

Helicoids and axially symmetric minimal surfaces in 3-dimensional homogeneous spaces

M. Bekkar, F. Bouziani, Y. Boukhatem and J. Inoguchi

Abstract. The Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces are Riemannian 3-manifolds whose isometry groups have at least 4-dimension and not of constant negative curvature. In this paper we study helicoids and axially symmetric minimal surfaces in the Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces. In particular, axially symmetric minimal surfaces are explicitly classified in terms of elliptic functions. Moreover the non-existence of totally umbilical surfaces in the irreducible Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces is proved.

M.S.C. 2000: 49Q05, 53A10.

Key words: minimal surfaces, Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu-space, helicoids, axially symmetric surfaces.

Introduction.

It is a classical result that the maximum dimension of the isometry groups of Riemannian 3-manifolds is 6. The maximum dimension 6 is attained by 3-dimensional Riemannian space forms. There are no Riemannian 3-manifolds with 5-dimensional isometry group. Moreover, if a Riemannian 3-manifold has 4-dimensional isometry group, then it is a homogeneous Riemannian manifold (See Kobayashi [28]).

Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds with 4-dimensional isometry group has been appeared in many contexts of differential geometry.

L. Bianchi gave a local classification of such homogeneous metrics [9]. The following 2-parameter family of homogeneous Riemannian metrics on the Cartesian 3-space $\mathbb{R}^3(x, y, z)$ are found by Bianchi [10], E. Cartan [12] and G. Vranceanu [50]:

$$ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{(1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2))^2} + \left(dz + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{ydx - xdy}{1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2)} \right)^2, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The metric $ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2$ is defined on the region $\mathcal{D} = \{(x, y, z) \mid 1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2) > 0\}$. Note that for $\mu \geq 0$, $ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2$ is defined on the whole 3-space $\mathbb{R}^3$.

This 2-parameter family contains all the Riemannian metrics with 4-dimensional isometry groups and metrics of constant non-negative curvature.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$ the homogeneous Riemannian 3-manifold $(\mathcal{D}, ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2)$ and call it the *Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu space* (BCV-space, in short).

The BCV-spaces has been appeared in some contexts of 3-dimensional Riemannian geometry. For instance, 3-dimensional naturally reductive homogeneous spaces are either constant curvature or a BCV-space. Three dimensional D'Atri spaces (Riemannian manifolds whose geodesic symmetries are volume preserving up to sign) are of constant curvature or BCV-spaces (see [48]). Every BCV-space is a pseudo-symmetric space of constant type (see [14]). Moreover, BCV-spaces provide model spaces of *Thurston's 3-dimensional model geometries* except *solvegeometry* (see [47]).

Although, differential geometry of curves and surfaces in 3-dimensional Riemannian space forms has been studied extensively, such geometry in 3-dimensional homogeneous spaces of *non-constant curvature* has started recently. In these fifteen years differential geometry of BCV-spaces has been paid much attention of differential geometers (See [8] and references therein). In particular, many differential geometers investigate curves and surfaces in BCV-spaces. Here we emphasise that the BCV-family contains Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^3$, spherical space forms, the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_3$ (the model space of nilgeometry) and product symmetric spaces $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

For example, some fundamental examples of minimal surfaces in Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_3$ are constructed in our previous works [3] [5] and [24, Part II]. Surfaces with parallel fundamental form in the BCV-space are classified by M. Belkhef, F. Dillen and the fourth named author [8]. More generally surfaces with higher order parallel second fundamental form in the BCV-space are recently classified by J. Van der Veken [49].

R. Caddeo, P. Piu and A. Ratto [13] and P. Tomter [46] investigated surfaces of revolution with constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{H}_3$. Grassmann geometry of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}_3$ is investigated by Naitoh, Kuwabara and the fourth named author [25]. Note that Kuwabara studied Grassmann geometries of the Euclidean motion group $E(2)$ and the Minkowski motion group $E(1, 1)$. Surfaces with parallel second fundamental form in $E(1, 1)$, $E(2)$ are classified by Van der Veken and the fourth named author [27]. The harmonicity of Gauss maps for surfaces in the BCV-space with $\mu \neq 0$ was studied by M. Tamura [45].

Minimal or constant mean curvature surfaces in the product space $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are discussed in [32]–[40], [42].

S. Montaldo and I. Onnis [33] investigated invariant constant curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Integral representation formulas for minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}_3$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ are obtained by Mercuri-Montaldo-Piu [31] and the fourth named author [21], [22].

For integral representation formulas of minimal surfaces in the model space Sol of solvegeometry, we refer to [20]–[21], [26].

In [6], D. A. Berdinskiĭ and I. A. Taĭmanov obtained a Weierstrass type representation for minimal surfaces in BCV-spaces and Sol in terms of spinors and Dirac operators.

Biharmonic curves in BCV-space are classified by Caddeo, Montaldo Oniciuc and Piu [11] and Cho, Lee and the fourth named author [15].

Moreover, since the discovery of holomorphic quadratic differential (called *generalized Hopf differential* or *Abresch-Rosenberg differential*) for constant mean curvature surfaces in the BCV-space, global geometry of constant mean curvature surfaces in the BCV-space has been extensively studied [1]–[2].

In this paper we shall study minimal surface equation in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$ for a function

$z = f(x, y)$ in the BCV-space. The minimal surface equation for f is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + Q^2 \right) f_{xx} - 2PQf_{xy} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + P^2 \right) f_{yy} \\ & - \frac{2\mu}{\delta} (Px + Qy) [P^2 + Q^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2\delta}(Qx - Py)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta = 1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2), \quad P = f_x + \frac{\lambda y}{2\delta}, \quad Q = f_y - \frac{\lambda x}{2\delta}.$$

We shall exhibit explicit examples of axially symmetric minimal surfaces in the BCV-space.

As an application of our result, we shall give a characterization of Euclidean and Heisenberg metrics among the BCV-family. More precisely, in the BCV-family, the only metrics which admit all the affine planes $z = f(x, y) = ax + by + c$ as minimal surfaces are Euclidean and Heisenberg metrics.

It should be remarked that the Euclidean helicoid $z = f(x, y) = h(\frac{y}{x}) = a \tan^{-1} g(\frac{y}{x}) + b$; $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ are minimal in general BCV-spaces.

§ 1. Preliminaries

subsection Take two real numbers λ and μ and define the region $\mathcal{D}$ of the Cartesian 3-space $\mathbb{R}^3(x, y, z)$ by

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{cases} \text{the whole space } \mathbb{R}^3, & \mu \geq 0, \\ x^2 + y^2 = -1/\mu^2, & \mu < 0. \end{cases}$$

The *Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu space* (BCV-space, in short) is a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3 := (\mathcal{D}, ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2)$ with homogeneous metric:

$$ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{\{1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2)\}^2} + \left(dz + \frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{ydx - xdy}{1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2)} \right)^2.$$

This 2-parameter family of metrics includes all 3-dimensional homogeneous Riemannian metrics with isometry group of dimension 4 or 6 except hyperbolic space forms. More precisely, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$ is (locally) isometric to the following homogeneous Riemannian 3-manifolds (See [8], [41]):

- If $\lambda = \mu = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{0,0}^3$ is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$.
- If $\lambda \neq 0, \mu = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,0}^3$ is the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_3$.
- If $\lambda \neq 0, \mu > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is locally isometric to $\text{SU}(2)$ with left invariant metric.
- If $\lambda \neq 0, \mu < 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is locally isometric to $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{R}$ with left invariant metric.
- If $\lambda = 0, \mu > 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is locally isometric to $\mathbb{S}^2(1/2\sqrt{\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$.

- If $\lambda = 0, \mu < 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is $\mathbb{H}^2(1/2\sqrt{-\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$.
- If $4\mu - \lambda^2 = 0$ then $\mathcal{M}^3$ is locally isometric to $\mathbb{S}^3(2/\lambda)$.

Here $\mathbb{S}^n(r)$ and $\mathbb{H}^n(r)$ denote the n -sphere of radius $r = 1/2\sqrt{\mu}$ and the hyperbolic n -space of radius $r = 1/2\sqrt{-\mu}$ ($n = 2, 3$), respectively. This explicit classification implies the following fact:

Proposition 1.1. *A BCV-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is locally symmetric if and only if $\lambda^2(4\mu - \lambda^2) = 0$. More precisely, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is locally symmetric if and only if it is locally isometric to one of the following model spaces; $\mathbb{E}^3$, $\mathbb{S}^3(2/\lambda)$ or the product spaces $\mathbb{S}^2(1/2\sqrt{\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{H}^2(1/2\sqrt{-\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$.*

1.1

- (1) Take an orthonormal frame field $\mathcal{E} = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$, defined by

$$e_1 = \delta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\lambda y}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad e_2 = \delta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\lambda x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

with $\delta := 1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2)$. One can easily check that $\mathcal{E}$ satisfies $ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. Here δ_{ij} denotes the *Kronecker's delta*;

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

- (2) The *dual coframe field* $\vartheta = (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3)$ associated to $e = (e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is a triplet of 1-forms which satisfies the condition $\theta^i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. This coframe field is computed as given by

$$\theta^1 = \frac{dx}{\delta}, \quad \theta^2 = \frac{dy}{\delta}, \quad \theta^3 = dz + \frac{\lambda y dx - x dy}{\delta}.$$

Note that the 1-form θ^3 is a *contact form* on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$, i.e., $d\theta^3 \wedge \theta^3 \neq 0$, if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$.

- (3) Denote by $\mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu})$ the space of all smooth vector fields on the BCV-space. The *Levi-Civita connection* D of $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}$ is the differential operator

$$D : \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}) \times \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}); \quad (V, W) \longmapsto D_V W$$

defined by the following *Koszul formula* (See [38, p. 61]):

$$\begin{aligned} 2ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(D_V W, Z) &= V ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(W, Z) + W ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(Z, V) - Z ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(V, W) \\ &\quad - ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(V, [W, Z]) + ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(W, [Z, V]) + ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(Z, [V, W]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $V, W, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3)$. By using the Koszul formula, the Levi-Civita connection D of $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is explicitly given as follows;

$$D_{e_1} e_1 = 2\mu y e_2, \quad D_{e_1} e_2 = -2\mu y e_1 + \frac{\lambda}{2} e_3, \quad D_{e_1} e_3 = -\frac{\lambda}{2} e_2,$$

$$(1.1.1) \quad D_{e_2}e_1 = -2\mu xe_2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}e_3, \quad D_{e_2}e_2 = 2\mu xe_1, \quad D_{e_2}e_3 = \frac{\lambda}{2}e_1,$$

$$D_{e_3}e_1 = -\frac{\lambda}{2}e_2, \quad D_{e_3}e_2 = \frac{\lambda}{2}e_1, \quad D_{e_3}e_3 = 0.$$

$$(1.1.2) \quad [e_1, e_2] = -2\mu ye_1 + 2\mu xe_2 + \lambda e_3, \quad [e_2, e_3] = [e_3, e_1] = 0.$$

(4) The *Riemannian curvature tensor* R is a tensor field on $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$ defined by

$$R(V, W)Z = D_V D_W Z - D_W D_V Z - D_{[V, W]}Z.$$

The components $\{R_{lkij}\}$ of R relative to $\mathcal{E}$ are defined by

$$ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2(R(e_i, e_j)e_k, e_l) = R_{lkij}.$$

The *Ricci tensor* Ric is defined by

$$\text{Ric}(V, W) = \text{trace} \{Z \mapsto R(Z, V)W\}.$$

The components $\{R_{ij}\}$ of Ric relative to $\mathcal{E}$ are defined by

$$R_{ij} = \text{Ric}(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{k=1}^3 R_{kjk i}.$$

The components R_{ij} are computed as

$$(1.1.3) \quad R_{1212} = 4\mu - \frac{3}{4}\lambda^2, \quad R_{1313} = R_{2323} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4},$$

$$R_{11} = R_{22} = 4\mu - \lambda^2, \quad R_{33} = \frac{\lambda^2}{2}.$$

The *scalar curvature* $s = \sum_{i=1}^3 R_{ii}$ is $s = 8\mu - \lambda^2/2$.

For more informations on the BCV-space, we refer to [8]. Our general reference of Riemannian geometry is O'Neill's book [38].

1.2

Let us denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\mu^2$ the Riemannian 2-manifold defined by

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\mu^2 = (\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2) > 0\}, ds^2)$$

with metric

$$ds_\mu^2 = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2}{\{1 + \mu(x^2 + y^2)\}^2}.$$

Here μ is a real constant. Then the map

$$\pi : \mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3 \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_\mu^2; \quad \pi(x, y, z) = (x, y)$$

is a *Riemannian submersion* with totally geodesic fibers ([37]). One can easily check that the base space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\mu^2$ is of constant curvature 4μ .

Now let us take a curve γ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_\mu^2$ with signed curvature $\bar{\kappa}$. Then its inverse image $S_\gamma = \pi^{-1}\{\gamma\}$ is a flat surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ with mean curvature $H = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\kappa} \circ \pi$. This surface S_γ is called the *vertical cylinder* over γ ([8]). The definition of mean curvature and flat surface will be given in the next section.

§ 2. Minimal surface equation

2.1

Let S be an immersed surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ which is given as a graph of a function $z = f(x, y)$. The position vector field $\mathbf{X}(x, y)$ of S is expressed as a vector valued function $\mathbf{X}(x, y) = (x, y, f(x, y))$.

The tangent vector fields $\mathbf{X}_x = \partial\mathbf{X}/\partial x$ and $\mathbf{X}_y = \partial\mathbf{X}/\partial y$ are described by

$$\mathbf{X}_x(x, y) = \frac{1}{\delta}e_1 + Pe_3 \quad \mathbf{X}_y(x, y) = \frac{1}{\delta}e_2 + Qe_3,$$

in terms of the orthonormal frame field $\mathcal{E}$. Here the functions P and Q are defined by

$$P = f_x + \frac{\lambda}{2\delta}y, \quad Q = f_y - \frac{\lambda}{2\delta}.$$

The *first fundamental form* I of S is a Riemannian metric on S defined by

$$\text{I} = E dx^2 + 2F dx dy + G dy^2,$$

where

$$E = ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(\mathbf{X}_x, \mathbf{X}_x), \quad F = ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(\mathbf{X}_x, \mathbf{X}_y), \quad G = ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(\mathbf{X}_y, \mathbf{X}_y).$$

The coefficient functions E , F and G are computed as

$$E = \frac{1}{\delta^2} + P^2, \quad F = PQ, \quad G = \frac{1}{\delta^2} + Q^2.$$

2.2

Take a unit vector field $\mathbf{N}$ normal to S . Namely $\mathbf{N}$ is a vector field along S which satisfies

$$ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(\mathbf{X}_x, \mathbf{N}) = ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(\mathbf{X}_y, \mathbf{N}) = 0, \quad ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}) = 1.$$

The *second fundamental form* II derived from $\mathbf{N}$ is defined by

$$\text{II} = L dx^2 + 2M dx dy + N dy^2,$$

where

$$L = ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2\left(D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}}\mathbf{X}_x, \mathbf{N}\right), \quad M = ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2\left(D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}}\mathbf{X}_x, \mathbf{N}\right), \quad N = ds_{\lambda,\mu}^2\left(D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}}\mathbf{X}_y, \mathbf{N}\right).$$

Since S is a graph of a function f , we can choose a unit normal vector field $\mathbf{N}$ as

$$\mathbf{N} = -\frac{1}{W}(\delta P e_1 + \delta Q e_2 - e_3), \quad W = \sqrt{1 + \delta^2(P^2 + Q^2)}.$$

The second fundamental form derived from this unit normal vector field is given by

$$\begin{aligned} WL &= f_{xx} + \lambda PQ + \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(Px - Qy) - \frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta^2}xy, \\ WM &= f_{xy} + \frac{\lambda}{2}(Q^2 - P^2) + \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(Py + Qx) + \frac{\lambda\mu}{2\delta^2}(x^2 - y^2), \\ WN &= f_{yy} - \lambda PQ - \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(Px - Qy) + \frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta^2}xy. \end{aligned}$$

2.3

Let us denote the following matrix valued functions associated to I and II by the same letters I and II, respectively;

$$\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} E & F \\ F & G \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{II} = \begin{pmatrix} L & M \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}.$$

The solutions λ_1 and λ_2 to the characteristic equation $\det(\mathbf{II} - t\mathbf{I}) = 0$ are called the *principal curvatures* of S . Recall that the average $H = (k_1 + k_2)/2$ of k_1 and k_2 is called the *mean curvature* of S . The mean curvature H is computed by the formula:

$$H = \frac{EN + GL - 2FM}{2(EG - F^2)}.$$

A surface $S : z = f(x, y)$ is said to be *minimal* if $H = 0$.

On the other hand, the *Gaussian curvature* K of S is given by [38, p. 101]

$$K = K_{\mathcal{M}} + k_1 k_2,$$

where

$$K_{\mathcal{M}} = \frac{ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2(R(\mathbf{X}_x, \mathbf{X}_y)\mathbf{X}_y, \mathbf{X}_x)}{EG - F^2}.$$

A surface $S : z = f(x, y)$ is said to be *flat* if $K = 0$.

2.4

The differential equation $H = 0$ for a surface S defined as a graph $(x, y, f(x, y))$ is called the *minimal surface equation* in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$. The minimal surface equation is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu}) \quad & \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + Q^2 \right) f_{xx} - 2PQ f_{xy} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + P^2 \right) f_{yy} \\ & - \frac{2\mu}{\delta} (Px + Qy) \left[P^2 + Q^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2\delta} (Qx - Py) \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, this equation reduces to the one for the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_3$ if $\mu = 0$, $\lambda = 1$ ([3]), and for the minimal surface equation of $\mathbb{E}^3$ if $\lambda = \mu = 0$, respectively.

2.5

The minimal surface equation $(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\mu})$ can be rewritten as the following *divergence form*:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{P}{W} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{Q}{W} \right) = 0.$$

§ 3. Minimal surfaces in BCV-spaces

Minimal surface theory in Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^3$ was started with constructing/classifying fundamental examples of minimal surfaces, *eg.*, minimal surfaces of revolution, ruled minimal surfaces, or translation minimal surfaces etc. (For more informations on minimal surface theory in $\mathbb{E}^3$, we refer to Nitsche's book [35] and Osserman's book [39]).

In this section we study elementary and fundamental examples of minimal surfaces in BCV-spaces.

3.1

The following 2-parameter family of Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{R}^3$ are investigated by the first named author [4] and T. Hangan [19].

$$g_{\eta,\xi} = \frac{1}{1 - (\eta + \xi^2)r^2} [dx^2 + dy^2 - \eta(ydx - xdy)^2 + 2\xi(ydx - xdy)dz + dz^2], \quad \eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$. These metrics have a characteristic property; with respect to which all the planes $z = ax + by + c$ are minimal surfaces. Note that Euclidean metrics and the canonical left invariant metrics on the Heisenberg group belong to this family. In fact, the Euclidean metric is $g_{0,0}$. The left invariant metrics on the Heisenberg group are characterized as a parabola $\eta = -\xi^2$.

It is easy to observe that in the BCV-space, linear function $f(x, y) = ax + by + c$ are not solutions to the minimal surface equation, for general λ and μ .

This implies that general BCV-metrics are not the member of the family $\{g_{\eta,\xi}\}$.

The Heisenberg metrics $g_{\xi,-\xi^2}$ ($\xi \neq 0$) and Euclidean metric belong to $\{g_{\eta,\xi}\}$. This property characterizes these two metrics as follows:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ be a BCV-space. Then the surface $z = f(x, y) = ax + by + c$ is minimal for arbitrary a, b and c if and only if $\mu = 0$, i.e., the space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is isomorphic to Euclidean 3-space or the Heisenberg group.*

Proof. ($\Leftarrow$) If $\mu = 0$, then the minimal surface equation $(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\mu})$ admits all linear functions as its solutions (cf. [3]).

($\Rightarrow$) Conversely, let us assume that all the linear functions are solutions to the minimal surface equation $(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\mu})$. Then the minimal surface equation $(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda,\mu})$ with respect to $f(x, y) = ax + by + c$ is

$$\frac{2\mu}{\delta} (ax + by) [a^2 + b^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2\delta}(bx - ay)] = 0.$$

Since this equation holds for all a and b , we have $\mu = 0$. ■

3.2 Helicoids in BCV-spaces

Euclidean helicoids can be characterized as a minimal surface in $\mathbb{E}^3$ which is a graph of a function of the form $f(x, y) = h(\frac{y}{x})$. In this subsection we look for minimal surfaces determined by the solution $f(x, y) = h(\frac{y}{x})$ to the minimal surface equation in BCV-space.

Let S be a surface which is a graph of a function of the form $f(x, y) = h(\frac{y}{x})$. Put $u = \frac{y}{x}$ for $x \neq 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_x &= -\frac{y}{x^2}h', f_y = \frac{1}{x}h', \\ f_{xx} &= \frac{2y}{x^3}h' + \frac{y^2}{x^4}h'', f_{xy} = -\frac{1}{x^2}h' - \frac{y}{x^3}h'', f_{yy} = \frac{1}{x^2}h'', \end{aligned}$$

where h' and h'' are the derivatives with respect to u .

Now we insert these data to the minimal surface equation $(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda, \mu})$ and multiply x^2 to it, then we have the differential equation

$$(1 + u^2)h'' + 2uh' = 0.$$

One can see that general solutions to this ODE is given explicitly by $f(x, y) = h(\frac{y}{x}) = \alpha \tan^{-1}(\frac{y}{x}) + \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proposition 3.3. *The only minimal surfaces in BCV-space which has the form $z = f(x, y) = h(\frac{y}{x})$ are the surfaces $f(x, y) = \alpha \tan^{-1}(y/x) + \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Remark 3.1. *If $\lambda = \mu = 0$, the minimal surface $z = \alpha \tan^{-1}(y/x) + \beta$ is a helicoid in $\mathbb{E}^3$. This surface is minimal in the Heisenberg space as well as in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with metric $g_{\eta, \xi}$.*

3.3 Axially symmetric minimal surfaces

It is easy to see that the metrics $ds_{\lambda, \mu}^2$ are invariant under rotations about z -axis and translations along the same axis. Based on this fundamental property, in this subsection, we classify *axially symmetric* minimal graphs in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$.

A surface $S : z = f(x, y)$ is said to be *axially symmetric* if f depends only on $r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.

Now let S be an axially symmetric graph of a function $f(x, y) = T(r)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_x &= \frac{x}{r}T', f_y = \frac{y}{r}T', \\ f_{xx} &= \frac{y^2}{r^3}T' + \frac{x^2}{r^2}T'', f_{yy} = \frac{x^2}{r^3}T' + \frac{y^2}{r^2}T'', f_{xy} = \frac{-xy}{r^3}T' + \frac{xy}{r^2}T'', \end{aligned}$$

where T' and T'' are the derivatives with respect to r . From these, we get the following minimal surface equation:

$$(3.3.4) \quad r(4 + \lambda^2 r^2)T'' + 4T'[1 + (1 - \mu^2 r^4)T'^2] = 0.$$

To solve this equation we put $T' = u$. Since $r(4 + \lambda^2 r^2) \neq 0$, then (3.3.4) is rewritten as:

$$u' + \frac{4}{r(4 + \lambda^2 r^2)}u = -\frac{4(1 - \mu^2 r^4)}{r(4 + \lambda^2 r^2)}u^3.$$

This is a *Bernoulli's differential equation*. Now we put $v = 1/u^2$ then the preceding equation is rewritten as:

$$v' - \frac{8}{r(4 + \lambda^2 r^2)}v = \frac{8(1 - \mu^2 r^4)}{r(4 + \lambda^2 r^2)}.$$

General solutions to this equation are given by

$$v = \frac{\alpha r^2 - 4(1 + \mu^2 r^4)}{(4 + \lambda^2 r^2)}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Hence

$$(T')^2 = \frac{4 + \lambda^2 r^2}{\alpha r^2 - 4(1 + \mu^2 r^4)} = -\frac{1 + (\lambda/2)^2 r^2}{1 + r^2(\mu^2 r^2 - \beta)}, \quad \beta = \alpha/4 > 0.$$

To solve this equation, we need separate discussions according to the values of λ and μ . Our general reference on the elliptic integrals is [17].

- (1) $\lambda = \mu = 0$ ($\mathcal{M}_{0,0}^3 = \mathbb{E}^3$): In this case we have $(T')^2 = 1/(\beta r^2 - 1)$, $r^2 > 1/\beta$, $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$. The solution is the axially symmetric surface:

$$T(r) = \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}}}^r \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\beta t^2 - 1}} + c_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \cosh^{-1} \sqrt{\beta} r + c_1.$$

Hence the surface is a *catenoid* in $\mathbb{E}^3$.

- (2) $\lambda \neq 0, \mu = 0$ ($\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3 = \mathbb{H}_3$): In the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_3$, we have

$$(T')^2 = \frac{1 + (\lambda/2)^2 r^2}{\beta r^2 - 1} = \left(\frac{k\lambda}{2}\right)^2 \frac{r^2 + (2/\lambda)^2}{r^2 - k^2}, \quad r^2 > \frac{1}{\beta} = k^2, \quad k > 0.$$

The solution is the axially symmetric surface

$$T(r) = \frac{k|\lambda|}{2} \int_k^r \sqrt{\frac{(t^2 + 4/\lambda^2)}{(t^2 - k^2)}} dt + c_2.$$

This elliptic integral can be expressed by the elliptic integrals in Legendre form of first and second kinds. In fact, the integral

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_b^u \sqrt{\frac{(t^2 + a^2)}{(t^2 - b^2)}} dt$$

is represented as

$$\mathcal{I} = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} (F(\varepsilon, s) - E(\varepsilon, s)) + \frac{1}{u} \sqrt{(u^2 + a^2)(u^2 - b^2)}$$

with $u > b > 0$. Here $F(\varepsilon, s)$ and $E(\varepsilon, s)$ are the elliptic integrals in Legendre form of first and second kind, respectively;

$$F(\varepsilon, s) = \int_0^\varepsilon (1 - s^2 \sin^2 \alpha)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\alpha, \quad E(\varepsilon, s) = \int_0^\varepsilon (1 - s^2 \sin^2 \alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\alpha.$$

The modulus ε and the variable s are given by $\varepsilon = \arccos \frac{b}{u}$, $s = a/\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$.

Note that this minimal surface was already given by the first named author's paper [3].

- (3) $\lambda = 0$, $\mu \neq 0$: In this case $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$ is (locally) isometric to the product spaces. The minimal surface is determined by the solution T to

$$(T')^2 = -\frac{1}{1 + r^2(\mu^2 r^2 - \beta)}, \quad \beta > 0, \quad 1 + r^2(\mu^2 r^2 - \beta) < 0.$$

Now we put $R = r^2$. Since $1 + r^2(\mu^2 r^2 - \beta) < 0$, we have $\Delta_{\beta, \mu} := \beta^2 - 4\mu^2 > 0$ and $R \in (R_1, R_2)$, where $R_1 = (\beta - \sqrt{\Delta_{\beta, \mu}})/2\mu^2$, $0 < R_1 < R_2 = (\beta + \sqrt{\Delta_{\beta, \mu}})/2\mu^2$. Hence the axially symmetric surface is given by

$$T(R) = \frac{1}{2|\mu|} \int_{R_1}^R \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(t - R_1)(R_2 - t)}} + c_3, \quad R < R_2.$$

This is an elliptic integral which can be expressed by the elliptic integral in Legendre form of the first kind as follows:

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_b^u \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(a - t)(t - b)(t - c)}} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{a - c}} F(\varepsilon, s),$$

where $a \geq u > b > c$, $\varepsilon = \sin^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{(a - c)(u - b)}{(a - b)(u - c)}}$ and $s = \sqrt{\frac{a - b}{a - c}}$.

- (4) $\lambda \neq 0$, $\mu \neq 0$. In this case $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$ is locally isometric to $\text{SU}(2)$ or $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{R}$.

$$(T')^2 = -\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 \frac{r^2 + 4/\lambda^2}{1 + r^2(\mu^2 r^2 - \beta)}, \quad \beta > 0, \quad 1 + r^2(\mu^2 r^2 - \beta) < 0.$$

As in the preceding case, one can solve this ODE in the following way:

$$T(R) = \frac{|\lambda|}{4|\mu|} \int_{R_1}^R \sqrt{\frac{(t + 4/\lambda^2)}{t(t - R_1)(R_2 - t)}} dt + c_4, \quad R \leq R_2.$$

This elliptic integral is expressed in Legendre form of first and third kinds. In fact, the elliptic integral of the form

$$\mathcal{I} = \int_b^u \sqrt{\frac{(t - d)}{(a - t)(t - b)(t - c)}} dt$$

is represented as

$$\mathcal{I} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{(a-c)(b-d)}} \left\{ (b-c)\Pi\left(\varepsilon, \frac{a-b}{a-c}, s\right) + (c-d)F(\varepsilon, s) \right\},$$

where

$$a \geq u > b > c > d, \quad \varepsilon = \sin^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{(a-c)(u-b)}{(a-b)(u-c)}}, \quad s = \sqrt{\frac{(a-b)(c-d)}{(a-c)(b-d)}}.$$

Here we recall that the elliptic integral in Legendre form of third kind $\Pi(\varepsilon, \frac{a-b}{a-c}, s)$ is given by

$$\Pi\left(\varepsilon, \frac{a-b}{a-c}, s\right) = \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{d\alpha}{\left(1 + \frac{a-b}{a-c} \sin^2 \alpha\right) \sqrt{1 - s^2 \sin^2 \alpha}}.$$

Theorem 3.1. *The only axially symmetric minimal surfaces in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$ are the graphs of functions $f(x, y) = T(r) = T(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2})$ with $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, where*

- (1) $T(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \cosh^{-1} > \sqrt{\beta}r + c_1, \beta > 0$ for $\lambda = \mu = 0$.
- (2) $T(r) = \frac{k|\lambda|}{2} \int_k^r \sqrt{\frac{(t^2+4/\lambda^2)}{(t^2-k^2)}} dt + c_2, k \geq 0$ for $\lambda \neq 0, \mu = 0$.
- (3) $T(R) = \frac{1}{2|\mu|} \int_{R_1}^R \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t(t-R_1)(R_2-t)}} + c_3; \quad R = r^2 \leq R_2$ for $\lambda = 0, \mu \neq 0$.
- (4) $T(R) = \frac{|\lambda|}{4|\mu|} \int_{R_1}^R \sqrt{\frac{(t+4/\lambda^2)}{t(t-R_1)(R_2-t)}} dt + c_4, R = r^2 \leq R_2$, for $\lambda \neq 0, \mu \neq 0$ where $R_1 = (\beta - \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\mu^2})/2\mu^2, R_2 = (\beta + \sqrt{\beta^2 - 4\mu^2})/2\mu^2, \beta > 0$.

Remark 3.2. *Rotationally symmetric surfaces, i.e., surfaces which are invariant under rotations in z -axis in $\text{SL}_2\mathbb{R}$ are investigated in [29], [7], [23].*

§ 4. Umbilical surfaces

4.1

A point of a surface S in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda, \mu}^3$ is said to be an *umbilical point* (or *umbilic*) if the second fundamental form is proportional to the first fundamental form. A surface S is said to be (totally) *umbilical* if all the points are umbilical.

In particular, S is said to be *totally geodesic* if its second fundamental form vanishes. Totally geodesic property is equivalent to the condition: every geodesic in S is a geodesic in the ambient space, too.

Recall that in the Euclidean 3-space $\mathbb{E}^3$, the only umbilical surfaces are the planes and spheres. Note that planes are totally geodesic in $\mathbb{E}^3$.

In the 3-sphere $\mathbb{S}^3(r)$ of radius r , the only umbilical surfaces are small spheres and great spheres. In particular, great spheres are the only totally geodesic surfaces.

In the Heisenberg space, Hangan [18] proved the non-existence of totally geodesic surfaces. A. Sanini [43] gave a geometric proof of the non-existence of umbilical surfaces in $\mathbb{H}_3$. In [25], a Grassmannian geometric proof for the non-existence of totally geodesic surfaces is obtained.

More generally, the following result is known (see *eg.*, [8]):

Proposition 4.4. *The BCV-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ has totally geodesic surfaces if and only if $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda^2 = 4\mu$.*

In other words, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ admits totally geodesic surfaces if and only if it is locally symmetric. Totally geodesic surfaces in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,0}^3$ are classified in [8] as follows:

Proposition 4.5. *The only totally geodesic surfaces in the product space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,0}^3$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ are leaves $z = \text{constant}$ and vertical cylinders over geodesics.*

4.2

Now we study totally umbilical surfaces in the *non locally symmetric* BCV-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$.

Let S be an umbilical surface in $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ with $\lambda^2 - 4\mu \neq 0$. Then, by definition, there exists a function ρ such that $W \mathbb{I} = \rho \mathbb{I}$. Namely,

$$\frac{L}{E} = \frac{M}{F} = \frac{N}{G} = \frac{\rho}{W}.$$

By the implicit function theorem, S is locally expressed as a graph of some function $z = f(x, y)$ defined on a region in $\mathbb{R}^2(x, y)$.

The umbilical condition is given by the following system of partial differential equations:

$$(4.4.5) \quad \begin{cases} f_{xx} = -\lambda PQ - \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(xP - yQ) + \frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta^2}xy + \rho\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + P^2\right), \\ f_{xy} = \frac{\lambda}{2}(P^2 - Q^2) - \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(yP + xQ) - \frac{\lambda\mu}{2\delta^2}(x^2 - y^2) + \rho PQ, \\ f_{yy} = \lambda PQ + \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(xP - yQ) - \frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta^2}xy + \rho\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + Q^2\right). \end{cases}$$

The third derivatives of f are given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} f_{xxy} &= \rho_y\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + P^2\right) + \rho(2PP_y - \frac{4\mu}{\delta^3}y) + \frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta^3}x(1 + \mu(x^2 - 3y^2)) + \frac{4\mu^2}{\delta^2}y(Px - Qy), \\ &\quad - \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(P_yx - Q_yy - Q) - \lambda(P_yQ + PQ_y), \\ f_{xyx} &= \rho_xPQ + \rho(P_xQ + PQ_x) + \frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta^3}x(-1 + \mu(x^2 - 3y^2)) + \frac{4\mu^2}{\delta^2}x(Py + Qx), \\ &\quad - \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(P_xy + Q_xx + Q) + \lambda(PP_x - QQ_x), \\ f_{xyy} &= \rho_yPQ + \rho(P_yQ + PQ_y) + \frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta^3}y(1 + \mu(3x^2 - y^2)) + \frac{4\mu^2}{\delta^2}y(Py + Qx) \\ &\quad - \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(P_yy + Q_yx + P) + \lambda(PP_y - QQ_y), \\ f_{yyx} &= \rho_x\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + Q^2\right) + \rho(2QQ_x - \frac{4\mu}{\delta^3}x) - \frac{\lambda\mu}{\delta^3}y(1 - \mu(3x^2 - y^2)) - \frac{4\mu^2}{\delta^2}x(Px - Qy), \\ &\quad + \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(P_xx - Q_xy + P) + \lambda(P_xQ + PQ_x). \end{aligned}$$

From these the *integrability condition*:

$$(s_1) \quad \begin{cases} f_{xxy} = f_{xyx} \\ f_{yyx} = f_{xyy} \end{cases}$$

of f are equivalent to the system:

$$(4.4.6) \quad P\rho_x + \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + P^2\right)\rho_y - \frac{1}{\delta^2}Q\rho^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2}P\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + P^2 + Q^2\right)\rho + \frac{4\mu - \lambda^2}{\delta^2}Q = 0,$$

$$(4.4.7) \quad \left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + Q^2\right)\rho_x - PQ\rho_y - \frac{1}{\delta^2}P\rho^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}Q\left(\frac{1}{\delta^2} + P^2 + Q^2\right)\rho + \frac{4\mu - \lambda^2}{\delta^2}P = 0.$$

We multiply P to (4.4.6). Next, multiply Q to (4.4.7) and subtracting it from $P \times$ (4.4.6). Then we obtain $P\rho_y = Q\rho_x + \frac{\lambda}{2}(P^2 + Q^2)\rho$. Inserting this into (4.4.7), we get

$$(4.4.8) \quad \rho_x = P(\rho^2 - 4\mu + \lambda^2) - \frac{\lambda}{2}Q\rho.$$

Here we used a fact $P^2 + Q^2 + \frac{1}{\delta^2} \neq 0$. Analogously we have

$$(4.4.9) \quad \rho_y = Q(\rho^2 - 4\mu + \lambda^2) + \frac{\lambda}{2}P\rho.$$

The equations (4.4.8) and (4.4.9) together with the integrability condition for ρ ($\rho_{xy} = \rho_{yx}$) imply that

$$(4.4.10) \quad (P_y - Q_x)(\rho^2 - 4\mu + \lambda^2) + 2\rho(P\rho_y - Q\rho_x) - \frac{\lambda}{2}(Q_y + P_x)\rho - \frac{\lambda}{2}(Q\rho_y + P\rho_x) = 0.$$

Inserting the equations

$$P = f_x + \frac{\lambda y}{2\delta}, \quad Q = f_y - \frac{\lambda x}{2\delta},$$

into (4.4.10) we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,\mu}) \quad \lambda(4\mu - \lambda^2)(P^2 + Q^2 - \frac{2}{\delta^2}) = 0.$$

Since we assumed that $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is not of constant curvature and $\lambda \neq 0$, $(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda,\mu})$ reduces to the equation $\lambda(P^2 + Q^2 - \frac{2}{\delta^2}) = 0$.

Hence the umbilical surface S satisfies $P^2 + Q^2 = 2/\delta^2 \neq 0$. Differentiating this equation we have

$$(s_2) \quad PP_x + QQ_x = -\frac{4\mu}{\delta^3}x, \quad PP_y + QQ_y = -\frac{4\mu}{\delta^3}y.$$

Substituting P_x , P_y , Q_x , and Q_y into this system we have

$$(s_3) \quad P\rho - \frac{\lambda}{2}Q = 0, \quad Q\rho + \frac{\lambda}{2}P = 0.$$

We multiply P the first equation of (s_3) . Next multiplying Q to the second equation of (s_3) . Adding the resulting two equations, we have $\rho(P^2 + Q^2) = 0$. Since $P^2 + Q^2 = 2/\delta^2 \neq 0$ we have necessarily $\rho = 0$. Hence the surface is totally geodesic. However $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ with $\lambda^2 - 4\mu \neq 0$ and $\lambda \neq 0$ has no totally geodesic surfaces.

Thus we obtain the following Theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *The non locally symmetric BCV-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ has no totally umbilical surfaces.*

Remark 4.3. *If $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ is a product space, i.e, $\lambda = 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, the derivatives of ρ are given by*

$$\rho_x = (\rho^2 - 4\mu)f_x, \quad \rho_y = (\rho^2 - 4\mu)f_y.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(4.4.11) \quad f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-\mu}} \tan^{-1} \frac{\rho(x, y)}{2\sqrt{-\mu}} + c, \text{ for } \mu < 0,$$

$$(4.4.12) \quad f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \tanh^{-1} \frac{\rho(x, y)}{2\sqrt{\mu}} + c, \text{ for } \mu > 0.$$

Here c is a real constant.

Inserting (4.4.11) or (4.4.12) into the umbilical condition (4.4.5), we get the following system of PDE's with respect to ρ :

$$(4.4.13) \quad \rho_{xx} = -\frac{2\mu}{\delta}(x\rho_x - y\rho_y) + \frac{\rho}{\delta^2}(\rho^2 - 4\mu) + \frac{3\rho\rho_x^2}{\rho^2 - 4\mu},$$

$$(4.4.14) \quad \rho_{xy} = -\frac{2\mu}{\delta}(y\rho_x + x\rho_y) + \frac{\rho\rho_x\rho_y}{\rho^2 - 4\mu}$$

$$(4.4.15) \quad \rho_{yy} = \frac{2\mu}{\delta}(x\rho_x - y\rho_y) + \frac{\rho}{\delta^2}(\rho^2 - 4\mu) + \frac{3\rho\rho_y^2}{\rho^2 - 4\mu}.$$

The system (4.4.13)–(4.4.15) for $\mu < 0$ is solved by Montaldo and Onnis [34]. Namely, totally umbilical surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2(1/2\sqrt{-\mu}) \times \mathbb{R}$ are explicitly given in [34]. J. Van der Veken classified all totally umbilical surfaces in the BCV-space, independently [49].

Remark 4.4. *Sanini obtained the following result.*

Lemma 4.1. *Let N be a Riemannian 3-manifold and S a surface in N . Denote by ψ the tangential Gauss map of S :*

$$\psi : S \rightarrow \text{Gr}_2(TN), \quad \psi(p) := T_p S \subset T_p N.$$

Here $\text{Gr}_2(TN)$ denotes the Grassmannian bundle of all 2-planes in the tangent bundle of N . Then the tangential Gauss map is conformal if and only if S is totally umbilical or minimal.

The following result is essentially due to Tamura [45]:

Theorem 4.3. *The only minimal surfaces with vertically harmonic tangential Gauss map in the BCV-space of non-constant curvature are totally geodesic leaves or vertical cylinders over geodesics. Moreover their tangential Gauss maps are conformal and harmonic.*

These two results together with our Theorem 4.2 imply the following characterization.

Corollary 4.1. *The only surfaces in non-locally symmetric BCV-space $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda,\mu}^3$ with conformal tangential Gauss map are the vertical cylinders over geodesics.*

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank professor Theodor Hangan and Tewfik Sari for their comments. The authors would like to thank professor Stefano Montaldo for informing his work [34] with Dr. Irene I. Onnis and useful comments to the first version of this work.

References

- [1] U. Abresch and H. Rosenberg, *The Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Acta Math. 193 (2004), no. 2, 141–174.
- [2] U. Abresch and H. Rosenberg, *Generalized Hopf differentials*, Mat. Contemp. 28 (2005), 1–28.
- [3] M. Bekkar, *Exemples de surfaces minimales dans l'espace de Heisenberg*, Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari 61 (1991), no. 2, 123–130.
- [4] M. Bekkar, *Sur les métriques riemanniennes qui admettent le plan, comme surface minimale*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 10, 3077–3083.
- [5] M. Bekkar and T. Sari, *Surfaces minimales réglées dans l'espace de Heisenberg $\mathbb{H}_3$* , Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Politec. Torino, 50 (1992), no. 3, 243–254.
- [6] D. A. Berdinskii and I. A. Taïmanov, *Surfaces in three-dimensional Lie groups* (Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 46 (2005), no. 6, 1248–1264. English Translation: Siberian Math. J. 46 (2005), no. 6, 1005–1019.
- [7] M. Belkheffa, F. Dillen, and J. Inoguchi, *Parallel surfaces in the real special linear group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$* , Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 65 (2002), 183–189.
- [8] M. Belkheffa, F. Dillen, and J. Inoguchi, *Surfaces with parallel second fundamental form in Bianchi-Cartan-Vranceanu spaces*, in: PDEs, Submanifolds and Affine Differential Geometry, (B. Opozda, U. Simon and M. Wiehe eds.), Banach Center Publ. 57, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2002, pp. 67–87.
- [9] L. Bianchi, *Lezioni di geometrie differenziale*, E. Spoerri Libraio-Editore, 1894.
- [10] L. Bianchi, *Sugli sazi a tre dimensioni che ammettono un gruppo continuo di movimenti*, in: Memorie di Matematica e di Fisica della Societa Italiana delle Scienze, Serie Tereza, Tomo XI (1898), 267–352. English Translation: *On the three-dimensional spaces which admit a continuous group of motions*, General Relativity and Gravitation 33 (2001), no. 12, 2171–2252.
- [11] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc and P. Piu, *The Euler-Lagrange method for biharmonic curves*, Mediterr. J. Math. 3 (2006), no. 3-4, 449–465.

- [12] E. Cartan, *Leçon sur la geometrie des espaces de Riemann*, Second Edition, Gauthier-Villards, Paris, 1946.
- [13] R. Caddeo, P. Piu and A. Ratto, *SO(2)-invariant minimal and constant mean curvature surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. 87 (1995), 1-12.
- [14] J. T. Cho and J. Inoguchi, *Pseudo-symmetric contact 3-manifolds*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 42 (2005), no. 5, 913-932.
- [15] J. T. Cho, J. Inoguchi and J. E. Lee, *Biharmonic curves in 3-dimensional Sasakian space forms*, Ann. Mat. pura Appl., to appear.
- [16] C. Gorodski, *Delaunay-type surfaces in the 2×2 real unimodular group*, Ann. Mat. pura Appl. 180 (2001), 211-221.
- [17] S. Gradshteyn and M. Ryzhik, M., *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, 1980.
- [18] Th. Hangan, *Sur les distributions totalement géodésiques du groupe nilpotent riemannien $\mathbb{H}_{2p+1}$* , Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari 55 (1985), 31-37.
- [19] Th. Hangan, *On the riemannian metrics in $\mathbb{R}^n$ which admit all hyperplanes as minimal surfaces*, J. Geom. Phys. 18 (1996), 326-334.
- [20] J. Inoguchi, *Minimal surfaces in 3-dimensional solvable Lie groups*, Chinese Ann. Math. B. 24 (2003), 73-84.
- [21] J. Inoguchi, *Minimal surfaces in 3-dimensional solvable Lie groups II*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 73 (2006), 365-374.
- [22] J. Inoguchi, *Minimal surfaces in the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group*, preprint.
- [23] J. Inoguchi, *Invariant minimal surfaces in the real special linear group of degree 2*, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. 16 (2004), 61-80.
- [24] J. Inoguchi, T. Kumamoto, N. Ohsugi and Y. Suyama, *Differential Geometry of curves and surfaces in 3-dimensional homogeneous spaces I-IV*, Fukuoka Univ. Sci. Rep., 29 (1999), 155-182, 30 (2000), 17-47, 130-161, 161-168.
- [25] J. Inoguchi, K. Kuwabara and H. Naitoh, *Grassmann geometry on the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group*, Hokkaido Math. J. 34 (2005), no. 2, 375-391.
- [26] J. Inoguchi and S. Lee, *A Weierstrass type representation for minimal surfaces in Sol*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [27] J. Inoguchi and J. Van der Veken, *Parallel surfaces in the motion groups $E(1,1)$ and $E(2)$* , Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, to appear.
- [28] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry*, Springer Verlag, 1972.
- [29] M. Kokubu, *On minimal surfaces in the real special linear group $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$* , Tokyo J. Math. 20 (1997), 287-297.
- [30] K. Kuwabara, *Grassmann geometry on the groups of rigid motions on the Euclidean and Minkowski planes*, Tsukuba J. Math. 30 (2006), 49-59.
- [31] F. Mercuri, S. Montaldo and P. Piu, *A Weierstrass representation formula for minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}_3$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 22 (2006), no. 6, 1603-1612.
- [32] S. Montaldo and I. I. Onnis, *Invariant surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Glasgow Math. J. 46 (2004), 311-321.
- [33] S. Montaldo and I. I. Onnis, *Invariant surfaces of a three-dimensional manifold with constant Gauss curvature*, J. Geom. Phys. 55 (2005), no. 4 440-449.

- [34] S. Montaldo and I. I. Onnis, *A note on surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , preprint, Univ. Cagliari, 2005.
- [35] J. C. C. Nitsche, *Lectures on Minimal Surfaces*, Vol. 1, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [36] B. Nelli and H. Rosenberg, *Minimal surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Bull. Brasil Math. Soc. (N.S.) 33 (2002), 263–292.
- [37] B. O’Neill, *The fundamnetal equations of a submersion*, Michigan Math. J. 13 (1966), 459–469.
- [38] B. O’Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Application to Relativity*, Academic Press, 1983.
- [39] R. Osserman, *A Survey on Minimal Surfaces*, Van Nostrand, 1969.
- [40] R. Pedrosa and M. Ritoré, *Isoperimetric domains in the Riemannian product of a circle with a simply connected space form and applications to free boundary problems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 48 (1999), 1357–1349.
- [41] M. P. Piu, *Sur certains types de distributions non intégrables totalement géodésiques*, Thèse de doctorat, Université de Haute Alsace, 1988.
- [42] H. Rosenberg, *Minimal surfaces in $M^2 \times \mathbb{R}$* , Illinois J. Math. 46 (2002), no. 4, 1177–1195.
- [43] A. Sanini, *Gauss map of a surface of the Heisenberg group*, Bol. Unione Mat. Ital. 7-11. B, Suppl. fasc. 2 (1997), 79-93.
- [44] P. Sitzia, *Explicit formulas for geodesics of three-dimensional homogeneous manifolds with isometry group of dimension 4 or 6*, preprint, Univ. Cagliari (1989).
- [45] M. Tamura, *Gauss maps of surfaces in contact space forms*, Comm. Math. Univ. Sanct. Pauli. 52 (2003), 117–123.
- [46] P. Tomter, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in the Heisenberg group*, in: *Differential Geometry: Partial Differential Equations on manifolds* (Los Angeles, CA, 1990), Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 54 Part I, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 485–495.
- [47] W. M. Thurston, *Three-dimensional Geometry and Topology I*, Princeton Math. Series., vol. 35 (S. Levy ed.), 1997.
- [48] F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Homogeneous Structures on Riemannian Manifolds*, Lecture Notes Series, London Math. Soc. 52, (1983), Cambridge Univ. Press.
- [49] J. Van der Veken, *Higher order parallel surfaces in three-dimensional homogeneous spaces*, preprint, 2006, math.DG/0604541.
- [50] G. Vranceanu, *Leçons de Géométrie Différentielle I*, Ed. Acad. Rep. Pop. Roum., Bucarest, 1947.

Authors' addresses:

Mohammed Bekkar

Faculté des Sciences, Département de mathématiques,
Université d'Oran Es-sénia, Oran Es-sénia, Algérie.

e-mail: bekkar_99@yahoo.fr

Fatima Bouziani and Yamna Boukhatem

Faculté des Sciences, Département de mathématiques,
Université de Mostaganem, Mostaganem, Algérie.

Jun-ichi Inoguchi

Department of Mathematics Education, Faculty of Education,
Utsunomiya University, Minemachi 350, Utsunomiya, 321-8505, Japan.

e-mail: inoguchi@cc.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp