

On the minimal orbits of an abelian linear action

Slaheddine Chihi

Abstract. In this paper we prove that, for an abelian linear action, every orbit γ is contained in a locally closed submanifold $V(\gamma)$ such that $\gamma \subset V(\gamma) \subset \bar{\gamma}$ where $\bar{\gamma}$ is the closure of γ and γ is minimal if and only if $V(\gamma)$ is closed. Next, using this result and a classical classification of abelian Lie groups, we describe the minimal sets. After, we prove that there exist a decreasing finite sequence F_0, F_1, \dots, F_k of invariant closed sets such that $\Omega_j = F_j \setminus F_{j-1}$ is a dense open set (for the relative topology) of F_j and every orbit in Ω_j is minimal in it.

M.S.C. 2000: 37C85.

Key words: Group; linear action; orbit; minimal orbit; minimal set; commutative algebra.

1 Introduction

A *group action* is a pair (E, G) where E is a topological space and G is a group acting continuously on E . An *abelian linear action* is a pair (E, G) where E is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ (or \mathbb{C}) and G is an abelian subgroup of the general linear group $GL(E)$ acting naturally on E by $(g, x) \rightarrow gx = g(x)$.

In [1], the authors studied the dynamic of an abelian subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{K}^n)$, and proved a structure's Theorem deducing the existence of minimal sets. In this paper we introduce the notion of *rank of an orbit* and, using it, we prove by an independent manner, the existence of minimal sets giving a complete classification of them. We will start by studying the subspaces of E stable by a commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(E)$, where $\mathcal{L}(E)$ is the \mathbb{K} -algebra of the linear transformations of E . We consider here only the real case and suppose that a inner product is given on E .

Recall now some notions concerning a group action. We will use the definitions given in [1]. Let (E, G) be a group action and $x \in E$. The *orbit* of x is the set

$$Gx = \{gx, g \in G\}.$$

A subset $A \subset E$ is called *G-invariant* if $Gx \subset A$, for all $x \in A$. A *G-invariant set* A is said *minimal set* of (E, G) , if

$$\overline{Gx} = A, \text{ for all } x \in A,$$

that is A is closed and every orbit in A is dense in A . Let X be a G -invariant subset of E . A minimal set in X is a minimal set of (G_X, X) where G_X is the group G restricted to X . An orbit \mathcal{O} is said *minimal* if $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$ is a minimal set.

We call *class* of an orbit \mathcal{O} the set $cl(\mathcal{O})$ of orbits γ such that $\overline{\mathcal{O}} = \overline{\gamma}$. If \mathcal{O} is a minimal orbit in a G -invariant open set U then $cl(\mathcal{O}) = \overline{\mathcal{O}} \cap U$.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 1, we present some results concerning subspaces of E stable by a commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(E)$ introducing the notions of *monogenous subspaces* and *rank of an element* of E . A correspondence between ideals of \mathcal{A} and stable subspaces of E is given. In section 2, we introduce the *rank of an orbit* and using this notion we develop some result concerning minimal orbits and existence of minimal sets proving in particular the existence of subspaces of dimension 1 or 2 saturated by minimal sets. Next we give a topological characterization of the minimal sets and we prove the existence of an increasing finite sequence of invariant closed sets F_0, F_1, \dots, F_k ($k \leq \dim E$) with the following properties.

1. $\Omega_j = F_j \setminus F_{j-1}$ is a dense open set of F_j for the relative topology ($1 \leq j \leq k$).
2. Every orbit in Ω_j is minimal in Ω_j .
3. $F_0 = \emptyset$ and $F_k = E \setminus \{0\}$

All vectorial space or algebra introduced in this paper will be, implicitly, provided with his natural differential structure as a finite dimensional real vector space.

2 Subspaces stable by a commutative subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(E)$

In this section, E is a finite dimensional real vector space provided with a scalar product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ and \dot{E} is the pointed space $E \setminus \{0\}$. We denote by \mathcal{A} a commutative unitary subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(E)$. A subspace F of E is said *stable* by \mathcal{A} or simply *stable* if

$$u(F) \subset F, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is commutative, we have for all $u \in \mathcal{A}$, the image, $\text{Im}(u)$, and the kernel, $\ker(u)$, of u are stable subspaces of E .

For every stable subspace F of E , we denote by \mathcal{A}_F the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(F)$ consisting of elements of \mathcal{A} restricted to F . For every $a \in E$, we consider the linear map $\Phi_a : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow E$ defined by

$$\Phi_a(u) = u(a), \quad u \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It's kernel is denoted by K_a

$$K_a = \ker \Phi_a = \{u \in \mathcal{A} / u(a) = 0\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *If Φ_a is surjective then it is also injective and so it is a linear isomorphism.*

Proof. If Φ_a is surjective then every $x \in E$ can be written in the form

$$x = u(a)$$

for some $u \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\varphi \in \ker \Phi_a$ (i.e. $\varphi(a) = 0$) then for all $x \in E$, we have

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(u(a)) = u(\varphi(a)) = u(0) = 0$$

whence $\varphi = 0$ and so $\ker \Phi_a = 0$ which gives that Φ_a is injective. \square

The vector space E is called *monogenous* (with respect to \mathcal{A}) if there exist a vector $a \in E$ such that the map Φ_a is surjective. Every such vector is called a *generator* of E . A stable subspace $F \subset E$ is called *monogenous subspace* if it is monogenous with respect to \mathcal{A}_F . Every vector $a \in E$ determines a monogenous subspace, namely the subspace

$$E_a = \text{Im } \Phi_a = \{u(a), u \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

We call *rank* of an element a of E , the integer

$$r(a) = \dim E_a.$$

It is easy to see that if F is a stable subspace then for all $a \in F$, $E_a \subset F$, and so $r(a) \leq \dim F$. In particular, we have

$$r(a) \leq \dim E, \quad a \in E$$

and by Lemma 2.1, $r(a) = \dim E$ if and only if Φ_a is a linear isomorphism.

We can easily check from the commutativity of \mathcal{A} that $E_a = \Phi_a(\mathcal{A})$ is a stable subspace of E and $K_a = \Phi_a^{-1}(0)$ is an ideal in \mathcal{A} . More generally we have:

Lemma 2.2. *For all $a \in E$ we have:*

1. *If F is a stable subspace of E , $\Phi_a^{-1}(F)$ is an ideal in \mathcal{A} containing K_a .*
2. *If \mathbf{I} is an ideal in \mathcal{A} then $\Phi_a(\mathbf{I})$ is a stable subspace of E contained in E_a .*

Proof. Let F be a stable subspace and $\mathbf{J} = \Phi_a^{-1}(F)$. Then for all $u, v \in \mathbf{J}$ we have

$$u(a) \in F \text{ and } v(a) \in F$$

so

$$(u - v)(a) \in F$$

that is

$$u - v \in \mathbf{J}$$

this means that \mathbf{J} is an additive subgroup of \mathcal{A} .

On the other hand, let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be any element and $u \in \mathbf{J}$ arbitrary chosen, then

$$u(a) \in F$$

and since F is stable by \mathcal{A} , we have

$$fu(a) \in F$$

that is

$$fu \in \mathbf{J}$$

whence \mathbf{J} is an ideal of \mathcal{A} . Since $0 \in F$, we have $\Phi_a^{-1}(0) \subset \Phi_a^{-1}(F)$. That is $K_a \subset \mathbf{J}$.

Let \mathbf{I} be an ideal of \mathcal{A} , and $F = \Phi_a(\mathbf{I})$. It is clear that F is a subspace of E . Moreover if $x \in F$, then there exist $u \in \mathbf{I}$ such that $x = u(a)$. Since \mathbf{I} is an ideal we have:

$$fu \in \mathbf{I}, \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{A}$$

and therefore $f(x) = fu(a) \in F$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$ that is F is stable by \mathcal{A} . On the other hand $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathcal{A}$ implies $\Phi_a(\mathbf{I}) \subset \Phi_a(\mathcal{A})$. That is $F \subset E_a$ which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.3. *If $r(x)$ is a constant, k , independent from $x \in \dot{E}$ then:*

- i) \dot{E} is a disjoint union of k -dimensional monogenous (pointed) subspaces.
- ii) For every $a \in \dot{E}$, the ring \mathcal{A}_{E_a} is a commutative field and $k = 1$ or $k = 2$.
- iii) If $k = 1$, then $\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda Id_E, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
- iv) If $k = 2$, then E is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^p and, for some identification $E \simeq \mathbb{C}^p$,

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\lambda Id_E, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Proof. i) For arbitrary vectors $a, b \in \dot{E}$, the subspace $E_a \cap E_b$ is stable, so if $E_a \cap E_b \neq \{0\}$ then it contains a non zero vector c and thus we have:

$$k = r(c) \leq \dim(E_a \cap E_b) \leq \dim E_a = k.$$

It follows that $\dim(E_a \cap E_b) = k$ and therefore $E_a \cap E_b = E_a = E_b$. Consequently

$$E_a \cap E_b = \{0\} \text{ or } E_a = E_b$$

this means that \dot{E} is a disjoint union of monogenous pointed subspaces of dimension k . That is, for some subset $A \subset E$,

$$E = \bigcup_{a \in A} E_a$$

with $\dim E_a = k$, for all $a \in A$ and $E_a \cap E_b = \{0\}$, for $a \neq b \in A$. It is obvious that, in this case, we can write, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p E_{a_i}.$$

ii) Suppose at first that $k = \dim E$ (that is for all $x \in \dot{E}$, $r(x) = \dim E$) and let $u \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$ be an arbitrary non zero element. If $\ker(u) \neq \{0\}$, then it contains a non zero vector x . Since it is a stable subspace, we have $r(x) \leq \dim \ker(u) < \dim E$. Which contradicts the hypothesis that $r(x) = \dim E$, so $\ker(u) = \{0\}$ and therefore u is invertible. This implies that every non zero element of the ring \mathcal{A} is invertible

and so \mathcal{A} is a field. Now \mathcal{A} is a commutative \mathbb{R} -algebra and so \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . In particular we have

$$\dim \mathcal{A} = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \dim \mathcal{A} = 2.$$

On the other hand, let $a \in \dot{E}$, then

$$r(a) = \dim(\text{Im} \Phi_a) = \dim E.$$

It follows that Φ_a is surjective and by Lemma 2.1, Φ_a is an isomorphism which gives

$$\dim E = \dim \mathcal{A}$$

whence $k = \dim E = 1$ or $k = \dim E = 2$. If $k < \dim E$ we have, for all $a \in \dot{E}$ and all $x \in \dot{E}_a$,

$$r(x) = k = \dim E_a.$$

So, by considering the pair (E_a, \mathcal{A}_{E_a}) , we obtain as above \mathcal{A}_{E_a} is a commutative field isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and $k = 1$ or $k = 2$.

iii) Suppose that $k = 1$, then for every $x \in \dot{E}$, the subspace generated by x is stable by \mathcal{A} . So for every $u \in \mathcal{A}$, the minimal polynomial P_u of u is of degree 1 and therefore $u(x) = \lambda_u \cdot x$ for all $x \in E$ which proves the part iii).

iv) Suppose that $k = 2$. Then, by part i), we have, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$E = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p E_{a_i}$$

with $\dim E_{a_i} = 2$, for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$. It follows that $\dim E = 2p$. So we can identify E to \mathbb{C}^p and obtain, as previously, that the elements of \mathcal{A} are all of the form

$$u(z) = \lambda_u z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^p$$

where $\lambda_u \in \mathbb{C}$. □

Definition 2.4. Let L be a stable subspace. We say that L is *minimal* (with respect to \mathcal{A}) if L does not contain a non trivial stable subspace and we say that L is *maximal* if L is not contained in a proper stable subspace.

Clearly if L is minimal, then for all stable subspace F we have

$$L \subset F \quad \text{or} \quad L \cap F = \{0\}$$

Proposition 2.5. *Let L be a stable subspace. If L is minimal, then the ring \mathcal{A}_L is a commutative field isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and $\dim L = 1$ or $\dim L = 2$. If L is maximal, then $\dim L = n - 1$ or $\dim L = n - 2$.*

Proof. If L is minimal, then $\forall x \in L \setminus \{0\}$, $E_x = L$ and therefore $r(x) = \dim L$. The conclusion is, now, an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.3. If L is maximal, we consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^* = \{u^*; u \in \mathcal{A}\}$ where u^* is the adjoint endomorphism of u . It is easy to see that a subspace F is stable by \mathcal{A} if and only if F^\perp is stable by \mathcal{A}^* and F is minimal (resp. maximal) with respect to \mathcal{A} if and only if F^\perp is maximal (resp. minimal) with respect to \mathcal{A}^* . So if L is maximal with respect to \mathcal{A} , then L^\perp is minimal with respect to \mathcal{A}^* and by the first part $\dim L^\perp = 1$ or $\dim L^\perp = 2$. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let L be a stable subspace and $a \in L \setminus \{0\}$. Then L is minimal if and only if K_a is a maximal ideal in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Suppose that L is minimal and consider the linear map $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_L$ defined by

$$\sigma(u) = u_L, \quad u \in \mathcal{A}$$

where u_L is the restriction of u to L . Clearly σ is a surjective homomorphism between the two rings \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_L and consequently $\mathcal{A}/\ker(\sigma)$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{A}_L . Now, by Proposition 2.6, \mathcal{A}_L is a field. It follows that $\mathcal{A}/\ker(\sigma)$ is also a field and so $\ker(\sigma)$ is a maximal ideal in \mathcal{A} . So it is sufficient to prove that

$$K_a = \ker(\sigma)$$

Let $u \in \ker(\sigma)$ then $u_L = 0$, that is $u(x) = 0$, for all $x \in L$. In particular $u(a) = 0$ and therefore $u \in K_a$, so $\ker(\sigma) \subset K_a$. Conversely let $\varphi \in K_a$ (i.e: $\varphi(a) = 0$). Since $\ker \varphi$ is stable by \mathcal{A} , we have by minimality of L , $\ker \varphi \supset L$ or $\ker \varphi \cap L = \{0\}$. Yet $a \in \ker \varphi \cap L$ therefore $\ker \varphi \cap L \neq \{0\}$ so that $\ker \varphi \supset L$ and therefore $\varphi_L = 0$ that is $\sigma(\varphi) = 0$ hence $K_a \subset \ker(\sigma)$ and finally $K_a = \ker(\sigma)$. To prove the converse, suppose that K_a is a maximal ideal in \mathcal{A} and let F be a stable subspace of L . By Lemma 2.2, $\Phi_a^{-1}(F)$ is an ideal in \mathcal{A} containing K_a . Since K_a is maximal it follows that $\Phi_a^{-1}(F) = \mathcal{A}$ or $\Phi_a^{-1}(F) = K_a$, hence

$$F = \Phi_a(\mathcal{A}) = L \quad \text{or} \quad F = \Phi_a(K_a) = \{0\}.$$

This means that L is minimal. □

3 Minimal orbits and minimal sets

In this section, we consider an abelian linear action (E, G) and we denote by \mathcal{G} the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(E)$ generated by G . It is easy to see that a subspace F is stable by \mathcal{G} if and only if it is G -invariant. If F is a such space, we denote by G_F (resp. \mathcal{G}_F) the group (resp. algebra) consisting of elements of G (resp. \mathcal{G}) restricted to F .

For every G -invariant subset S of E , we denote by $E(S)$ the subspace of E generated by S , that is the subspace with smallest dimension containing S . When S is an orbit γ , $E(\gamma)$ is a monogeneous subspace. In fact it is easy to check that $E(\gamma) = E_a$ for any $a \in \gamma$. This means that the *rank* is constant on the orbits of G . We define the *rank* of an orbit γ by

$$r(\gamma) = r(a), \quad a \in \gamma$$

and the *rank* of the group G by

$$r(G) = \max\{r(a), \quad a \in E\}.$$

Two orbits γ_1 and γ_2 of G are said isomorphic if there exist an isomorphism $\varphi : E(\gamma_1) \rightarrow E(\gamma_2)$ such that

$$\varphi(\gamma_1) = \gamma_2.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let γ be an orbit of G . Then for every orbit α in $E(\gamma)$, there exist $u \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $u(\gamma) = \alpha$. In particular if $E(\alpha) = E(\gamma)$, then α and γ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $a \in \gamma$ and $b \in \alpha$ be arbitrary points. Then $E(\gamma) = E_a$ and so

$$E(\gamma) = \text{Im}\Phi_a = \{u(a), u \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Since $b \in \alpha \subset E_a$, there exist $u \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $b = u(a)$. Let $x \in \gamma$ then there exist $g \in G$, such that $x = g(a)$ so that

$$u(x) = u(g(a)) = g(u(a)) = g(b) \in \alpha$$

whence

$$(3.1) \quad u(\gamma) \subset \alpha.$$

Conversely, let $y \in \alpha$ then there exist $h \in G$ such that $y = h(b)$ hence

$$y = h(u(a)) = u(h(a))$$

now $h(a) \in \gamma$ and so $y \in u(\gamma)$, consequently

$$(3.2) \quad \alpha \subset u(\gamma).$$

By (3.1) and (3.2) we have

$$\alpha = u(\gamma).$$

Clearly we have at the same time $u(E(\gamma)) = E(\alpha)$. If $E(\alpha) = E(\gamma)$ then the linear map $u_{E(\gamma)} : E(\gamma) \rightarrow E(\alpha)$ is an isomorphism. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let γ_1 and γ_2 are orbits of G such that $\bar{\gamma}_1 = \bar{\gamma}_2$. Then γ_1 and γ_2 have the same rank and are isomorphic.*

Proof. Remark at first that for any orbit γ we have $E(\gamma) = E(\bar{\gamma})$. In fact, since $\gamma \subset \bar{\gamma}$ then $E(\gamma) \subset E(\bar{\gamma})$ and since $E(\gamma)$ is a closed set containing γ then $\bar{\gamma} \subset E(\gamma)$ and so $E(\bar{\gamma}) \subset E(\gamma)$ whence $E(\bar{\gamma}) = E(\gamma)$. If $\bar{\gamma}_1 = \bar{\gamma}_2$, then $E(\gamma_1) = E(\gamma_2)$ and the conclusion is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.3. *For every orbit γ of G in \dot{E} , there exist a locally closed submanifold $V(\gamma)$ of \dot{E} having the following properties.*

- i) $V(\gamma)$ is G -invariant and $\gamma \subset V(\gamma) \subset \bar{\gamma}$.*
- ii) For any orbit α , $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\gamma}$ if and only if α lies in $V(\gamma)$.*
- iii) If $\bar{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma) \neq \emptyset$, then for every orbit $\alpha \subset \bar{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$, we have $r(\alpha) < r(\gamma)$.*
- iv) γ is minimal in \dot{E} if and only if $V(\gamma)$ is closed in \dot{E} .*

Proof. Suppose at first, that $r(\gamma) = \dim(E)$, and let \bar{G} be the closure of G in \mathcal{G} and \tilde{G} be the closure of G in $GL(E)$ which is an abelian subgroup of $GL(E)$. Clearly we have

$$\tilde{G} = \bar{G} \cap GL(E),$$

and so $G \subset \tilde{G} \subset \bar{G}$. Then \tilde{G} is a closed subgroup of the Lie-group $GL(E)$ and therefore, by the Elie Cartan's Theorem (cf. [3]), \tilde{G} is a Lie-subgroup of the Lie-group

$GL(E)$ so it is a locally closed submanifold of \mathcal{G} . Fix a point $a \in \gamma$ and consider the map $\Phi_a : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow E$ defined as above by

$$\Phi_a(u) = u(a), \quad u \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Since $r(a) = r(\gamma) = \dim E$, the map Φ_a is surjective and by Lemma 2.1 it is an isomorphism. Consequently $\Phi_a(\tilde{G})$ is a locally closed submanifold of E . We denote it by $V(\gamma)$. Let $x \in V(\gamma) = \Phi_a(\tilde{G})$ then

$$x = \tilde{g}(a), \quad \text{for some } \tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$$

and for any $g \in G$, we have

$$g(x) = g\tilde{g}(a) = \Phi_a(g\tilde{g})$$

now $g\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ and therefore $g(x) \in \Phi_a(\tilde{G})$. It follows that $\Phi_a(\tilde{G})$ is G -invariant. On the other hand, since $\gamma = \Phi_a(G)$ and $\bar{\gamma} = \Phi_a(\bar{G})$, they have

$$\gamma \subset V(\gamma) \subset \bar{\gamma}.$$

This proves the property i).

ii) Remark at first that \tilde{G} is a dense open subset of \bar{G} (for the relative topology) and hence $V(\gamma)$ is a dense open subset of $\bar{\gamma}$ which gives that $\bar{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$ is a closed G -invariant subset. Let α an orbit such that $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\gamma}$ then α lies entirely in $V(\gamma)$ or lies entirely in $\bar{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$ now $\bar{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$ is closed, so if $\alpha \subset \bar{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$ then $\bar{\alpha} \subset \bar{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$ whence $\bar{\gamma} \subset \bar{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$ which is evidently false. Consequently $\alpha \subset V(\gamma)$.

Conversely, let α an orbit in $V(\gamma)$ and $b \in \alpha$ an arbitrary point, then there exist $\varphi \in \tilde{G}$ such that $b = \varphi(a)$. It follows that $\Phi_b = \varphi \circ \Phi_a$. Since φ is an isomorphism, Φ_b is also an isomorphism and therefore

$$\Phi_b(G) \subset \Phi_b(\tilde{G}) \subset \Phi_b(\bar{G})$$

since $\Phi_b(G) = \alpha$ and $\Phi_b(\bar{G}) = \bar{\alpha}$, it remains to prove that $\Phi_b(\tilde{G}) = V(\gamma)$. In fact we have for any $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$

$$\Phi_b(\tilde{g}) = \tilde{g}(b) = \tilde{g}\varphi^{-1}(a) = \Phi_a(\tilde{g}\varphi^{-1})$$

but $\tilde{g}\varphi^{-1} \in \tilde{G}$ it follows that

$$\Phi_b(\tilde{G}) \subset \Phi_a(\tilde{G}) = V(\gamma).$$

likewise they show that

$$V(\gamma) = \Phi_a(\tilde{G}) \subset \Phi_b(\tilde{G}).$$

Whence $V(\gamma) = \Phi_b(\tilde{G})$ and so

$$\alpha \subset V(\gamma) \subset \bar{\alpha}.$$

This implies that

$$\bar{\alpha} = \overline{V(\gamma)} = \bar{\gamma}.$$

iii) It is clear that the elements of $\overline{G} \setminus \widetilde{G}$ are not invertible. Let α an orbit of G such that $\alpha \subset \overline{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$. Then there exist $u \in \overline{G} \setminus \widetilde{G}$ such that $\alpha = u(\gamma)$. Since u is not invertible and $u(E(\gamma)) = E(\alpha) \subset E(\gamma)$ it follows that

$$\dim E(\alpha) < \dim E(\gamma).$$

That is

$$r(\alpha) < r(\gamma).$$

iv) Suppose that $V(\gamma)$ is closed. Then, for all orbit $\alpha \subset V(\gamma)$, we have by the part ii)

$$\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\gamma} = V(\gamma).$$

Therefore $\overline{\alpha} = V(\gamma)$. Hence $V(\gamma) = \overline{\gamma}$ is a minimal set. Conversely suppose that $\overline{\gamma}$ is minimal. Since $\overline{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma)$ is an invariant closed set contained in $\overline{\gamma}$, we have necessarily

$$\overline{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma) = \emptyset,$$

Thus, seeing that $V(\gamma) \subset \overline{\gamma}$, we deduce that $V(\gamma) = \overline{\gamma}$ and so $V(\gamma)$ is closed which gives that γ is minimal. This complete the proof in the case where $r(\gamma) = \dim E$. If $r(\gamma) < \dim E$, we replace E by $E(\gamma)$ and G by $G_{E(\gamma)}$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let $F \subset E$ be a stable subspace and $\gamma \subset \dot{F}$ be an orbit of G . Suppose that for every orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset \dot{F}$ we have*

$$r(\gamma) \leq r(\mathcal{O})$$

then γ is a minimal orbit in \dot{E} .

Proof. If $r(\gamma)$ is minimal in \dot{F} then, by the part iii) of Theorem 3.3, we have

$$\overline{\gamma} \setminus V(\gamma) = \emptyset$$

and consequently $V(\gamma)$ is closed, hence γ is minimal. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let L be a minimal subspace of E with respect to \mathcal{G} . Then every orbit in \dot{L} is minimal in \dot{E} .*

Proof. In fact, since L is minimal, then $r(\gamma) = \dim L$ for all orbit γ in \dot{L} . Thus, by Corollary 3.4 every orbit in \dot{L} is minimal in \dot{E} . \square

Now we are going to describe the minimal sets. We need the following definition.

Definition 3.6. We call *dimension* of an orbit γ the dimension of the submanifold $V(\gamma)$. We denote it by $\dim(\gamma)$. If \mathfrak{M} is a minimal set we put $\dim \mathfrak{M} = \dim(\gamma)$ where γ is any orbit in \mathfrak{M} .

We need in the sequel the classical Theorem (cf. [3]).

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a connected abelian Lie-group of dimension k . Then G is isomorphic to a Lie group of the form*

$$\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{T}^{k-p} \quad (0 \leq p \leq k)$$

where $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} / |z| = 1\}$ is the unit circle.

Remark 3.8. If the Lie-group G is not connected, then the connected component of G containing the unit element is a Lie-subgroup of G and, if we denote it by G_0 , one have the quotient G/G_0 is a discrete group and thus it is countable (because G must have a topology with countable basis). The cosets are precisely the connected components of G .

The following theorem describe the minimal sets of G . It is an easy consequence of theorems 3.3 and 3.7.

Theorem 3.9. *Let \mathfrak{M} be a minimal set of G . Suppose that $\dim \mathfrak{M} = k$. Then there exist an integer p ($0 \leq p \leq k$) such that \mathfrak{M} is a countable union of k -dimensional closed submanifolds of E each of which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{T}^{k-p}$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{M} be a minimal set of dimension k and $\gamma \subset \mathfrak{M}$ be an orbit. Then $\mathfrak{M} = \bar{\gamma} = V(\gamma)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $E(\gamma) = E$. Now in view of Theorem 3.3 and its proof, $V(\gamma)$ is a closed k -dimensional submanifold of E diffeomorphic to the Lie-group \tilde{G} . Since \tilde{G} is abelian (not necessarily connected), the conclusion is a consequence of Theorem 3.7 and Remark 3.8. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let (E, G) be an abelian linear action then there exist a finite increasing sequence of G -invariant closed subsets of \dot{E}*

$$F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \dots \subset F_{k-1} \subset F_k, \quad k \leq \dim E$$

with the following properties:

1. $F_0 = \emptyset$ and $F_k = \dot{E}$.
2. $\Omega_j = F_j \setminus F_{j-1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq k$) is a dense G -invariant open set of F_j .
3. Every orbit in Ω_j is minimal in Ω_j .

To prove this theorem we need the next lemma.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $t < r(G)$ be a real number. Then the set*

$$U_t = \{x \in E \mid r(x) > t\}$$

is a dense G -invariant open subset of E .

Proof. Let $x \in \dot{E}$ such that $r(x) = r(G)$ then $x \in U_t$ and therefore $U_t \neq \emptyset$. On the other hand, since the rank is constant on the orbits, U_t is G -invariant. Let $a \in U_t$ and $p = r(a) = \dim E_a$. Then there exist u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p in the algebra \mathcal{G} such that the p vectors $u_1(a), u_2(a), \dots, u_p(a)$ are linearly independent in E . Fix these linear transformations $u_1, \dots, u_p \in \mathcal{G}$ and consider, for all $x \in E$, the Gram's determinant

$$\Delta(x) = \det(\langle u_i(x) \mid u_j(x) \rangle)_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}$$

of the vectors $u_1(x), \dots, u_p(x)$ where $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product in E . It is well known that these vectors are independent if and only if $\Delta(x) \neq 0$, in particular $\Delta(a) \neq 0$. Let

$$V_a = \{x \in E \mid \Delta(x) \neq 0\}$$

Since the map $x \rightarrow \Delta(x)$ is continuous, V_a is an open set of E . Now $\Delta(a) \neq 0$, and so $a \in V_a$. On the other hand we have

$$V_a \subset U_t.$$

In fact, for every $x \in V_a$, $\Delta(x) \neq 0$ and so the p vectors $u_1(x), u_2(x), \dots, u_p(x)$ are linearly independent. Consequently $r(x) \geq p > t$ which gives $x \in U_t$, thus $V_a \subset U_t$. This shows that U_t is a neighborhood of the arbitrary point $a \in U_t$. Hence U_t is an open set of E . It remains to prove that U_t is dense in E .

Now using the coordinates $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ of x in a fixed basis of E , we obtain $\Delta(x)$ as a polynomial $P(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ (not identically zero) of the n variables $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$. But we know that the set of the zeros of an analytical function not identically zero is a closed set without interior. Yet V_a is precisely the complementary of the zeros of P , so V_a is dense in E and consequently (since $V_a \subset U_t$) U_t is also dense in E . This completes the proof of the Lemma 3.11. \square

To prove Theorem 3.10, consider the distinct values $r_0 = 0 < r_1 < \dots < r_k = r(G)$ taken by the map $r : E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ given by

$$x \rightarrow r(x), \quad x \in E$$

and let

$$F_j = \{x \in E / r(x) \leq r_j\} \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

Evidently F_j ($0 \leq j \leq k$) is the complementary of the G -invariant open set U_{r_j} and so the sequence F_0, \dots, F_k is an increasing sequence of G -invariant closed subsets of E satisfying the properties *i*). On the other hand we have

$$\Omega_j = F_j \cap U_{r_{j-1}}.$$

Yet, by Lemma 3.11, $U_{r_{j-1}}$ is a dense open set of E so Ω_j is a dense open set of F_j for the relative topology. Whence the part *ii*) of the Theorem 2.10 is proved. To prove property *iii*), remark at first that Ω_j is precisely the set of vectors $x \in E$ such that $r(x) = r_j$. Let γ be an arbitrary orbit in Ω_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) then we must prove that for every orbit $\alpha \subset \bar{\gamma} \cap \Omega_j$, we have

$$\bar{\alpha} \cap \Omega_j = \bar{\gamma} \cap \Omega_j.$$

Now, since $\gamma \subset \Omega_j$, then $r(\gamma) = r_j$. Let $\alpha \subset \bar{\gamma} \cap \Omega_j$ be any orbit. Then

$$\alpha \subset \bar{\gamma} \quad \text{and} \quad r(\alpha) = r_j.$$

So, by Theorem 3.3 part *iii*) they has

$$\alpha \subset V(\gamma)$$

and by part *ii*) they has $\bar{\alpha} = \bar{\gamma}$. Whence

$$\bar{\alpha} \cap \Omega_j = \bar{\gamma} \cap \Omega_j.$$

References

- [1] A. Ayadi and H. Marzougui, *Dynamic of abelian subgroup of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$* , Geometria Dedicata 116, 1 (2005), 111-127.
- [2] J. Chavarriga. I.A. Garcia, *The Poincare problem in the non-resonant case: an algebraic approach*, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst., 8 (2006), 54-68.
- [3] C. Chevalley, *Theorie of Lie Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press 1946.
- [4] S. Chihi, *Sur les feuilletages de codimension un transversalement homographiques*, Publication de l'IRMA, Strasbourg 1979.
- [5] S. Chihi, S. Benramdan, *On the Godbillon-Vey invariant and global holonomy of $\mathbb{R}P^1$ -foliation*, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 13, 2 (2008), 24-34.
- [6] C. Godbillon, *Elements de topologie algebrique*, Herman, Paris, 1971.
- [7] C. Godbillon, *Feuilletage, Etude geometrique I*, ULP 1985.
- [8] M. Hirsch and S. Smale, *Differential Equations, Dynamical Systems and Linear Algebra*, Academic Press, New-York 1974.
- [9] T. Kotoulas, *Isoenergetic families of regular orbits on a given surface*, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst., 10 (2008), 206-214.
- [10] W. Rossman, *Lie groups, an Introduction Through Linear Groups*, Oxford University Press 2002.

Author's address:

Slaheddine Chihi
Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis,
Campus Universitaire 1060 Tunis, Tunisia.
E-mail: slaheddinechihi@yahoo.fr