

TIME-INVARIANT AND DIAGONAL OPERATORS ASSOCIATED TO A SYSTEM OF IMPRIMITIVITY

Mircea Olteanu

Abstract

Time-invariant and diagonal operators associated to a system of imprimitivity are represented as multipliers on the frequency domain and (under appropriate hypothesis) as convolution operators on the time-domain.

AMS Subject Classification: 33E99, 42B10.

Key words: Fourier transform, convolution operator, system of imprimitivity.

1 Convolution Operators

In this section we study a class of convolution operators defined by an operator-valued measure on an abelian locally compact group.

1. Definition Throughout this paper $(G, +)$ is a locally compact abelian group and $(\Gamma, +)$ the dual group of G . $(L^1(G), \|\cdot\|_1)$ and $(L^2(G), \|\cdot\|_2)$ are the usual spaces of integrable (respectively square-integrable) complex valued functions with respect to the Haar measure on G . The Banach algebra (with convolution and total variation) of all bounded, Borelian, regular, complex-valued measures on G will be denoted by $(M(G), \|\cdot\|)$. Let $(K, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{L}(K)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on K . We denote by $M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$ the (noncommutative) Banach algebra of all bounded, Borelian, regular, $\mathcal{L}(K)$ -valued measures on G . If μ and ν are in $M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, we denote by $\|\mu\|$ the total variation of μ and by $\mu * \nu$ the convolution of μ and ν . For every $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, we define the *Fourier transform* $\hat{\mu} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(K)$, by $\hat{\mu}(\sigma)h = \int_G h\sigma(-t)d\mu(t)$, for every $h \in K$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma$.

Obviously, the integral is convergent and we have:

2. Proposition (a) For every $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, $\hat{\mu}$ is a uniformly continuous bounded

Editor Gr.Tsagas *Proceedings of the Workshop on Global Analysis, Differential Geometry and Lie Algebras, 1997*, 60-68

©2000 Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press

function on Γ .

(b) For every $\mu, \nu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, we have: $\widehat{\mu * \nu} = \widehat{\mu} \cdot \widehat{\nu}$.

(c) If δ_t is the Dirac measure concentrated at $t \in G$, then $\widehat{\delta}_t(\sigma) = \sigma(-t) \cdot I$, where I is the identity operator.

(d) For every $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$ and $h, k \in K$, let $\mu_{h,k}(A) = \langle \mu(A)h, k \rangle$, for every Borelian set A in G . Then $\mu_{h,k} \in M(G)$ and $\langle \widehat{\mu}(\sigma)h, k \rangle = \int_G \sigma(-t) d\mu_{h,k}(t)$.

Proof. (a) For every $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, $h \in K$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma$, we have:

$$\| \widehat{\mu}(\sigma)h \| \leq \int_G \| h\sigma(-t) \| d \| \mu \| (t) \leq \| h \| \cdot \| \mu \|,$$

hence $\| \widehat{\mu}(\sigma) \| \leq \| \mu \|$. Let $\sigma, \tau \in \Gamma$, then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \widehat{\mu}(\sigma) - \widehat{\mu}(\tau) \| = \sup\{ \| [\widehat{\mu}(\sigma) - \widehat{\mu}(\tau)](h) \| ; h \in K, \| h \| = 1 \} \leq \\ & \leq \sup\{ \| \int_G h[\sigma(-t) - \tau(-t)] d\mu(t) \| ; h \in K, \| h \| = 1 \} \leq \int_G |1 - (\sigma - \tau)(-t)| d \| \mu \| (t). \end{aligned}$$

The measure $\| \mu \|$ is regular, hence for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a compact $Q \subset G$ such that $\| \mu \| (G - Q) < \varepsilon$. Let $W(Q, \varepsilon) = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma ; | \sigma(t) - 1 | < \varepsilon, \forall t \in Q \}$; if $\sigma - \tau \in W(Q, \varepsilon)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \| \widehat{\mu}(\sigma) - \widehat{\mu}(\tau) \| & \leq \int_G |1 - (\sigma - \tau)(-t)| d \| \mu \| (t) + \int_{G-Q} |1 - (\sigma - \tau)(-t)| d \| \mu \| (t) \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon \| \mu \| (Q) + 2 \| \mu \| (G - Q) \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $\mu, \nu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $h \in K$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\mu * \nu})(\gamma)h & = \int_G h \gamma(-t) d(\mu * \nu)(t) = \int_G \left(\int_G h \gamma(-t - s) d\nu(s) \right) d\mu(t) = \\ & = \int_G (\gamma(-t)) \int_G h \gamma(-s) d\nu(s) d\mu(t) = \widehat{\mu}(\gamma) \cdot \widehat{\nu}(\gamma)h. \end{aligned}$$

The assertions (c) and (d) are obvious. \square

3. Observation The Hilbert spaces of K -valued square integrable functions with respect to the Haar measure on G and on Γ respectively are denoted by $(L^2(G, K), \| \cdot \|_2)$ and $(L^2(\Gamma, K), \| \cdot \|_2)$ respectively. Let us observe that for every $x \in L^2(G, K)$ and $h \in K$, the function $x_h : G \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defined by $x_h(t) = \langle x(t), h \rangle$ is in $L^2(G)$. Let \widehat{x}_h be its Fourier transform and let $\widehat{x} : \Gamma \rightarrow K$ be defined by $\langle \widehat{x}(\sigma), h \rangle = \widehat{x}_h(\sigma)$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Moreover, the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F} : L^2(G, K) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma, K)$, $\mathcal{F}(x) = \widehat{x}$ is a Hilbert space isomorphism ([2],[12]).

4. Lemma Let $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$ and $x \in L^2(G, K)$.

(a) The function $(\mu * x)(t) = \int_G x(t-s) d\mu(s)$ is in $L^2(G, K)$ and $\| \mu * x \|_2 \leq \| \mu \| \| x \|_2$.

(b) For every $\sigma \in \Gamma$, we have $(\widehat{\mu * x})(\sigma) = \widehat{\mu}(\sigma)\widehat{x}(\sigma)$.

Proof. (a) If dt is the Haar measure on G , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mu * x\|_2^2 &= \int_G \|(\mu * x)(t)\|^2 dt = \int_G \left\| \int_G x(t-s)d\mu(s) \right\|^2 dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_G \int_G \|x(t-s)\|^2 dt d\|\mu\|^2(s) = \int_G \|x\|_2^2 d\|\mu\|^2(s) = \|x\|_2^2 \|\mu\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $L^1(G, K)$ be the space of K -valued integrable functions with respect to the Haar measure on G and let $x \in L^1(G, K) \cap L^2(G, K)$. Then, $\widehat{x}(\sigma) = \int_G \sigma(-t)x(t)dt$ and for every $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, $\mu * x \in L^1(G, K) \cap L^2(G, K)$. For every $h \in K$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\widehat{\mu * x})(\sigma), h \rangle &= \langle \int_G (\mu * x)(t)\sigma(-t)dt, h \rangle = \langle \int_G [\sigma(-t) \int_G x(t-s)d\mu(s)]dt, h \rangle = \\ &= \langle \int_G [\int_G \sigma(-t)x(t-s)dt]d\mu(s), h \rangle = \langle \int_G [\int_G x(u)\sigma(-u)\sigma(-s)du]d\mu(s), h \rangle = \\ &= \langle \int_G \sigma(-s)\widehat{x}(\sigma)d\mu(s), h \rangle = \langle \widehat{\mu}(\sigma)\widehat{x}(\sigma), h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for every $x \in L^1(G, K) \cap L^2(G, K)$, we have $(\widehat{\mu * x})(\sigma) = \widehat{\mu}(\sigma)\widehat{x}(\sigma)$. Let $x \in L^2(G, K)$ and let $x_n \in L^1(G, K) \cap L^2(G, K)$, such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ in $L^2(G, K)$. It results that $\widehat{x}_n \rightarrow \widehat{x}$ in $L^2(\Gamma, K)$. Let $x_{k_n} \subseteq x_n$, such that $\widehat{x}_{k_n}(\sigma) \rightarrow \widehat{x}(\sigma)$ in H , for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$. We have $(\widehat{\mu * x_{k_n}})(\sigma) = \widehat{\mu}(\sigma)\widehat{x}_{k_n}(\sigma)$ and for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $(\widehat{\mu * x})(\sigma) = \widehat{\mu}(\sigma)\widehat{x}(\sigma)$. \square

5. Definition For every $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$ we define the convolution operator on $L^2(G, K)$ by

$U_\mu x = \mu * x$. The restriction of the map $M(G, \mathcal{L}(K)) \ni \mu \rightarrow U_\mu \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(G, K))$ to the group G is the regular representation: $(U_{\delta_s} x)(t) = x(t-s)$, for every $x \in L^2(G, K)$ and $t, s \in G$. We shall denote $U_{\delta_s} = U^s$.

6. Definition Let m be the Haar measure on the group Γ , let $\text{Bor}(\Gamma)$ be the family of Borelian sets of Γ and let $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(K)$, be a measurable function. Let $\|\phi\|_\infty = \inf \{ \sup \{ \|\phi(\gamma)\|; \gamma \in \Gamma - A \}; A \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma), m(A) = 0 \}$ be the essential supremum of ϕ . We denote by $L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ the (noncommutative) Banach algebra of essential bounded functions. The unit element of $L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ is the constant function $1(\gamma) = I$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, (here I is the identity operator on K). A function $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ is *invertible* (in this algebra) if there is $\psi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ such that $\phi\psi = \psi\phi = 1$, (all the equalities and inequalities are true a.e. on Γ). For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, let $B(T, \varepsilon) = \{S \in \mathcal{L}(K); \|S - T\| < \varepsilon\}$. The *essential range* of a function $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ is defined by: $\text{essran}(\phi) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(K); m(\phi^{-1}(B(T, \varepsilon))) > 0, \forall \varepsilon > 0\}$. The set $\text{essran}(\phi)$ is a closed and bounded set in $\mathcal{L}(K)$ and $\sup\{\|T\|; T \in \text{essran}(\phi)\} \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$.

7. Proposition (a) Let $K = C^n$ and let $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$. Then $\text{essran}(\phi)$ is a compact set (in $\mathcal{L}(K)$) and $\|\phi\|_\infty = \sup\{\|T\|; T \in \text{essran}(\phi)\}$.

(b) If K is an arbitrary Hilbert space, then for every $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, we have $\text{essran}(\widehat{\mu}) = \text{clos}(\widehat{\mu}(\Gamma))$ and $\|\widehat{\mu}\|_\infty = \sup\{\|\widehat{\mu}(\gamma)\|; \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \sup\{\|T\|; T \in \text{essran}(\widehat{\mu})\}$. Here clos denotes the closure.

Proof. (a) Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ and let us suppose that there is no $T \in \text{essran}(\phi)$, such that $\|T\| = \|\phi\|_\infty$; it results that there is $\varepsilon_T > 0$, such that $m(\{\gamma \in \Gamma; \|\phi(\gamma) - T\| < \varepsilon_T\}) = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(C^n); \|T\| = \|\phi\|_\infty\}$. \mathcal{A} is a compact set, hence there are T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n in $\mathcal{L}(C^n)$ and positive numbers $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_n$, such that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n B(T_i, \varepsilon_i) \supseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ and } m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \phi^{-1}(B(T_i, \varepsilon_i))\right) = 0.$$

It results that there is $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $m(\{\gamma \in \Gamma; \|\phi(\gamma)\| \geq \|\phi\|_\infty - \varepsilon\}) = 0$, contradiction.

(b) The assertion (b) is evident because $\widehat{\mu}$ is a continuous function. \square

8. Example If the Hilbert space K has not finite dimension, then the essential range need not to be a compact set. Let $\Gamma = Z$ (hence G is the unit circle, denoted by S^1), let $K = l^2(Z)$, let $W : l^2(Z) \rightarrow l^2(Z)$, $(Wx)(n) = x(n-1)$ and let $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(l^2(Z))$, $\phi(n) = W^n$. It results that $\|\phi\|_\infty = 1$ and $\text{essran}(\phi)$ is not a compact set.

9. Proposition (a) For every $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ and $\widehat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$, the function :

$$\Gamma \ni \gamma \rightarrow \phi(\gamma)\widehat{x}(\gamma) \in K$$

is in $L^2(\Gamma, K)$ and the multiplication operator $M_\phi : L^2(\Gamma, K) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma, K)$ defined by $(M_\phi \widehat{x})(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma)\widehat{x}(\gamma)$ is a continuous linear operator; moreover, $\|M_\phi\| = \|\phi\|_\infty$.

(b) Let $\phi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(K)$ be a measurable function and let $(M_\phi \widehat{x})(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma)\widehat{x}(\gamma)$; if $M_\phi \widehat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$, for every $\widehat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$, then $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ and therefore M_ϕ is a continuous operator.

Proof. (a) Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ and $\widehat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$, we have (a.e. on Γ) :

$$\|\phi(\gamma)\widehat{x}(\gamma)\| \leq \|\phi(\gamma)\| \cdot \|\widehat{x}(\gamma)\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty \cdot \|\widehat{x}(\gamma)\|.$$

It results : $\int_\Gamma \|\phi(\gamma)\widehat{x}(\gamma)\|^2 d\gamma \leq \|\phi\|_\infty^2 \|\widehat{x}\|_2^2$, hence $\|M_\phi\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$.

(b) Let us first suppose that $M_\phi \widehat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$, for every $\widehat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$ and that M_ϕ is a continuous operator (we shall prove later that the continuity is not necessary). We now prove that $\|\phi(\gamma)\| \leq \|M_\phi\|$ (a.e. on Γ). Let $A \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$, such that $m(A) < \infty$ and $\|\phi(\gamma)\| > \|M_\phi\|$, for every $\gamma \in A$. If $m(A) = 0$, then $\|\phi\|_\infty \leq \|M_\phi\|$, hence $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ and the proof is over. Let us now suppose that $m(A) \neq 0$. For every $\gamma \in A$, let $h_\gamma \in K$, such that $\|h_\gamma\| = 1$ and $\|\phi(\gamma)h_\gamma\| > \|M_\phi\|$. Let $f : \Gamma \rightarrow K$, defined by $f(\gamma) = 0$, if $\gamma \notin A$ and $f(\gamma) = h_\gamma$, if $\gamma \in A$. The properties of f are : $f \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$ and $\|f\|_2^2 = m(A)$, hence we have:

$$\|M_\phi f\|_2^2 = \int_\Gamma \|\phi(\gamma)f(\gamma)\|^2 d\gamma =$$

$$= \int_A \|\phi(\gamma)h_\gamma\|^2 d\gamma > \|M_\phi\|^2 m(A) = \|M_\phi\|^2 \|f\|_2^2,$$

which represents a contradiction.

We now renounce at the hypothesis of continuity of M_ϕ and we prove that it is a closed operator (hence, by the closed graph theorem it is continuous). Let $(\hat{x}_n, \hat{y}_n) \in \text{graph}(M_\phi)$, hence $\hat{y}_n(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma)\hat{x}_n(\gamma)$, (a.e). Let us suppose that $\hat{x}_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}_n \rightarrow \hat{y}$ in $L^2(\Gamma, K)$. We can choose \hat{x}_n and \hat{y}_n , such that $\hat{x}_n(\gamma) \rightarrow \hat{x}(\gamma)$ and $\hat{y}_n(\gamma) \rightarrow \hat{y}(\gamma)$ for almost all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It results that $\phi(\gamma)\hat{x}_n(\gamma) \rightarrow \phi(\gamma)\hat{x}(\gamma)$, (a.e.), hence $\hat{y}(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma)\hat{x}(\gamma)$, (a.e.), which completes the proof. \square

10. Proposition *Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$; then M_ϕ is an invertible operator if and only if ϕ is an invertible function.*

Proof. If ϕ is an invertible function, then there is $\psi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$, such that $\phi\psi = \psi\phi = 1$, hence M_ψ is the inverse of M_ϕ .

Conversely, let us suppose that M_ϕ is an invertible operator and let M_ϕ^{-1} be its inverse. We first prove that $\phi(\gamma)$ is an invertible operator for almost all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let us suppose that there is $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$, such that $m(B) < \infty, m(B) > 0$ and $\phi(\gamma)$ is not an injective operator (for all $\gamma \in B$); it results that for every $\gamma \in B$, there is $h_\gamma \in K$, such that $\|h_\gamma\| = 1$ and $\phi(\gamma)h_\gamma = 0$. Let $f : \Gamma \rightarrow K, f(\gamma) = 0$, if $\gamma \notin B$ and $f(\gamma) = h_\gamma$, if $\gamma \in B$. The properties of f are : $f \in L^2(\Gamma, K), \|f\|_2 \neq 0$ and $(M_\phi f)(\gamma) = \phi(\gamma)f(\gamma) = 0$, which is a contradiction with the injectivity of M_ϕ . Let us now suppose that there is $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$, such that $m(B) > 0, m(B) < \infty$ and $\phi(\gamma)$ is not a surjective operator (for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$). It results that for every $\gamma \in B$, there is $h_\gamma \in K$, such that $\|h_\gamma\| = 1$ and $h_\gamma \notin \text{ran}(\phi(\gamma))$. Let $g : \Gamma \rightarrow K$ defined by $g(\gamma) = 0$, if $\gamma \notin B$ and $g(\gamma) = h_\gamma$, if $\gamma \in B$; it results that $g \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$. We now prove that $g \notin \text{ran}(M_\phi)$. If we suppose that there is $k \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$, such that $M_\phi k = g$, then we get:

$$\phi(\gamma)k(\gamma) = \begin{cases} h_\gamma, & \text{if } \gamma \in B \\ 0, & \text{if } \gamma \notin B, \end{cases}$$

hence $h_\gamma \in \text{ran}(\phi(\gamma))$, which is a contradiction.

Let $\psi(\gamma) = (\phi(\gamma))^{-1}$; we have $(M_\phi^{-1}\hat{x})(\gamma) = \psi(\gamma)\hat{x}(\gamma)$, hence, by Proposition 9(b) it results that $\psi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$. The set $\{T \in \mathcal{L}(K); T \text{ is not invertible}\}$ is a closed set in $\mathcal{L}(K)$, hence $\phi^{-1}(\{T \in \mathcal{L}(K); T \text{ is not invertible}\})$ is a measurable set, which concludes the proof. \square

11. Theorem *For every $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, we have:*

(a) $\mathcal{F}U_\mu\mathcal{F}^{-1} = M_\mu^\wedge.$

(b) $\|U_\mu\| = \|\hat{\mu}\|_\infty = \sup\{\|T\|; T \in \text{essran}(\hat{\mu})\} = \sup\{\|\hat{\mu}(\gamma)\|; \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \|M_\mu^\wedge\|.$

(c) $\text{spec}(U_\mu) = \text{spec}(M_\mu^\wedge) = \text{spec}(\hat{\mu})$ (here spec denotes the spectrum).

(d) *If $\hat{\mu}(\Gamma)$ is a compact subset in $\mathcal{L}(K)$, (for example, if $K = C^n$ or $\hat{\mu}$ has compact support), then $\text{spec}(U_\mu) = \text{spec}(M_\mu^\wedge) = \bigcup_{T \in \text{clos}(\hat{\mu}(\Gamma))} \text{spec}(T).$*

Proof. The assertions (a), (b) and (c) were proved in Lemma 4 and Propositions 7,9,10.

(d) Let us first suppose that every operator in $\text{clos}(\widehat{\mu}(\Gamma))$ is an invertible operator. Let $\psi(\gamma) = (\widehat{\mu}(\gamma))^{-1}$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $f : \widehat{\mu}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \psi(\Gamma)$, $f(T) = T^{-1}$, then $\psi(\Gamma) \subseteq f(\text{clos}(\widehat{\mu}(\Gamma)))$; it results that $\text{clos}(\psi(\Gamma))$ is a compact set, hence $\psi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$ and therefore M_μ^\wedge is an invertible operator.

Conversely, if M_μ^\wedge is an invertible operator, then $\widehat{\mu}$ is an invertible function in the algebra $L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$, hence every operator in $\widehat{\mu}(\Gamma)$ is invertible. Let $T \in \text{clos}(\widehat{\mu}(\Gamma))$ and let $S_n \in \widehat{\mu}(\Gamma)$ be a sequence of operators, such that $S_n \rightarrow T$. The sequence S_n is bounded (because $\widehat{\mu}$ is invertible), hence there is a convergent subsequence $S_{k_n} \subseteq S_n$ and let $S \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ be its limit. From the equalities $T_{k_n} S_{k_n} = S_{k_n} T_{k_n} = I$ it results that $S = T^{-1}$, hence T is an invertible operator and the proof is over.

□

2 The representation of time-invariant and diagonal operators

In this section we obtain a characterization of time-invariant operators (as convolution operators) and of diagonal operators associated to a system of imprimitivity.

12. Definition Let H be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{L}(H)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . Let $P : \text{Bor}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ be a spectral measure and let $V : G \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ be a continuous unitary representation. The 4-uple (H, G, P, V) is termed *system of imprimitivity* if $V_t P(A) = P(A + t) V_t$, for every $t \in G$ and $A \in \text{Bor}(G)$. For every given system of imprimitivity, Stone's Theorem allows one to construct a second spectral measure $\widehat{P} : \text{Bor}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ and a continuous unitary representation $\widehat{V} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$, such that

$$V_t = \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(-t) d\widehat{P}(\gamma) \text{ and } \widehat{V}_\gamma = \int_G \gamma(-t) dP(t),$$

for every $t \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We term $(H, \Gamma, \widehat{P}, \widehat{V})$ the dual system of (H, G, P, V) . Moreover, we have ([5],[6]): $V_t \widehat{V}_\gamma = \gamma(t) \widehat{V}_\gamma V_t$ and $\widehat{V}_\gamma \widehat{P}(B) = \widehat{P}(B - \gamma) \widehat{V}_\gamma$, for every $t \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$, ([6]).

We give the usual example of system of imprimitivity. Let $(K, <, >)$ be a Hilbert space and let $L^2(G, K)$ and $L^2(\Gamma, K)$ be the usual Hilbert spaces of K -valued square integrable functions on G and Γ , respectively. For every $A \in \text{Bor}(G)$, let χ_A be the characteristic function of A and let E be the usual spectral measure on $L^2(G, K)$, i.e. $E(A)x = \chi_A x$, for every $x \in L^2(G, K)$. Analogously, if $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$, let $F(B)\hat{x} = \chi_B \hat{x}$, for every $\hat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$. We denote by U and W the left regular representations on G and Γ , respectively: $(U_t x)(s) = x(s - t)$, for all $t, s \in G, x \in L^2(G, K)$ and $(W_\gamma \hat{x})(\sigma) = \hat{x}(\sigma - \gamma)$, for all $\gamma, \sigma \in \Gamma, \hat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$. It results that $(L^2(G, K), G, E, U)$ and $(L^2(\Gamma, K), \Gamma, F, W)$ are systems of imprimitivity. The dual system of $(L^2(G, K), G, E, U)$ is $(L^2(G, K), \Gamma, \widehat{E}, \widehat{U})$, where $U_t = \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(-t) d\widehat{E}(\gamma)$ and $\widehat{U}_\gamma = \int_G \gamma(-t) dE(t)$, for all $t \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The dual system of $(L^2(\Gamma, K), \Gamma, F, W)$

is $(L^2(\Gamma, K), G, \widehat{F}, \widehat{W})$, where $W_\gamma = \int_G \gamma(-t)d\widehat{F}(t)$ and $\widehat{W}_t = \int_\Gamma \gamma(-t)dF(\gamma)$, for every $t \in G$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The relations between $E, F, U, W, \widehat{E}, \widehat{F}, \widehat{U}, \widehat{W}$ and \mathcal{F} are given in the following lemma ([9]).

13. Lemma *With the above notations, we have:*

- (a) $(\mathcal{F}U_t\mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{x})(\gamma) = \gamma(-t)\widehat{x}(\gamma)$, for every $t \in G$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\widehat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$.
- (b) $\mathcal{F}E(A)\mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{x} = \widehat{\chi}_A \star \widehat{x}$, for every $A \in \text{Bor}(G)$ and $\widehat{x} \in L^2(\Gamma, K)$; here $\widehat{\chi}_A$ is the Fourier transform of χ_A and the convolution is defined by $(\widehat{\chi}_A \star \widehat{x})(\gamma) = \int_\Gamma \widehat{\chi}_A(\gamma - \theta)\widehat{x}(\theta)d\theta$.
- (c) $(\widehat{U}_\gamma x)(t) = \gamma(-t)x(t)$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $x \in L^2(G, K)$ and $t \in G$.
- (d) $\widehat{E}(B)x = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\chi_B) \star x$, for every $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$ and $x \in L^2(G, K)$.
- (e) $W_\gamma = \mathcal{F}\widehat{U}_\gamma\mathcal{F}^{-1}$, for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (f) $F(B) = \mathcal{F}\widehat{E}(B)\mathcal{F}^{-1}$, for every $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$.
- (g) $\mathcal{F}U_s\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \widehat{W}_s$.
- (h) $\mathcal{F}E(A)\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \widehat{F}(A)$.

14. Theorem *Let (H, G, P, V) be a system of imprimitivity and let $(H, \Gamma, \widehat{P}, \widehat{V})$ be the dual system. Then there is a Hilbert space K and $\Omega : H \rightarrow L^2(G, K)$, $\widetilde{\Omega} : H \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma, K)$, such that:*

- (a) Ω and $\widetilde{\Omega}$ are Hilbert space isomorphisms.
- (b) $\Omega P(A)\Omega^{-1} = E(A)$ and $\Omega V_t\Omega^{-1} = U_t$, for every $A \in \text{Bor}(G)$ and $t \in G$.
- (c) $\Omega \widehat{P}(B)\Omega^{-1} = \widehat{E}(B)$ and $\Omega \widehat{V}_\gamma\Omega^{-1} = \widehat{U}_\gamma$, for every $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (d) $\widetilde{\Omega} \widehat{P}(B)\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1} = F(B)$ and $\widetilde{\Omega} \widehat{V}_\gamma\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1} = W_\gamma$, for every $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
- (e) $\widetilde{\Omega} P(A)\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1} = \widehat{F}(A)$ and $\widetilde{\Omega} V_t\widetilde{\Omega}^{-1} = \widehat{W}_t$, for every $A \in \text{Bor}(G)$ and $t \in G$.

Proof. We apply Mackey's Theorem ([6]) for V and \widehat{V} ; it results that there is an at most countable index set J , a family of Hilbert spaces $\{K_n\}_{n \in J}$ and unitary operators $S_n : K_n \rightarrow L^2(G)$, such that $\bigoplus_{n \in J} K_n = H$ and

- (i) K_n is an invariant subspace for V and \widehat{V} , for every $n \in J$.
- (ii) $(S_n V_t S_n^{-1} f)(s) = f(s - t)$, for every $n \in J, t, s \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $f \in L^2(G)$.
- (iii) $(S_n \widehat{V}_\gamma S_n^{-1} f)(t) = \gamma(-t)f(t)$, for every $n \in J, t \in G, \gamma \in \Gamma$ and $f \in L^2(G)$.

Let $\Psi : H \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n \in J} L^2(G)$, $\Psi = \bigoplus_{n \in J} S_n$ and let K be a Hilbert space of appropriate dimension, such that there is an unitary operator $\Phi : \bigoplus_{n \in J} L^2(G) \rightarrow L^2(G, K)$.

We define $\Omega : H \rightarrow L^2(G, K)$ by $\Omega = \Phi \circ \Psi$. The above properties (i), (ii) imply the second part of (b) and (i), (iii) imply the second part of (c). We now prove the first part of (b). For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we have:

$$\Omega \widehat{V}_\gamma \Omega^{-1} = \Omega \left[\int_G \gamma(-t)dP(t) \right] \Omega^{-1} = \widehat{U}^\gamma = \int_G \gamma(-t)dE(t).$$

Stone's Theorem and the second part of (b) prove the first part of (c). Let $\widetilde{\Omega} = \mathcal{F} \circ \Omega$. Then (d) and (e) are consequences of Lemma 13 and relations (b) and (c). \square

15. Definition Let (H, G, P, V) be a system of imprimitivity. An operator $T \in$

$\mathcal{L}(H)$ is called *time-invariant* if $TV^t = V^tT$, for every $t \in G$, ([4],[5],[10],[12]).

The convolution operator U_μ is a time-invariant one.

An operator T is called *diagonal* if $TP(A) = P(A)T$, for every $A \in \text{Bor}(G)$.

16. Theorem Let (H, G, P, V) be a system of imprimitivity, let $(H, \Gamma, \hat{P}, \hat{V})$ be its dual and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$.

(a) If T is a diagonal operator, then there is a Hilbert space K and $\phi \in L^\infty(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, such that T is unitarily-equivalent with the multiplication operator M_ϕ (on the space $L^2(G, K)$); moreover, if there is $\mu \in M(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$, such that $\hat{\mu} = \phi$, then T is unitarily-equivalent with the convolution operator W_μ (on the space $L^2(\Gamma K)$).

(b) If T is a time-invariant operator, (i.e. $TV^t = V^tT$), then there is a Hilbert space K and $\phi \in L^\infty(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$, such that T is unitarily-equivalent with the multiplication operator M_ϕ (on the space $L^2(\Gamma, K)$); moreover, if there is $\mu \in M(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, such that $\hat{\mu} = \phi$, then T is unitarily-equivalent with the convolution operator U_μ (on the space $L^2(G, K)$).

Proof. (a) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, such that $TP(A) = P(A)T$, for every $A \in \text{Bor}(G)$. By applying Theorem 14 it results that there is a Hilbert space K and there are unitary operators $\Omega : H \rightarrow L^2(G, K)$ and $\tilde{\Omega} : H \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma, K)$, such that $\tilde{\Omega} = \mathfrak{S} \circ \Omega$; moreover, we have ((b) and (f) from Theorem 14):

(i) $\Omega P(A) \Omega^{-1} = E(A)$, for every $A \in \text{Bor}(G)$.

(ii) $\tilde{\Omega} \hat{P}(B) \tilde{\Omega}^{-1} = F(B)$, for every $B \in \text{Bor}(\Gamma)$.

From (i) it results that the operator $\Omega T \Omega^{-1}$ commutes with the canonical spectral measure E on $L^2(G, K)$:

$$\Omega T \Omega^{-1} E(A) = \Omega T P(A) \Omega^{-1} = \Omega P(A) T \Omega^{-1} = E(A) \Omega T \Omega^{-1}.$$

By applying Theorem 4.6, p. 230 from ([7]) to the operator $\Omega T \Omega^{-1}$ it results that there is $\phi \in L^\infty(G, \mathcal{L}(K))$, such that $\Omega T \Omega^{-1} = M_\phi$. If there is $\mu \in M(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}(K))$, such that $\hat{\mu} = \phi$, then Theorem 13(a) gives $M_\phi = \mathfrak{S} W_\mu \mathfrak{S}^{-1}$ (here W_μ denotes the convolution operator on $L^2(\Gamma, K)$), which ends the proof of (a)..

(b) Analogously, the operator $\tilde{\Omega} T \tilde{\Omega}^{-1}$ commutes with the canonical spectral measure F on $L^2(\Gamma, K)$. \square

References

- [1] Arveson W. A., *Interpolations problems in nest algebras*, J. Funct. Analysis, 20 (1975), 208-233.
- [2] Balakrishnan A. V., *Applied Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [3] Bartle R. C., *A general bilinear vector-valued integral*, Studia Math., 15 (1956), 337-352.
- [4] Feintuch A., *State space theory for resolution space operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 74 (1980), 164-191.

- [5] Feintuch A., Saeks R., *System Theory: A Hilbert space approach*, Academic Press, 1982.
- [6] Mackey G. W., *A Theorem of Stone and von Neumann*, Duke Math. J., 16 (1949), 313-320.
- [7] Masani P., Rosenberg M., *The multiplication operator in L^2 over a localizable space and Bochner Theorem*, in "Abstract spaces and approximation", vol. 2, Birkhauser, Basel, (1983), 217.
- [8] Olteanu M., *On a class of convolution operators*, Scient. Bull., Electr. Eng., Polytechnical Univ. Buc., 3-4 (1993), 10-15.
- [9] Olteanu M., *On the representation of subdiagonal operators associated to an ordered system of imprimitivity*, Bull. Soc. Math., 1-2 (1997), (to appear).
- [10] Olteanu M., *Discrete time-invariant infinite-dimensional systems*, 11th Int. Conf. on control systems and computer science, vol. 1 (1997), 52-56.
- [11] Rudin W., *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience Publishers, 1962.
- [12] Saeks R., *Resolution Hilbert space, operators and systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

Author's address:

Mircea Olteanu

*Politehnica University of Bucharest
Automatics and Computers Faculty, Math. Dept. 2
Splaiul Independentei 313
Bucharest, Romania.*