

STRUCTURE OF THE ISOUNITS

Gr. Tsagas and Ath. Tsonis

Abstract

The isounit plays an important role in the theory of isostructures. The aim of the present paper is to study special cases of isounity.

AMS Subject Classification: 11T99.

Key words: Isounit, variable and constant isounits.

1 Introduction

We consider a non empty set U . We assume that there is an element \hat{I} such that the product $\hat{u} = \hat{I}u$ can be defined for every element $u \in U$. Therefore we obtain the set which is defined by

$$\hat{U} = \{\hat{u} = u\hat{I} / u \in U\}. \quad (1.1)$$

The set \hat{U} is called isotopic of U by mean of \hat{I} . The lifting which transfers the U into \hat{U} is called isotopy. The element \hat{I} is called isounit. We assume that the isounit \hat{I} comes from a set S endowed with an interior law \square with unit e . Therefore, to the isounit \hat{I} corresponds an element T with the property $\hat{I}\square T = e$. The element T is called isotopic element. In some cases \hat{U} can be defined as follows:

$$\hat{U} = \{\hat{u} = T^{-1}u / u \in U\}. \quad (1.2)$$

If U has some algebraic structures, then these structures can be transferred in \hat{U} .

The aim of the present paper is to study the structure and some properties of the isounit \hat{I} .

Editor Gr.Tsagas *Proceedings of the Workshop on Global Analysis, Differential Geometry and Lie Algebras, 1997*, 130-137

©2000 Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press

2 The structure of the isounit

We consider the set U endowed with a law of composition denoted by „ \bullet ”. We call this *multiplication* and we denote it also by:

$$\bullet : U \times U \rightarrow U, \bullet(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \alpha \bullet \beta = \alpha\beta \quad (2.1)$$

U can be consisting of numbers (functions or operators).

We try the generalization of the current simplest possible multiplication „ $\alpha\beta$ ”, which can be also denoted by „ $\alpha \times \beta$ ” for convenience into the isotopic multiplication or isomultiplication. This isomultiplication is denoted by „ $*$ ”, which has the meaning:

$$\hat{\alpha} * \hat{\beta} = \hat{\alpha} T \hat{\beta} = \alpha \hat{I} T \beta \hat{I} = \alpha \beta \hat{I} = \widehat{\alpha \times \beta}. \quad (2.2)$$

This operation $*$ can be written:

$$* = \times T \times, \quad (2.3)$$

where T is a fixed, invertible quantity for all possible elements α, β which is called isotopic element.

We must notice that the lifting (2.3) is isotopic, because (for nondegenerate element T) it preserves all the original operators. We assume that on U there is a unit e with respect to the multiplication „ \times ”, that means

$$a \times e = e \times a = a, \quad (\forall) a \in U. \quad (2.4)$$

We list (2.4) by the isotopy, then we obtain

$$\hat{\alpha} \times \hat{I} = \hat{I} * \hat{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}, \quad (\forall) \alpha \in U, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\hat{I} = T^{-1}$. The element \hat{I} is called *isounit* and T is called *isotopic element*.

Assuming that \hat{I} preserves all the properties of the unit e , we obtain that the lifting

$$e \rightarrow \hat{I} = e \hat{I} = \hat{I} e \quad (2.6)$$

is an isotopy, that is the unit e and the isounit \hat{I} as well products $\hat{\alpha} * \hat{\beta} = \hat{\alpha} \times \hat{\beta}$ coincide at the abstract level by cooperation.

We introduce the isonumbers as the generalization of conventional numbers.

The isounit \hat{I} , in the general case, must not be constant but it depends on different factors. In some problems in Physics it has the form:

$$\hat{I} = \hat{I}(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \Psi, \Psi \uparrow, d\Psi \uparrow, \mu, r, u \dots).$$

In the classical quantum mechanics we have assumed that Plank's constant $h = 1$. New experimental results on the motion of quantum require to consider that the unit $I = h$ is not constant but it has the form:

$$\hat{h} = \hat{I}(t, x, \dot{x}, \ddot{x}, \Psi, \Psi \uparrow, d\Psi \uparrow, \mu, r, u \dots),$$

where t is the time, $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ the coordinate of the quantum, \dot{x}, \ddot{x} the derivatives of x , $\Psi, \Psi \uparrow$ the wavefunctions, $d\Psi, d\Psi \uparrow$ their derivatives, μ the density, r the temperature, n the index reflection, etc.

3 Special constant isounits

In this section we give some isounits which are constant. In order to understand the notion of the isounit, we give some examples.

PROBLEM 3.1. *We consider the ring of the integers \mathbb{Z} . Construct an isotopic $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ of \widehat{I} using an element $a \neq 1$ different that the multiplication unit 1 in \mathbb{Z} . Then $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is an isoring with constant isounit.*

Solution. In order to construct the isotopic set \widehat{U} of \mathbb{Z} we must consider that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, where \mathbb{Q} is the field of rational numbers, because $\alpha \neq 1$ does not have inverse element in \mathbb{Z} but it has in \mathbb{Q} and it is known that $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$. The isotopic set $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \left\{ \widehat{\beta} = \beta * \alpha / \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The composition law on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by

$$\widehat{\beta} * \widehat{\gamma} = \widehat{\beta} \frac{1}{\alpha} \widehat{\gamma} = \beta \alpha \frac{1}{\alpha} \gamma \alpha = \beta \gamma \alpha = \beta \widehat{\gamma}$$

The isotopic element is $\frac{1}{\alpha}$. The lifting

$$U \rightarrow \widehat{U}$$

is an isotopy. The isotopic set $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ becomes an isoring.

PROBLEM 3.2. *Let \mathbb{R} be the field of real numbers. We construct the isotopic set $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ which is defined by*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \left\{ \widehat{\alpha} = \alpha A / \text{where } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & n \end{pmatrix}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then \mathbb{R} is an isofield with constant isounit.

Solution. In this case we have the isounit

$$\widehat{I} = A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & n \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Therefore } \widehat{\alpha} = \alpha \widehat{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\alpha & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 2\alpha & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3\alpha & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & n\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

The isotopic element T is the following:

$$T = \widehat{I}^{-1} = A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \cdot & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It can easily be proved that \mathbb{R} is an isofield.

4 Special non-constant units

We shall study isounits which are not constant but they depend on one variable.

PROBLEM 4.1. We construct the isotopic set $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \{\widehat{x} = x \cdot e^x / x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Prove that $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isofield with isounit $\widehat{I} = e^x$.

Solution. From this operation we have:

$$\widehat{x} * \widehat{y} = \widehat{x} \cdot T \cdot \widehat{y} = (\widehat{x} \cdot T^{-1}) \cdot T \cdot (y \cdot T^{-1}) = x \cdot y \cdot T^{-1} = \widehat{xy}. \quad (\text{Definition})$$

Therefore the isotopic element is

$$T = e^{xy-x-y}.$$

We can prove that all the other properties for an isofield are valid. These properties are as follows:

$$A_1) \left. \begin{array}{l} (\widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y}) \widehat{+} \widehat{z} \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x+y) \cdot e^{x+y} \widehat{+} z \cdot e^z \stackrel{(II)}{=} [(x+y)+z] \cdot e^{(x+y)+z} \\ \widehat{x} \widehat{+} (\widehat{y} \widehat{+} \widehat{z}) \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot e^x \widehat{+} (y+z) \cdot e^{y+z} \stackrel{(II)}{=} [x+(y+z)] \cdot e^{x+(y+z)} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow (\widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y}) \widehat{+} \widehat{z} = \widehat{x} \widehat{+} (\widehat{y} \widehat{+} \widehat{z}).$$

$$A_2) \left. \begin{array}{l} \widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{0} \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot e^x \widehat{+} 0 \cdot e^0 \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x+0) \cdot e^{x+0} = x \cdot e^x = \widehat{x} \\ \widehat{0} \widehat{+} \widehat{x} \stackrel{(II)}{=} 0 \cdot e^0 \widehat{+} x \cdot e^x \stackrel{(II)}{=} (0+x) \cdot e^{0+x} = x \cdot e^x = \widehat{x} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{0} = \widehat{0} \widehat{+} \widehat{x} = \widehat{x}.$$

$$A_3) \left. \begin{array}{l} \widehat{x} \widehat{+} (\widehat{-x}) \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot e^x \widehat{+} (-x \cdot e^{-x}) \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x-x) \cdot e^{x-x} = 0 \cdot e^0 = 0 \\ (\widehat{-x}) \widehat{+} \widehat{x} \stackrel{(II)}{=} (-x \cdot e^{-x}) \widehat{+} x \cdot e^x \stackrel{(II)}{=} (-x+x) \cdot e^{-x+x} = e^0 \cdot 0 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \widehat{x} \widehat{+} (\widehat{-x}) = (\widehat{-x}) \widehat{+} \widehat{x} = 0.$$

$$A_4) \left. \begin{array}{l} \widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y} \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot e^x \widehat{+} y \cdot e^y \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x+y) \cdot e^{x+y} \\ \widehat{y} \widehat{+} \widehat{x} \stackrel{(II)}{=} y \cdot e^y \widehat{+} x \cdot e^x \stackrel{(II)}{=} (y+x) \cdot e^{y+x} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y} = \widehat{y} \widehat{+} \widehat{x}.$$

Therefore, $(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}, \widehat{\dagger})$ is an Abelian group.

$$B_1) \left. \begin{aligned} (\widehat{x} * \widehat{y}) * \widehat{z} &\stackrel{(I)}{=} x \cdot y \cdot e^{x \cdot y} * z \cdot e^z \stackrel{(I)}{=} (x \cdot y) \cdot z \cdot e^{(x \cdot y) \cdot z} \\ \widehat{x} * (\widehat{y} * \widehat{z}) &\stackrel{(I)}{=} x \cdot e^x * (y \cdot z \cdot e^{y \cdot z}) \stackrel{(I)}{=} x \cdot (y \cdot z) \cdot e^{x \cdot (y \cdot z)} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow (\widehat{x} * \widehat{y}) * \widehat{z} = \widehat{x} * (\widehat{y} * \widehat{z}).$$

$$B_2) \left. \begin{aligned} \widehat{x} * \widehat{I} &\stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} \widehat{x} \cdot T \cdot \widehat{I} \stackrel{\widehat{I}=T^{-1}}{=} \widehat{x} \\ \widehat{I} * \widehat{x} &\stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} \widehat{I} \cdot T \cdot \widehat{x} \stackrel{\widehat{I}=T^{-1}}{=} \widehat{x} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} * \widehat{I} = \widehat{I} * \widehat{x} = \widehat{x}.$$

$B_3) \forall \widehat{x} \in (\widehat{\mathbb{R}} - \{0\})$ we have:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \widehat{x} * \widehat{x}^{-1} &\stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} x \cdot e^x \cdot T \cdot x^{-1} e^{x^{-1}} = x \cdot e^x \cdot e^{xx^{-1} - x - x^{-1}} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot e^{x^{-1}} = x \cdot x^{-1} = \widehat{1} = \widehat{I} \\ \widehat{x}^{-1} * \widehat{x} &\stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} x^{-1} \cdot e^{x^{-1}} \cdot T \cdot x \cdot e^x = x^{-1} \cdot e^{x^{-1}} \cdot e^{xx^{-1} - x - x^{-1}} \cdot x \cdot e^x = x^{-1} \cdot x = \widehat{1} = \widehat{I} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{x} * \widehat{x}^{-1} = \widehat{x}^{-1} * \widehat{x} = \widehat{I}.$$

$$B_4) \left. \begin{aligned} \widehat{x} * \widehat{y} &\stackrel{(I)}{=} x \cdot y \cdot e^{x \cdot y} \\ \widehat{y} * \widehat{x} &\stackrel{(I)}{=} y \cdot x \cdot e^{y \cdot x} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} * \widehat{y} = \widehat{y} * \widehat{x}.$$

$$C_1) \widehat{x} * (\widehat{y} + \widehat{z}) \stackrel{(II)}{=} \widehat{x} \cdot (\widehat{y} + \widehat{z}) \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot (y + z) \cdot e^{x \cdot (y + z)} \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x \cdot y + x \cdot z) \cdot e^{(x \cdot y + x \cdot z)} \stackrel{(II)}{=}$$

$$\stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot y \cdot e^{x \cdot y} + x \cdot z \cdot e^{x \cdot z} \stackrel{(I)}{=} \widehat{x} * \widehat{y} + \widehat{x} * \widehat{z} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} * (\widehat{y} + \widehat{z}) = \widehat{x} * \widehat{y} + \widehat{x} * \widehat{z}$$

$$(\widehat{x} + \widehat{y}) * \widehat{z} \stackrel{(II)}{=} (\widehat{x} + \widehat{y}) \cdot \widehat{z} \stackrel{(I)}{=} (x + y) \cdot z \cdot e^{(x + y) \cdot z} = (x \cdot z + y \cdot z) e^{(x \cdot z + y \cdot z)} \stackrel{(II)}{=}$$

$$\stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot z \cdot e^{x \cdot z} + y \cdot z \cdot e^{y \cdot z} \stackrel{(I)}{=} \widehat{x} * \widehat{z} + \widehat{y} * \widehat{z} \Rightarrow (\widehat{x} + \widehat{y}) * \widehat{z} = \widehat{x} * \widehat{z} + \widehat{y} * \widehat{z}.$$

Therefore, $(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}, \widehat{\dagger}, *)$ is an isofield.

PROBLEM 4.2 We consider the isotopic set of $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$\widehat{\mathbb{R}} := \{\widehat{x} = a \cdot x \cdot e^{\gamma x} / a, \gamma, x \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}}, \cap a \neq 0\}.$$

Solution. From the definition we have:

$$\widehat{x} * \widehat{y} = \widehat{x} \cdot T \cdot \widehat{y} = (x \cdot a \cdot e^{\gamma x}) \cdot T \cdot (y \cdot a \cdot e^{\gamma y}) = a \cdot x \cdot e^{\gamma x} \frac{e^{\gamma xy - \gamma x - \gamma y}}{a} y \cdot a \cdot e^{\gamma y} =$$

$$= a \cdot x \cdot y \cdot e^{\gamma xy} (I).$$

Therefore the isotopic element is $T = e^{\gamma(xy - x - y)}$.

Now we can prove with the same method as in problem 4.1 that $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isofield with isounit $T = e^{\gamma(xy - x - y)}$.

PROBLEM 4.3 Let $\widehat{\mathbb{R}} = \{\widehat{x} = x \cdot \widehat{f}(\widehat{x}) / x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and the isofunction } \widehat{f}(\widehat{x}) = \varphi(x)\}$ be isotopic set of R . Then $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isofield.

Solution.

$$\widehat{x} * \widehat{y} \stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} \widehat{x} \cdot T \cdot \widehat{y} = [x \cdot \varphi(x)] \cdot T \cdot [y \cdot \varphi(y)] =$$

$$= x \cdot \varphi(x) \cdot \frac{\varphi(x \cdot y)}{\varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(y)} \cdot y \cdot \varphi(y) = x \cdot y \cdot \varphi(x \cdot y) \quad (I)$$

$$\widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y} \stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} x \cdot \varphi(x) \widehat{+} y \cdot \varphi(y) \stackrel{\text{we require}}{=} (x + y) \cdot \varphi(x + y) = x + y \quad (II)$$

The isoset $\widehat{\mathbb{R}}$ is closed according to the operations $*$ and $\widehat{+}$, defined by (I) and (II) respectively.

We will prove the following properties:

$$A_1) \left. \begin{array}{l} (\widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y}) \widehat{+} \widehat{z} \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x + y) \cdot \varphi(x + y) \widehat{+} z \cdot \varphi(z) \stackrel{(II)}{=} [(x + y) + z] \cdot \varphi[(x + y) + z] \\ \widehat{x} \widehat{+} (\widehat{y} \widehat{+} \widehat{z}) \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot \varphi(x) \widehat{+} (y + z) \cdot \varphi(y + z) \stackrel{(II)}{=} [x + (y + z)] \cdot \varphi[x + (y + z)] \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow (\widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y}) \widehat{+} \widehat{z} = \widehat{x} \widehat{+} (\widehat{y} \widehat{+} \widehat{z})$$

$$A_2) \left. \begin{array}{l} \widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{0} \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot \varphi(x) \widehat{+} 0 \cdot \varphi(0) \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x + 0) \cdot \varphi(x + 0) = x \cdot \varphi(x) = \widehat{x} \\ \widehat{0} \widehat{+} \widehat{x} \stackrel{(II)}{=} 0 \cdot \varphi(0) \widehat{+} x \cdot \varphi(x) \stackrel{(II)}{=} (0 + x) \cdot \varphi(0 + x) = x \cdot \varphi(x) = \widehat{x} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{0} = \widehat{0} \widehat{+} \widehat{x} = \widehat{x}$$

$$A_3) \left. \begin{array}{l} \widehat{x} \widehat{+} (\widehat{-x}) \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot \varphi(x) \widehat{+} (-x) \cdot \varphi(-x) \stackrel{(II)}{=} [x + (-x)] \cdot \varphi[x + (-x)] = 0 \cdot \varphi(0) = 0 \\ (\widehat{-x}) \widehat{+} \widehat{x} \stackrel{(II)}{=} (-x) \cdot \varphi(-x) \widehat{+} x \cdot \varphi(x) \stackrel{(II)}{=} [(-x) + x] \cdot \varphi[(-x) + x] = \varphi(0) \cdot 0 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\widehat{x} \widehat{+} (\widehat{-x}) = (\widehat{-x}) \widehat{+} \widehat{x} = 0.$$

$$A_4) \left. \begin{array}{l} \widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y} \stackrel{(II)}{=} x \cdot \varphi(x) \widehat{+} y \cdot \varphi(y) \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x + y) \cdot \varphi(x + y) \\ \widehat{y} \widehat{+} \widehat{x} \stackrel{(II)}{=} y \cdot \varphi(y) \widehat{+} x \cdot \varphi(x) \stackrel{(II)}{=} (y + x) \cdot \varphi(y + x) \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} \widehat{+} \widehat{y} = \widehat{y} \widehat{+} \widehat{x}.$$

Therefore, $(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}, \widehat{+}, *)$ is an Abelian group.

$$B_1) \left. \begin{array}{l} (\widehat{x} * \widehat{y}) * \widehat{z} \stackrel{(I)}{=} x \cdot y \cdot \varphi(x \cdot y) * z \cdot \varphi(z) \stackrel{(I)}{=} [(x \cdot y) \cdot z] \cdot \varphi[(x \cdot y) \cdot z] \\ \widehat{x} * (\widehat{y} * \widehat{z}) \stackrel{(I)}{=} x \cdot \varphi(x) * (y \cdot z) \cdot \varphi(y \cdot z) \stackrel{(I)}{=} [x \cdot (y \cdot z)] \cdot \varphi[x \cdot (y \cdot z)] \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow (\widehat{x} * \widehat{y}) * \widehat{z} = \widehat{x} * (\widehat{y} * \widehat{z}).$$

$$B_2) \left. \begin{array}{l} \widehat{x} * \widehat{I} \stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} \widehat{x} \cdot T \cdot \widehat{I} \stackrel{\widehat{I}=T^{-1}}{=} \widehat{x} \\ \widehat{I} * \widehat{x} \stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} \widehat{I} \cdot T \cdot \widehat{x} \stackrel{\widehat{I}=T^{-1}}{=} \widehat{x} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} * \widehat{I} = \widehat{I} * \widehat{x} = \widehat{x}$$

$$B_3) \forall \widehat{x} \in (\widehat{\mathbb{R}} - \{0\}) \Leftrightarrow x \cdot \varphi(x) \neq 0 \stackrel{x \neq 0}{\Leftrightarrow} \varphi(x) \neq 0 \text{ we have :}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \widehat{x} * \widehat{x}^{-1} &\stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} x \cdot \varphi(x) \cdot T \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \varphi(x^{-1}) = \\ x \cdot \varphi(x) \cdot \frac{\varphi(x \cdot x^{-1})}{\varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(x^{-1})} \cdot x^{-1} \cdot \varphi(x^{-1}) &= (x \cdot x^{-1}) \cdot \varphi(x \cdot x^{-1}) = \widehat{I} \\ \widehat{x}^{-1} * \widehat{x} &\stackrel{\text{by def}}{=} x^{-1} \cdot \varphi(x^{-1}) \cdot T \cdot x \cdot \varphi(x) = \\ x^{-1} \cdot \varphi(x^{-1}) \cdot \frac{\varphi(x \cdot x^{-1})}{\varphi(x) \cdot \varphi(x^{-1})} \cdot x \cdot \varphi(x) &= (x^{-1} \cdot x) \varphi(x^{-1} \cdot x) = \widehat{I} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \widehat{x} * \widehat{x}^{-1} = \widehat{x}^{-1} * \widehat{x} = \widehat{I}.$$

$$B_4) \left. \begin{aligned} \widehat{x} * \widehat{y} &\stackrel{(I)}{=} (x \cdot y) \cdot \varphi(x \cdot y) \\ \widehat{y} * \widehat{x} &\stackrel{(I)}{=} (y \cdot x) \cdot \varphi(y \cdot x) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} * \widehat{y} = \widehat{y} * \widehat{x}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_1) \widehat{x} * (\widehat{y} + \widehat{z}) &\stackrel{(II)}{=} \widehat{x} \cdot (\widehat{y} + \widehat{z}) \stackrel{(II)}{=} [x \cdot (y+z)] \cdot \varphi[x \cdot (y+z)] \stackrel{(II)}{=} (x \cdot y + x \cdot z) \cdot \varphi(x \cdot y + x \cdot z) \stackrel{(II)}{=} \\ &\stackrel{(II)}{=} (x \cdot y) \cdot \varphi(x \cdot y) + (x \cdot z) \cdot \varphi(x \cdot z) \stackrel{(I)}{=} \widehat{x} * \widehat{y} + \widehat{x} * \widehat{z} \Rightarrow \widehat{x} * (\widehat{y} + \widehat{z}) = \widehat{x} * \widehat{y} + \widehat{x} * \widehat{z} \\ (\widehat{x} + \widehat{y}) * \widehat{z} &\stackrel{(II)}{=} (\widehat{x} + \widehat{y}) \cdot \widehat{z} \stackrel{(I)}{=} [(x+y) \cdot z] \cdot \varphi[(x+y) \cdot z] = (x \cdot z + y \cdot z) \cdot \varphi(x \cdot z + y \cdot z) \stackrel{(II)}{=} \\ &\stackrel{(II)}{=} (x \cdot z) \cdot \varphi(x \cdot z) + (y \cdot z) \cdot \varphi(y \cdot z) \stackrel{(I)}{=} \widehat{x} * \widehat{z} + \widehat{y} * \widehat{z} \Rightarrow (\widehat{x} + \widehat{y}) * \widehat{z} = \widehat{x} * \widehat{z} + \widehat{y} * \widehat{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\widehat{\mathbb{R}}, \widehat{+}, *)$ is an isofield, on condition that $\widehat{f}(\widehat{x}) = \varphi(x) \neq 0$. Thus we require $\widehat{f}(\widehat{x})$ to be defined on $\widehat{A} \cap \text{supp}(\widehat{f})$, where \widehat{A} is the domain of $\widehat{f}(\widehat{x})$, when there is $[\widehat{f}(\widehat{x})]^{-1} [\text{supp}(\widehat{f}), \text{on } \widehat{A}]$.

References

- [1] Belifante J., Kolman B., *A survey of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras with applications and computational methods*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.
- [2] Jacobson N., *Lie Algebras*, Wiley, N. York.
- [3] Kadeisvili J. K., *Santilli's isotopies of contemporary algebras, geometries and relativities*, Hadronic Press Monographs in Mathematics.
- [4] Santilli, R. M., *An Introduction to Lie-admissible Algebras. Center for Theoretical Studies*, University of Miami, Coral Gables, Fl Supplemento al Nuovo Cimento 6, 1225-1249.
- [5] Santilli, R. M., *Isotopies of Contemporary Mathematical Structures. Part I: Isotopies of Fields, Vector Spaces, Transformation Theory, Lie Algebras, Analytic Mechanics and Space-Time Symmetries*, Algebras, Groups and Geometries 8, 169-266.
- [6] Santilli, R.M., *Isotopies of Contemporary Mathematical Structures. Part II: Isotopies of Symplecting Geometry, Affine Geometry, Riemannian Geometry and Einstein's Gravitation*, Algebras, Groups and Geometries 8, 275-390.

- [7] Santilli, R. M., *Isotopic Generalization of Galillei and Einstein Relativities*, Mathematical Foundations. Vol 1, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Fl, USA. Second edition Ukraine Academy of Sciences Kiev (1993).
- [8] Santilli, R. M., *Isotopic Generalization of Galillei and Einstein Relativities*, Classical Formulations. Vol II, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor.
- [9] Tsagas T. Gr., *Studies on the classification of Lie Santillis Algebras*, New Frontiers, in Algebras, Groups and Geometries, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Fl 34689-1577, 11, 478-500.

Authors' address:

Gr. Tsagas and Ath. Tsonis

Division of Mathematics

Department of Mathematics and Physics

Faculty of Technology

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki

Thessaloniki 54006 GREECE