text{ such that } \varphi^{t_n}(x) \rightarrow y \text{ when } t_n \rightarrow +\infty\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  be a relative topological dynamics of a compact metric space  $X$ , and let  $\mu_\alpha$  be compact for an  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $\varphi^t(\mu_\alpha) = \mu_\alpha$ . Then for every  $x \in \mu_\alpha$ .

- (i)  $w_{\mu_\alpha}(x) \neq \emptyset$
- (ii)  $w_{\mu_\alpha}(x)$  is a closed subset of  $\mu_\alpha$  with respect to  $\tau_\mu^\alpha$ .

*Proof.* (i) We choose a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $y_n = \varphi^{t_n}(x) \cap \mu_\alpha$ . Since  $\mu_\alpha$  is compact  $y_n$  has a convergent subsequence to  $q \in \mu_\alpha$  thus  $q \in w_{\mu_\alpha}(x)$ . So  $w_{\mu_\alpha}(x) \neq \emptyset$ .

(ii) If  $z \notin w_{\mu_\alpha}(x)$ , then it has a neighborhood  $N(z)$  such that  $N(z) \cap \{\varphi^t(x) : t \geq M\} \cap \mu_\alpha = \emptyset$  for some  $M > 0$ . This implies that the points of  $N(z)$  do not belong to  $w_{\mu_\alpha}(x)$  and so  $w_{\mu_\alpha}(x)$  is closed.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2** Let  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  be a relative topological dynamics and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . A point  $p \in \mu_\alpha$  is called a  $\mu_\alpha$ -non-wandering if for each neighborhood  $U$

of  $p$  in  $\tau_\mu^\alpha$ , there exists  $0 \neq t \in T$  such that  $\varphi^t(U) \cap U \cap \mu_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . We denote the set of  $\mu_\alpha$ -non-wandering points of  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  by  $\Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *If  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is a relative topological dynamics, then for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,*

(i)  $\Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$  is closed.

(ii)  $\cup_{x \in \mu_\alpha} w_{\mu_\alpha}(x) \subset \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$  (so  $\Omega_{\mu_\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ ).

(iii) All  $\mu$ -periodic points belong to  $\Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ .

(iv) If there is a  $t_0 \in T : \varphi^{t_0}(\mu_\alpha) = \mu_\alpha$ , then  $\varphi^{t_0}(\Omega_{\mu_\alpha}) = \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $y \notin \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ . Then it has a neighborhood  $V(y) : \varphi^t(V) \cap V \cap \mu_\alpha = \emptyset$  for all  $t \in T$ . This implies that the points of  $V(y)$  do not belong to  $\Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ , and so  $\Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$  is closed.

(ii) Let  $x \in \mu_\alpha$  and  $y \in w_{\mu_\alpha}(x)$ . Then there exists  $\{t_n\} \subset T : \varphi^{t_n}(x) \rightarrow y$  when  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Suppose  $V$  is a neighborhood of  $y$ , then there is  $\{t_n\} : \varphi^{t_n}(x) \in V \cap \mu_\alpha$ . For  $t_{n_0} < t_{n_1}$ , we have  $\varphi^{t_{n_0}}(x), \varphi^{t_{n_1}}(x) \in V \cap \mu_\alpha$ . If  $z = \varphi^{t_{n_1}}(x)$ , and  $t_n = t_{n_1} - t_{n_0}$  then  $\varphi^{-t_n}(z) = \varphi^{-t_n}(\varphi^{t_{n_1}}(x)) = \varphi^{t_{n_0} - t_{n_1} + t_{n_1}}(x)$ . So  $\varphi^{-t_n}(z) = \varphi^{t_{n_0}}(x) \in V \cap \mu_\alpha$ . Thus  $z \in \varphi^{t_n}(V) \cap \varphi^{t_n}(\mu_\alpha)$ . Moreover  $z \in V \cap \mu_\alpha$  and this implies  $z \in \varphi^{t_n}(V) \cap V \cap \mu_\alpha$  i.e.  $\varphi^{t_n}(V) \cap V \cap \mu_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $y \in \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ .

(iii) Let  $p \in \mu_\alpha$  be a  $\mu$ -periodic point of  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$ . So there exists  $0 \neq t_0 \in T$  such that  $\varphi^{t_0}(p) = p$ . If  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $p$  then  $p \in \varphi^{t_0}(U) \cap U \cap \mu_\alpha$ .

(iv) Let  $x \in \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$  and  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $\varphi^{t_0}(x)$ . Then  $\varphi^{-t_0}(V)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $x, \varphi^{t_0}(x) \in \mu_\alpha$  then there is  $t_1 \in T$  with  $\emptyset \neq \varphi^{t_1 - t_0}(V) \cap \varphi^{-t_0}(V) \cap \mu_\alpha = \varphi^{t_1 - t_0}(V) \cap \varphi^{-t_0}(V) \cap \varphi^{-t_0}(\mu_\alpha)$ . Thus  $\varphi^{t_1}(V) \cap V \cap \mu_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . So  $\varphi^{t_0}(x) \in \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$  i.e.  $\varphi^{t_0}(\Omega_{\mu_\alpha}) \subset \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ .

Let  $x \in \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$  and  $V$  be a neighborhood of  $\varphi^{-t_0}(x)$ . Then  $\varphi^{t_0}(V)$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ . Since  $x, \varphi^{-t_0}(x) \in \mu_\alpha$  then there is  $t_2 \in T$  with  $\emptyset \neq \varphi^{t_2 + t_0}(V) \cap \varphi^{t_0}(V) \cap \mu_\alpha = \varphi^{t_2 + t_0}(V) \cap \varphi^{t_0}(V) \cap \varphi^{t_0}(\mu_\alpha)$ . Thus  $\varphi^{t_2}(V) \cap V \cap \mu_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  i.e.  $\varphi^{-t_0}(x) \in \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ . Hence  $x \in \varphi^{t_0}(\Omega_{\mu_\alpha})$  i.e.  $\Omega_{\mu_\alpha} \subset \varphi^{t_0}(\Omega_{\mu_\alpha})$ . Thus  $\varphi^{t_0}(\Omega_{\mu_\alpha}) = \Omega_{\mu_\alpha}$ .  $\square$

## 4 Transitivity from observer viewpoint

We begin this section with the definition of relative transitivity.

**Definition 4.1** We say that a relative topological dynamics  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is relative transitive if for all  $\lambda, \eta \in \tau_\mu - \{\chi_\emptyset\}$  there is  $t \in T$  such that  $\varphi^t(\lambda) \cap \eta \neq \chi_\emptyset$ .

This definition is a natural generalization of the notion of transitivity. In fact if  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space, then  $\tau_{\chi_X} = \{\chi_U : U \in \tau\}$  is a relative topology for  $X$ . In this case the notion of "relative transitivity" is equivalent to "transitivity".

**Example 4.1** The topological dynamics presented in Example 1.2 is relative transitive.

**Theorem 4.1** *If  $\tau_\mu$  has a countable base then the following are equivalent for a relative topological dynamics  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$ .*

(i)  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is relative transitive.

(ii) For some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\{x \in \mu_\alpha : O_\mu^\alpha(x) = \mu_\alpha\}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ .

(iii) There exists  $\alpha$  such that  $O_\mu^\alpha(x)$  is dense in  $\mu_\alpha$  for some  $x \in \mu_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\implies$  (ii). Since  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is relative transitive then for all  $\lambda, \eta \in \tau_\mu \setminus \chi_\emptyset$  there is  $t \in T$  such that  $\varphi^t(\lambda) \cap \eta \neq \chi_\emptyset$ . Hence for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\varphi^t(\lambda_\alpha) \cap \eta_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  where  $\lambda_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in \tau_\mu^\alpha \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . Let  $\lambda_1^\alpha, \lambda_2^\alpha, \dots, \lambda_n^\alpha, \dots$  be a countable base for  $\tau_\mu^\alpha$ . Then for  $\lambda_n^\alpha \in \tau_\mu^\alpha$ ,  $\cup_{t \in T} (\varphi^t(\lambda_n^\alpha) \cap \mu_\alpha)$  is dense in  $\mu_\alpha$ . Since for each  $\lambda_j^\alpha$ , there exists  $t \in T$  such that  $\varphi^t(\lambda_n^\alpha) \cap \lambda_j^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ , then  $Y = \cap_{n=1}^\infty \cup_{t \in T} (\varphi^t(\lambda_n^\alpha) \cap \mu_\alpha)$  is a countable intersection of open, dense sets and is therefore non-empty by the Baire category theorem and dense in  $\mu_\alpha$ . Thus  $O_\mu^\alpha(x) \cap \lambda_j^\alpha \neq \emptyset$ , for every  $x \in \mu_\alpha$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence  $\{x \in \mu_\alpha : \overline{O_\mu^\alpha(x)} = \mu_\alpha\}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$ .

(ii)  $\implies$  (iii). Since  $\{x \in \mu_\alpha : \overline{O_\mu^\alpha(x)} = \mu_\alpha\}$  is a dense  $G_\delta$  subset of  $\mu_\alpha$  then it is a non-empty set. So there is  $O_\mu^\alpha(x)$  such that  $O_\mu^\alpha(x)$  is dense in  $\mu_\alpha$ .

(iii)  $\implies$  (i). Suppose for  $x_0 \in \mu_\alpha$ ,  $\overline{O_\mu^\alpha(x_0)} = \mu_\alpha$  and  $\lambda_\alpha, \eta_\alpha \in \tau_\mu^\alpha \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . We show there exists  $t \in T$  such that  $\varphi^t(\lambda_\alpha) \cap \eta_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\overline{O_\mu^\alpha(x_0)} = \mu_\alpha$  then there exist  $t_i, t_{i+1} \in T$  such that  $t_i < t_{i+1}$  and  $\varphi^{t_i}(x_0) \in \lambda_\alpha$ ,  $\varphi^{t_{i+1}}(x_0) \in \eta_\alpha$ . Let  $y = \varphi^{t_i}(x_0)$  and  $t_n = t_{i+1} - t_i$ . Then  $\varphi^{t_n}(y) = \varphi^{t_{i+1}-t_i}(\varphi^{t_i}(x_0)) = \varphi^{t_{i+1}}(x_0) \in \eta_\alpha$ , Moreover  $\varphi^{t_n}(y) \in \varphi^{t_n}(\lambda_\alpha)$ , hence  $\varphi^{t_n}(y) \in \varphi^{t_n}(\lambda_\alpha) \cap \eta_\alpha$  i.e.  $\varphi^{t_n}(\lambda_\alpha) \cap \eta_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2** *If  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is relative transitive on a compact metric space  $X$  and if  $\varphi^t$  an isometry, for all  $t \in T$ , then  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is  $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d$ , be a metric on  $X$  such that for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $d(\varphi^t(x), \varphi^t(y)) = d(x, y)$  for all  $t \in T$ . Since  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is relative transitive so there exists  $x_0 \in \mu_\alpha$  such that  $\overline{O_\mu^\alpha(x_0)} = \mu_\alpha$ . If  $x, y \in \mu_\alpha$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there exist  $t_n, t_m \in T$  such that  $d(x, \varphi^{t_n}(x_0)) < \epsilon/2$ , and  $d(y, \varphi^{t_m}(x_0)) < \epsilon/2$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(y, \varphi^{t_n-t_m}(x)) &\leq d(y, \varphi^{t_n}(x_0)) + d(\varphi^{t_n}(x_0), \varphi^{t_n-t_m}(x)) \\ &= d(y, \varphi^{t_n}(x_0)) + d(\varphi^{t_m}(x_0), x) \\ &< \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\overline{O_\mu^\alpha(x)} = \mu_\alpha$  i.e.  $(X, \mu, \{\varphi^t : t \in T\})$  is  $\mu_\alpha$ -quasi-minimal.  $\square$

## 5 Conclusion

In the notion of quasi-minimal-relative topological dynamics it is not necessary the level sets be the invariant subsets of the dynamics. So it can be a good base for consideration of new dynamics with the non-invariant state spaces.

## References

- [1] M.H. Anvari, M.R. Molaei, *Genomic from the viewpoint of observational modeling*, WSEAS Transactions on Biology and Biomedicine, Issue 2, 2 (2005), 228-234.
- [2] Sh. Salili, M.R. Molaei, *A new approach to Fuzzy Topological Spaces*, Hadronic Journal, 25 (2000), 81-90.

- [3] M.R. Molaei, *Relative semi-dynamical systems*, International Journal of Uncertainty, Fuzziness and Knowledge-based Systems, 12-2 (2004), 237-243.

*Authors' address:*

B. Ghazanfari and M.R. Molaei  
Department of Mathematics, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman  
76169-14111, Kerman, Iran  
e-mail: bahman\_ghazanfari@yahoo.com and mrmolaei@mail.uk.ac.ir