

Differential invariants of curves in Galilean geometry

A. Mahdipour–Shirayeh

Abstract. Applying Cartan’s method of equivalence, this study demonstrates a classification of spacetime curves under Galilean motions. Among with trying to signify fundamental invariants which tend to new conservation laws, we also attempt to find a necessary and sufficient condition for Galilean equivalent curves via a simple and direct method. An straightforward deduction of our investigation in physics is, in addition, discussed.

M.S.C. 2010: 37B05, 53A04, 53A55.

Key words: Spacetime curves; differential invariant; Galilean transformations.

1 Introduction

Galilean motions suggest important applications in various mathematical fields such as Lagrangian mechanics, dynamics and control theory; also in classic and modern physics (quantum theory), electromagnetism (gauge transformations), fluid dynamics (conductivity tensors), mechanics, non-relativistic physics and so on [1, 2, 6, 9].

Considering Cartan’s theorem and through a different method rather than other existing methods (such as moving frames, moving coframe, general method of equivalence, e.g. see [1, 2, 4, 5, 14, 10, 17]) we try to complete the classification of spacetime curves up to Galilean transformations. In [10] authors tried to solve the problem, however, they only found a necessary condition of classification for invariants. We find a complete system of functionally independent invariants, which generate all other invariants (as functions of these invariants and presumably of their derivations) of a Galilean spacetime curve under special Galilean transformations. To lead to this aim, we make use of a new curve with a relevant condition satisfying in Cartan’s theorem. Then involving with the derived invariants, a classification of spacetime curves w.r.t. special Galilean transformations will be obtained exhaustively.

Now, let we state some mathematical preliminaries handled throughout this paper. Besides defining the differential invariant(s) of a considered geometric object, the surprising theorem of Cartan [8] also suggests an applicable way to compute a complete set of fundamental invariants:

29 **Theorem 1.1** *Let G be a matrix Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and Maurer-Cartan*
 30 *form ω . Let M be a manifold on which there exists a \mathfrak{g} -valued one-form φ satisfying*
 31 *$d\varphi = -\varphi \wedge \varphi$. Then for any point $x \in M$ there exist a neighborhood U of x and a*
 32 *map $f : U \rightarrow G$ such that $f^* \omega = \varphi$. Moreover, any two such maps f_1, f_2 must satisfy*
 33 *$f_1 = L_B \circ f_2$ for some fixed $B \in G$ where L_B is the left action of B on G .*

34
 35 Thus for given maps $f_1, f_2 : M \rightarrow G$ one has $f_1^* \omega = f_2^* \omega$ (which offers invariants
 36 of the action on M) if and only if $f_1 = L_B \circ f_2$ for some fixed $B \in G$. In fact these
 37 functions, namely invariants, when $f_1 = L_B \circ f_2$ for some fixed $B \in G$, will remain
 38 unchanged for maps f_1 and f_2 under the pull-back action on Maurer-Cartan form ω .

39 In sense of point or contact transformations on jet space J^n of independent and
 40 dependent variables, a *differential invariant* is a differential function $\mathbf{I} : J^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so
 41 that under prolonged action one has $\mathbf{I}(g^{(n)} \cdot (x, z^{(n)})) = \mathbf{I}(x, z^{(n)})$ where $g^{(n)}$ belongs to
 42 $G^{(n)}$, the prolonged group. Here $z^{(n)}$ is the dependent functions and their derivatives
 43 up to order n [13].

44 Let M be a smooth manifold and φ a set of smooth functions $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$ on M .
 45 \mathcal{F}_φ is the mean the collection $\mathcal{F}_\varphi = \{F(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k) : F \in C^\infty(M)\}$. If we suppose
 46 that ω_i s are functionally independent w.r.t. the action of a Lie group G on M , then
 47 \mathcal{F}_φ contains the $C^\infty(M)$ -module generated by the elements of φ . This definition is
 48 also hold for the case in which φ has infinite number of elements.

49 **Corollary 1.2** *Let G_2 and its Lie subgroup G_1 act on a smooth manifold M such*
 50 *that φ_{G_1} and φ_{G_2} are sets of functionally independent differential invariants resp.*
 51 *Then $\mathcal{F}\varphi_{G_2} \subset \mathcal{F}\varphi_{G_1}$.*

52
 53 Now, suppose that $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ be a standard Galilean spacetime. A map $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow$
 54 $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with the following description

$$\begin{pmatrix} t \\ z \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 1 \\ R & v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ z \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} s \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

55 is referred to as a *Galilean transformation* where $R \in O(3, \mathbb{R})$, $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$, and $y, z, v \in$
 56 \mathbb{R}^3 . Group of Galilean transformations is denoted by $\text{Gal}(4, \mathbb{R})$. One may identify
 57 this group with the matrix group

$$\text{Gal}(4, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} & s \\ v & R & y \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid R \in O(3, \mathbb{R}), s \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and } y, v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \right\}.$$

58 More precisely, the Galilean group may be explained as semidirect products $(\text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R}) \times$
 59 $\mathbb{R}^3) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^4$, and moreover, a special case of Euclidean and Minkowskian geometry [18].

60 As a particular case of $\text{Gal}(4, \mathbb{R})$ one may suggest the Euclidean group $E(3, \mathbb{R})$
 61 which is in fact a subgroup of affine group $A(3, \mathbb{R})$. The study of curves in finite
 62 dimensional spaces up to affine transformations has been presented in Refs. [11, 12].

63 Galilean group is a subgroup of affine group $A(5, \mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathfrak{gal}(4, \mathbb{R})$ be its Lie
 64 algebra. Representation of $\mathfrak{gal}(4, \mathbb{R})$ demonstrates the Maurer-Cartan forms which
 65 signifies a base of $\mathfrak{gal}(4, \mathbb{R})$. The group of all special Galilean transformations (with
 66 the property that $R \in \text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$) is called *special Galilean group*, $\text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$. Its Lie
 67 algebra is denoted by $\mathfrak{sgal}(4, \mathbb{R})$.

2 Galilean differential invariants

In this section, we introduce a method to determine invariants of Galilean transformations on curves. The method is similar to that of [16] which carried out for Euclidean classification of curves. The action of a Galilean transformation defines a point transformation leading to differential invariants.

Let $c : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ be a curve with the expression $c(t) = (t, z(t))$ where z as a smooth vector-valued function, denotes points in space: $z(t) = (z_1(t), z_2(t), z_3(t)) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. By an *spacetime curve* we mean a curve of class \mathcal{C}^5 in spacetime $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with no singular point, that is,

$$[z_t, z_{tt}, z_{ttt}] := \det(z_t, z_{tt}, z_{ttt}) = z_t \cdot z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt} \neq 0,$$

at each point of a domain. We may assume that its value is positive, for being avoid of absolute value notation within computations. The parameter of c is called the *arc length parameter* when it is the arc length parameter of z . If $c(t) = (t, z(t))$ be a curve then $z_t \neq 0$ for each $t \in [a, b]$.

Remark 2.1. On the other hand, one can attempt to normalize the curve by using the action of $\text{Gal}(4, \mathbb{R})$. Roughly speaking, one may use the translation freedom on $(t, z) \rightarrow (t+s, z+y)$ to consider t_0 so that $c(t_0) = 0$. Furthermore, there exists another freedom on affine translation $(t, z) \rightarrow (t, tv + Rz)$ to eliminate the first derivative of $z(t)$ at t_0 . To finish the normalization one can fix R by arranging that

$$Rz''(t_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Rz'''(t_0) = \begin{pmatrix} * \\ 0 \\ \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

for $\lambda, \mu > 0$. Then Gram–Schmit process finds R explicitly.

However, in this study we assume that we avoid singular points (by using an appropriate coordinate chart to rectify singularities). One advantage is that one can consider a natural frame bundle on the curve via derivatives up to order three. The next benefits relates to finding the form of arc length parameter and then using normalization formalism to eliminate redundant parameters by the achieved freedom in changing variables. Another advantage is due to a simple computation rather than finding R in Gram–Schmit process to build a frame. Finally in this approach there is no need to apply proved theorems using moving frames [7].

Convention. Henceforth, by a curve we exactly mean a curve satisfying in above conditions unless we explicitly state otherwise.

Thus z is assumed to be regular and one can reparameterize it with arc length parameter s with $\|z_s\| = 1$ everywhere defined. Galilean transformations can act on a curve by identifying $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathbb{R}^5 = \{(t, z, 1) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$.

We say two curves are equivalent iff their representations in \mathbb{R}^5 be Galilean equivalent. Representation (t, z) of curve $t \mapsto z(t)$ introduces the local coordinate of a point in jet space J^0 , so we may consider the action as a point transformation related to differential invariants [13].

101 Now let we replace the curve c by a new curve¹ $\alpha_c : [a, b] \rightarrow \text{Gal}(4, \mathbb{R}) :$

$$\alpha_c(t) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & t \\ z_t & \frac{z_{tt}}{\|z_{tt}\|} & \frac{z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}}{\|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|} & \frac{z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})}{\|z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})\|} & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

102 where z is assumed to be a column matrix and the underlying norm is Euclidean².
 103 Obviously, for each $t \in [a, b]$, $\alpha_c(t)$ is an element of $\text{Gal}(4, \mathbb{R})$. Thus one can study α_c
 104 instead of c up to the action of Galilean group.

105 **Theorem 2.2** *Two curves $c, \bar{c} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ are equivalent w.r.t. $A \in \text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$,
 106 i.e., $\bar{c} = A \circ c$ if and only if the associated curves α_c and $\alpha_{\bar{c}}$ are equivalent up to A ,
 107 i.e., $\alpha_{\bar{c}} = A \circ \alpha_c$.*

108
 109 *Proof.* Let $c, \bar{c} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$ be two curves defining resp. by $t \mapsto (t, z(t), 1)$ and
 110 $\bar{t} \mapsto (\bar{t}, \bar{z}(\bar{t}), 1)$. If c is equivalent to \bar{c} with respect to $\text{Gal}(4, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{t} \\ \bar{z} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} t \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} & s \\ v & R & y \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} t \\ z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

111 then, we conclude that $\bar{t} = t + s$ and $\bar{z} = R \cdot z + tv + y$ and therefore

$$\alpha_{\bar{c}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \bar{t} \\ \bar{z}_t & \frac{\bar{z}_{tt}}{\|\bar{z}_{tt}\|} & \frac{\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge \bar{z}_{ttt}}{\|\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge \bar{z}_{ttt}\|} & \frac{\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge (\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge \bar{z}_{ttt})}{\|\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge (\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge \bar{z}_{ttt})\|} & \bar{z} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = A \cdot \alpha_c,$$

112 and this completes the proof. ◇

113
 114 The idea of applying α_c instead of c does not reduce the problem, but conversely
 115 has the benefit of achieving invariants when one applies Cartan's theorem for α_c .
 116 According to theorem 2.2, these invariants are also invariants of c . Henceforth, our
 117 new task is to classify α_c s up to $\text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$. From Cartan's theorem, the necessary
 118 and sufficient condition for $\alpha_{\bar{c}} = B \circ \alpha_c = L_B \circ \alpha_c$ ($B \in \text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$) is that for
 119 any left invariant one-form ω^i on $\text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$, we have $\alpha_{\bar{c}}^*(\omega^i) = \alpha_c^*(\omega^i)$, results in
 120 $\alpha_{\bar{c}}^*(\omega) = \alpha_c^*(\omega)$ for the natural $\mathfrak{sgal}(4, \mathbb{R})$ -valued one-form $\omega = P^{-1} \cdot dP$ where P is
 121 the corresponding Maurer–Cartan matrix form.

122 Thereby, one should compute $\alpha_c^*(P^{-1} \cdot dP)$, when the entries are invariant func-
 123 tions of curves. But $\alpha_c^*(P^{-1} \cdot dP) = \alpha_c^{-1} \cdot d\alpha_c$ and it is sufficient to calculate the

¹This definition for α_c is not trivial at all, since it must be appropriately chosen so that α_c be well-defined, for being avoid of complex calculations.

²Our method is similar to the method of Spivak [16] which firstly introduced for affine classification of curves.

124 matrix $\alpha_C^{-1} \cdot d\alpha_C$. By differentiating we conclude

$$d\alpha_c(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ z_{tt} & A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & z_t \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} dt$$

125 where supposed

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \frac{z_{ttt} \|z_{tt}\|^2 - z_{tt}(z_{tt} \cdot z_{ttt})}{\|z_{tt}\|^3}, \\ A_2 &= \frac{(z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}) \|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|^2 - [(z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}) \cdot (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})](z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})}{\|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|^3}, \\ A_3 &= \frac{z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})}{\|z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})\|} - \frac{[(z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})) \cdot (z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}))](z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}))}{\|z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})\|^3}. \end{aligned}$$

126 Furthermore α_c^{-1} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} & -t \\ \frac{z_t \cdot z_{tt}}{\|z_{tt}\|} & \frac{z_{tt}}{\|z_{tt}\|} & \frac{(t z_t - z) \cdot z_{tt}}{\|z_{tt}\|} \\ \frac{[z_t, z_{tt}, z_{ttt}]}{\|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|} & \frac{z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}}{\|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|} & \frac{[t z_t - z, z_{tt}, z_{ttt}]}{\|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|} \\ \frac{[z_t, z_{tt}, z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}]}{\|z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})\|} & \frac{z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})}{\|z\|^2 \|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|^2} & \frac{[t z_t - z, z_{tt}, z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}]}{\|z_{tt} \wedge (z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt})\|} \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

127 An explicit calculation yields that $\alpha_c^{-1} \cdot d\alpha_c$ is the following coefficient of dt :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \|z_{tt}\| & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{[\alpha, z_{tt}, \beta]}{\|z_{tt}\| \|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{[z_{ttt}, z_{tt}, \alpha]}{\|z_{tt}\|^2 \|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|} & \frac{[\beta, z_{tt}, \alpha]}{\|z_{tt}\| \|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

128 in which $\alpha = z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}$ and $\beta = z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}$. Therefore, we find the following three
129 invariants

$$\omega_1 = \|z_{tt}\|, \quad \omega_2 = \|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\|, \quad \omega_3 = [z_{tt}, z_{ttt}, z_{ttt}],$$

130 where ω_2 is equal to $[z_{ttt}, z_{tt}, \alpha]$ and ω_3 is $|z_{tt}|^{-2} [\beta, z_{tt}, \alpha]$. So we conclude that

$$\alpha_c^{-1} \cdot d\alpha_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \omega_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\omega_1 \omega_3}{\omega_2^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1^2} & \frac{\omega_1 \omega_3}{\omega_2^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} dt.$$

131 The derived invariants generate the set of all invariants of curves w.r.t. Galilean
132 motions. One can summarize the above results in the following theorem:

133 **Theorem 2.3** *Let $c : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ be a curve with definition $c(t) := (t, z(t))$, then
134 ω_1 , ω_2 , and ω_3 are differential invariants of c up to special Galilean group $\text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$
135 as a subgroup of point transformations. In general, every other differential invariant
136 of c , is functionally dependent to ω_1 , ω_2 , and ω_3 and their derivations in respect to
137 the parameter.*

138
139 Until now, our computational focus was developed for arbitrary parameter. There-
140 fore, the derived invariant functions remain invariant under reparameterizations.

141 Let \mathcal{F}_φ be the set of all invariants generated by ω_1 , ω_2 and ω_3 and $c(t) := (t, z(t))$
142 be a curve. When the space coordinate of c has unit speed, the curvature κ of space
143 curve z in Euclidean 3-space is invariant up to Galilean motions [15]. This statement
144 is not true for the torsion τ . But since the image of c is of dimension one in a four-
145 dimensional space $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, so by adding any other invariant like ω_2 to $\{\kappa, \tau\}$, one has
146 another set of fundamental invariants such that $\mathcal{F}_\varphi = \mathcal{F}_\psi$ where $\psi = \{\kappa, \tau, \omega_3\}$. In
147 the case which c has the natural parameter, $\omega_1 = \kappa$. In affine geometry, an invariant
148 of a space curve $z(t)$ up to affine transformations is the special affine connection
149 $[z_{tt}, z_{ttt}, z_{tttt}]$ [11, 12]. Therefore, the invariant ω_3 for a curve c is exactly a special
150 affine connection of the space part of c .

151 Let \mathcal{F}_1 be the set of all differential invariants w.r.t. the action of $\text{SE}(3, \mathbb{R})$ on
152 a curve. The functionally independent differential invariants of this Lie group were
153 introduced in [11, 12]. On the other hand, if \mathcal{F}_2 is the set of all differential invariants
154 of $\text{SA}(5, \mathbb{R})$, it fulfilled in relation $\mathcal{F}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{F}_1$ where $\varphi = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$.

155 **Theorem 2.4** *Let $c, \bar{c} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ be two curves. c and \bar{c} are locally equivalent
156 up to special Galilean group if and only if $\omega_1 = \bar{\omega}_1$, $\omega_2 = \bar{\omega}_2$, and $\omega_3 = \bar{\omega}_3$.*

157
158 *Proof.* Formerly, we proved that two curves which are locally equivalent up to special
159 Galilean transformations have the same differential invariants. Now, we prove the
160 converse.

161 Let c and \bar{c} be two curves on $[a, b]$ with representations (t, z) and (\bar{t}, \bar{z}) resp. Let
162 $\omega_1 = \bar{\omega}_1$, $\omega_2 = \bar{\omega}_2$ and $\omega_3 = \bar{\omega}_3$, we show that there is a special Galilean transformation
163 $A \in \text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$ such that c and \bar{c} are special Galilean equivalent.

164 If the images of c and \bar{c} are in \mathbb{R}^5 , then there exists an element of $\text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$ which
165 transforms one point of c to one point of \bar{c} since for arbitrary points $(t_0, z_0, 1)$ of c
166 and $(\bar{t}_0, \bar{z}_0, 1)$ of \bar{c} , there are unique $R \in \text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ so that $\bar{z}_0 = R \cdot z_0 + y$.

167 Thus the following matrix of $\text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$ is exist and transforms the first point to the
168 second one

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} & \bar{t}_0 - t_0 \\ \mathbf{0} & R & y \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

169 Thereby, one may assume that $c_1 := (t, z^1, 1)$ is a special Galilean transformation of
170 c which intersects \bar{c} at $t_0 \in [a, b]$: $c_1(t_0) = \bar{c}(t_0)$. Denote this Galilean transformation
171 by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} & s_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{Id}_3 & y_1 \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

172 There are unique $\hat{R} \in \text{SO}(3, \mathbb{R})$ and $\hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ so that (2.1) transfers the orthonormal
173 frame

$$\left(\frac{z_{tt}^1}{\|z_{tt}^1\|}, \frac{z_{tt}^1 \wedge z_{ttt}^1}{\|z_{tt}^1 \wedge z_{ttt}^1\|}, \frac{z_{tt}^1 \wedge (z_{tt}^1 \wedge z_{ttt}^1)}{\|z_{tt}^1 \wedge (z_{tt}^1 \wedge z_{ttt}^1)\|} \right) (t_0)$$

174 and the tangent vector $z_t^1(t_0)$ on $c_1(t_0)$ to the orthonormal frame

$$\left(\frac{\bar{z}_{tt}}{\|\bar{z}_{tt}\|}, \frac{\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge \bar{z}_{ttt}}{\|\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge \bar{z}_{ttt}\|}, \frac{\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge (\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge \bar{z}_{ttt})}{\|\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge (\bar{z}_{tt} \wedge \bar{z}_{ttt})\|} \right) (t_0)$$

175 and $\bar{z}_t^1(t_0)$ on $\bar{c}(t_0)$ resp. Let $\hat{c} := (t, \hat{z}, 1)$ be the curve provided by the action of the
176 following matrix of $\text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$ on c_1

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{R} & \hat{y} \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

177 Now, one should replace curves \bar{c} and \hat{c} with their corresponding curves $\alpha_{\bar{c}}$ and $\alpha_{\hat{c}}$
178 resp. Therefore, using Theorem 2.2, if we prove $\alpha_{\bar{c}} = \alpha_{\hat{c}}$, then we conclude that $\bar{c} = \hat{c}$.
179 Moreover

$$\alpha_{\hat{c}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} & s_1 \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{R} & \hat{R} \cdot Y_1 + \hat{Y} \\ 0 & \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \alpha_c,$$

180 and consequently α_c and $\alpha_{\hat{c}}$ are equivalent by an element of $\text{SGal}(4, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore,
181 α_c and $\alpha_{\bar{c}}$ are equivalent and the proof will be completed. Henceforth, we turn our
182 attention to the relation $\alpha_{\bar{c}} = \alpha_{\hat{c}}$.

183 For curves \bar{c} and \hat{c} we (resp.) have the following equations

$$d\alpha_{\bar{c}} = \alpha_{\bar{c}} \cdot \bar{b}, \quad d\alpha_{\hat{c}} = \alpha_{\hat{c}} \cdot \hat{b},$$

184 where $\bar{b}, \hat{b} \in \mathfrak{sgal}(4, \mathbb{R})$. We know $\omega_1 = \bar{\omega}_1$, $\omega_2 = \bar{\omega}_2$ and $\omega_3 = \bar{\omega}_3$ wherever these
185 could be defined. Furthermore, in each point of $t \in [a, b]$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\omega}_1 &= \|\hat{z}_{tt}\| = \|\hat{R} \cdot z_{tt}\| = \|z_{tt}\| = \omega_1, \\ \hat{\omega}_2 &= \|\hat{z}_{tt} \wedge \hat{z}_{ttt}\| = \|[\hat{R}, z_{tt}, z_{ttt}]\| = \|z_{tt} \wedge z_{ttt}\| = \omega_2, \\ \hat{\omega}_3 &= [\hat{z}_{tt}, \hat{z}_{ttt}, \hat{z}_{tttt}] = [\hat{R} \cdot z_{tt}, \hat{R} \cdot z_{ttt}, \hat{R} \cdot C] = [z_{tt}, z_{ttt}, z_{tttt}] = \omega_3. \end{aligned}$$

186 So, we have $\widehat{\omega}_1 = \overline{\omega}_1$, $\widehat{\omega}_2 = \overline{\omega}_2$ and $\widehat{\omega}_3 = \overline{\omega}_3$. Then \bar{b} and \widehat{b} are the same, say b . Now,
 187 $\alpha_{\bar{c}}$ and $\alpha_{\widehat{c}}$ are satisfied in the first order equations $d\alpha_{\bar{c}} = \alpha_{\bar{c}} \cdot b$ and $d\alpha_{\widehat{c}} = \alpha_{\widehat{c}} \cdot b$
 188 resp., among with the initial condition $\alpha_{\bar{c}}(t_0) = \alpha_{\widehat{c}}(t_0)$. Therefore, $\alpha_{\bar{c}}(t) = \alpha_{\widehat{c}}(t)$ for
 189 all $t \in [a, b]$ and this completes the proof. \diamond

190 3 Application in physics

191 In physics, when we study a curve in Galilean spacetime $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, it is very important
 192 to achieve invariants or conservation laws for curves. For instance, it is shown in [1]
 193 that a Hamiltonian vector field on $T^*\mathbb{R}^3$ is a Galilean invariance of special Galilean
 194 group, when it moves on its flow. But in the preceding section, an explicit computa-
 195 tion introduced a complete system of differential invariants generated by functionally
 196 independent invariants ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3 . Consequently, in the physical sense, one can
 197 consider that each curve is the trace of a particle with mass m under the influence of
 198 a force F . Now, Theorem 2.4 suggests that

- 199 • Two particles with the same mass $m = \tilde{m}$ and under the influence of forces F
 200 and \tilde{F} resp. have the same trajectory if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \|F\| = \|\tilde{F}\|, \quad \|F \wedge F'\| = \|\tilde{F} \wedge \tilde{F}'\|, \quad [F, F', F''] = [\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}', \tilde{F}''].$$

- 201 • In particular, we may suppose that two observers \mathcal{O} and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ move with acceler-
 202 ations \mathbf{a} and $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}$ resp. in an inertial coordinate system. If we consider the paths
 203 traced by a particle (as curves) with mass m and under the effects of forces F
 204 and \tilde{F} with respect to observers \mathcal{O} and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ resp. Then, the paths are equal under
 205 a special Galilean transformation, if and only if conditions (3.1) are fulfilled.

206 4 Conclusions

207 Although the problem of classifying spacetime curves under Galilean motions can be
 208 followed by various tools like moving frame, moving coframe or Cartan's method of
 209 equivalence [4, 5, 10, 14] (in exception for the latter one which is partially studied
 210 in [10] but a necessary and sufficient condition for differential invariants has not con-
 211 cluded). But our objective in the present work was to sketch a simple and efficient
 212 method which led to a complete classification and the complete system of differen-
 213 tial invariants among with a necessary and sufficient condition (we have stated before
 214 that by a normalization process one may reach to a classification, but we tried to find
 215 a natural method to obtain results via simple computations and without applying
 216 proved theorems in general cases [7]. Our method has sparked by Spivak in the view-
 217 point of Cartan's theorem by substituting a relevant curve instead of the original one.
 218 Besides the importance of this topic in geometry and mechanics, at the end, we tried
 219 to turn attentions to a physical motivation of the subject. Classification of surfaces
 220 under Galilean group will be deferred until a subsequent paper.

221 References

- 222 [1] R. Abraham and J.E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, 2nd Edit., Addison-
 223 Wasley, Canada, 1978.

- 224 [2] R.E. Artz, *Classical mechanics in Galilean space-time*, Found. Phys. 11 (9-10)
225 (1981).
- 226 [3] A. Bhand and A.D. Lewis, *Rigid body mechanics in Galilean spacetimes*, J. Math.
227 Phys. 46 (2005).
- 228 [4] M. Fels and P.J. Olver, *Moving coframes I. A practical algorithm*, Acta Appl.
229 Math. 51 (1998), 161-213.
- 230 [5] M. Fels and P.J. Olver, *Moving coframes II. Regularization and theoretical founda-*
231 *tions*, Acta Appl. Math. 55 (1999), 127-208.
- 232 [6] G. Gallavotti, *Foundations of Fluid Dynamics*, Springer-Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002.
- 233 [7] M.L. Green, *The moving frame, differential Geometry and rigidity theorems for*
234 *curves in homogeneous spaces*, Duke Math. J. 45 (1978), 735-779.
- 235 [8] T.A. Ivey and J.M. Landsberg, *Cartan for Beginners: Differential Geometry via*
236 *Moving Frames and Exterior Differential System*, AMS, 2003.
- 237 [9] A.D. Lewis, *Lagrangian Mechanics, Dynamics, and Control*, Preprint available
238 online at <http://penelope.mast.queensu.ca/~andrew>, 2003.
- 239 [10] M. Nadjafikhah and A.R. Forough, *Galilean geometry of motions*, Appl. Sci. 11
240 (2009), 91-105.
- 241 [11] M. Nadjafikhah and A. Mahdipour-Shirayeh, *Affine Geometry of space curves*,
242 Diff. Geom. Dyn. Sys. 13 (2011), 191-200.
- 243 [12] M. Nadjafikhah and A. Mahdipour-Shirayeh, *Affine classification of n-curves*,
244 Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 13(2) (2008), 66-73.
- 245 [13] P.J. Olver, *Equivalence, invariants, and symmetry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cam-
246 bridge, 1995.
- 247 [14] P.J. Olver, *Moving frames and differential invariants in centro-affine geometry*,
248 Lobachevsky J. Math. 31 (2010), 77-89.
- 249 [15] B. O'Neill, *Elementary Differential Geometry*, Academic Press, London–New
250 York, 1966.
- 251 [16] M. Spivak, *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*, Vol. II &
252 III, Publish or Perish, Wilmington, Delaware, 1979.
- 253 [17] Y. Talpaert, *Differential Geometry with Application to Mechanics and Physics*,
254 Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 2001.
- 255 [18] I.M. Yaglom, *A Simple Non-Euclidean Geometry and Its Physical Basis: An El-*
256 *ementary Account of Galilean Geometry and the Galilean Principle of Relativity*,
257 Translated from Russian by A. Shenitzer, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

258 *Author's address:*

259 Ali Mahdipour–Shirayeh
260 Department of Applied Mathematics,
261 University of Waterloo,
262 Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada.
263 E-mail: amahdipo@uwaterloo.ca