

Algebraic functional equations solved by a geometrical general method

Alina Olteanu and Octav Olteanu

Abstract

In Section 1 we recall and state improved versions of some results of [16] concerning the equation

$$g(x) = g(f(x)) \quad \forall x \in A,$$

where f is the "unknown" function, while g is a given function satisfying some conditions. We also consider the operatorial case, when the variable x may "become" a self-adjoint operator acting on a Hilbert space. The existence of the function f is proved by constructing it effectively and without using the implicit function theorem (for details see [16] and [8]). This construction has a geometrical nature.

In Section 2 we apply the general type results of Section 1 to some concrete algebraic functional equations. The function f constructed by Theorem 2.1 has an interesting property vis-à-vis to prime integers. The operatorial case is also considered.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A03, 26A06, 26A09, 26A24, 26A48, 26A51, 26C99, 47A10, 47A60, 47A63, 11A41, 11D41.

Key words: functional equations, decreasing functions, operators, algebraic functional equations.

1 General type results

In this section we recall and improve some results of [16].

1.1. Theorem. *Let $u, v \in \bar{\mathbf{R}}$, $u < v$, $a \in]u, v[$ and let $g :]u, v[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous function. Assume that*

$$(a) \quad \lim_{x \searrow u} g(x) = \lim_{x \nearrow v} g(x) = w \in \bar{\mathbf{R}},$$

(b) g is strictly decreasing on $]u, a[$ and strictly increasing on $[a, v[$.

Then there exists $f :]u, v[\rightarrow]u, v[$ such that

$$(1) \quad g(x) = g(f(x)) \quad \forall x \in]u, v[$$

Proceedings of The 3-rd International Colloquium "Mathematics in Engineering and Numerical Physics" October 7-9, 2004, Bucharest, Romania, pp. 208-217.

© Balkan Society of Geometers, Geometry Balkan Press 2005.

and f has the following properties:

(i) f is strictly decreasing on $]u, v[$ and we have

$$\lim_{x \searrow u} f(x) = v, \quad \lim_{x \nearrow v} f(x) = u;$$

(ii) a is the unique fixed point of f ;

(iii) we have $f^{-1} = f$ on $]u, v[$;

(iv) f is continuous on $]u, v[$;

(v) if we assume in addition that $g \in C^n(]u, v[\setminus\{a\})$, $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $n \geq 1$, then $f \in C^n(]u, v[\setminus\{a\})$;

(vi) if g is derivable on $]u, v[\setminus\{a\}$, so is f ;

(vii) if $g \in C^2(]u, v[)$, $g''(a) \neq 0$ and there exists

$$\rho_1 := \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f'(x) \in \bar{\mathbf{R}},$$

then $f \in C^1(]u, v[) \cap C^2(]u, v[\setminus\{a\})$ and $f'(a) = -1$;

(viii) if $g \in C^3(]u, v[)$, $g''(a) \neq 0$ and there exist

$$\rho_1 := \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f'(x) \in \bar{\mathbf{R}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 := \lim_{x \rightarrow a} f''(x) \in \mathbf{R},$$

then $f \in C^2(]u, v[) \cap C^3(]u, v[\setminus\{a\})$ and

$$f''(a) = \rho_2 = -\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{g'''(a)}{g''(a)};$$

(ix) put $g_l = g|_{]u, a]}$, $g_r = g|_{[a, v[}$; then we have

$$f(x_0) = (g_r^{-1} \circ g_l)(x_0) = \sup\{x \in [a, v[; g_r(x) \leq g_l(x_0)\} \quad \forall x_0 \in]u, a]$$

and

$$f(x_0) = (g_l^{-1} \circ g_r)(x_0) = \inf\{x \in]u, a]; g_l(x) \leq g_r(x_0)\} \quad \forall x_0 \in [a, v[.$$

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1.1 [16]. The definition of f and some of its properties are clear if we use Figure 1 [16], p.62. A proof of the improved version stated in the present work will appear in [14].

Next we consider an operatorial version of Theorem 1.1. We shall use some of the notations of Theorem 1.10 [16]. So, X will be an order-complete vector lattice. Denote by $Izom_+(X)$ the set of all vector space isomorphisms $T : X \rightarrow X$ which apply X_+ onto itself.

1.2. Theorem. *Let X be an order-complete vector lattice with its positive convex cone X_+ , $a \in X$, A_l a convex subset such that*

$$a \in A_l \subset \{x \in X; x \leq a\}.$$

A_r a convex subset such that

$$a \in A_r \subset \{x \in X; x \geq a\}.$$

Let $g_l : A_l \rightarrow X$ be a convex operator such that

$$\partial g_l(x) \cap (-Izom_+(X)) \neq \Phi, \quad \forall x \in A_l \setminus \{a\}.$$

Let $g_r : A_r \rightarrow X$ be a convex operator such that

$$\partial g_r(x) \cap (Izom_+(X)) \neq \Phi, \quad \forall x \in A_r \setminus \{a\}.$$

We also assume that

$$g_l(a) = g_r(a) \quad \text{and} \quad R(g_l) = R(g_r),$$

where $R(g)$ is the range of g .

Let $g : A := A_l \cup A_r \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$g(x) := \begin{cases} g_l(x), & x \in A_l, \\ g_r(x), & x \in A_r. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists $F : A \rightarrow A$ such that

$$g(x) = g(F(x)), \quad \forall x \in A,$$

F is strictly decreasing on A and has the following properties:

- (i) a is the only fixed point of F ;
- (ii) there exists F^{-1} and $F^{-1} = F$ on A ;
- (iii)

$$F(x_0) = g_r^{-1}(g_l(x_0)) = \sup\{x \in A_r; g_r(x) \leq g_l(x_0)\} \quad \forall x_0 \in A_l,$$

$$F(x_0) = g_l^{-1}(g_r(x_0)) = \inf\{x \in A_l; g_l(x) \leq g_r(x_0)\} \quad \forall x_0 \in A_r.$$

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 1.10 [16], p.72-74. Note that this proof is based on some results from [8] concerning the construction of the inverse of a convex "monotone" operator.

2 Applications

2.1. Theorem. Let $\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu$ be positive real numbers such that $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\lambda \neq \mu$ and let $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $k \geq 1$. Then there exists a function $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$(1') \quad \begin{aligned} -\alpha x^{2k+1} - \lambda x &= \beta(f(x))^{2k+1} + \mu f(x) \quad \forall x < 0, \\ \beta x^{2k+1} + \mu x &= -\alpha(f(x))^{2k+1} - \lambda f(x) \quad \forall x > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and f has the following properties:

- (i) f is strictly decreasing on \mathbf{R} , $\lim_{x \searrow -\infty} f(x) = +\infty$, $\lim_{x \nearrow +\infty} f(x) = -\infty$;
- (ii) 0 is the only fixed point of f ;
- (iii) $f^{-1} = f$ on \mathbf{R} ;
- (iv) f is continuous on \mathbf{R} ;
- (v) $f \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$;
- (vi) if there exists $\rho_1 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f'(x) \in \bar{\mathbf{R}}$, then $f \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$ and $f'(0) = -1$;
- (vii) the following constructive formulae for $f(x_0)$ hold:

$$f(x_0) = \sup\{x \geq 0; \beta x^{2k+1} + \mu x \leq -\alpha x_0^{2k+1} - \lambda x_0\} \quad \forall x_0 < 0;$$

$$f(x_0) = \inf\{x \leq 0; -\alpha x^{2k+1} - \lambda x \leq \beta x_0^{2k+1} + \mu x_0\} \quad \forall x_0 > 0;$$

(viii) the straight line

$$y = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} x$$

is an asymptote at $-\infty$ for the graph of f , while

$$y = -\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} x$$

is an asymptote at $+\infty$;

(ix) if there exists $\alpha_1, \beta_1 \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\alpha = \alpha_1^{2k+1}, \quad \beta = \beta_1^{2k+1}, \quad (\alpha_1, \beta_1) = 1,$$

then for any prime negative integer x with $|x|$ sufficiently large, $f(x)$ cannot be a prime integer.

Proof. We apply Theorem 1.1 to $u := -\infty$, $v := +\infty$ and $g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$g(x) := -\alpha x^{2k+1} - \lambda x, \quad x \leq 0,$$

$$g(x) := \beta x^{2k+1} + \mu x, \quad x \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that g satisfies conditions (a), (b) of Theorem 1.1, where $w := +\infty$, $a := 0$. From Theorem 1.1, we infer that there exists $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that (1') hold and f has the properties (i)-(vii) mentioned in the statement of Theorem 2.1.

Now we prove (viii). We have to prove that $y = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} x$ is an asymptote at $-\infty$ for the graph of f (the corresponding assertion of (viii) at $+\infty$ can be proved in a similar way). The first equality (1') yields

$$(1'') \quad -\alpha - \frac{\lambda}{x^{2k}} = \beta \left(\frac{f(x)}{x}\right)^{2k+1} + \mu \frac{f(x)}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{x^{2k}}, \quad x < 0$$

Let $(x_n)_n$ be an arbitrary sequence such that

$$x_n \rightarrow -\infty.$$

Then the corresponding sequence $\left(\frac{f(x_n)}{x_n}\right)_n$ can be decomposed into its subsequences which converge in $\bar{\mathbf{R}}$. Let $\left(\frac{f(x_{l_n})}{x_{l_n}}\right)_n$ be such a subsequence, and put

$$s := \lim_n \frac{f(x_{l_n})}{x_{l_n}} \in \bar{\mathbf{R}}$$

Equality (1'') written for $x = x_{l_n}$ becomes

$$(1''') \quad -\alpha - \frac{\lambda}{x_{l_n}^{2k}} = \beta \left(\frac{f(x_{l_n})}{x_{l_n}} \right)^{2k+1} + \mu \frac{f(x_{l_n})}{x_{l_n}} \cdot \frac{1}{x_{l_n}^{2k}}, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Passing to the limit when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$-\alpha = \beta s^{2k+1} + \mu s \cdot 0 = s(\beta s^{2k} + 0).$$

It follows easily that we have

$$s = - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}}.$$

Thus for any subsequence $\left(\frac{f(x_{l_n})}{x_{l_n}} \right)_n$ which converges in $\bar{\mathbf{R}}$, its limit is the same, namely $-\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}}$. It follows that

$$x_n \rightarrow -\infty \Rightarrow \lim_n \frac{f(x_n)}{x_n} = - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}}.$$

This proves that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}}.$$

Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \left[f(x) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} x \right] = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\frac{f(x)}{x} + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}}}{x^{-1}} = \frac{0}{0} = \\ & = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{[f'(x) \cdot x - f(x)]x^{-2}}{-x^{-2}} = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} [f(x) - xf'(x)] = \\ & \stackrel{(1')}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \left[f(x) - x \cdot \frac{-(2k+1)\alpha x^{2k} - \lambda}{(2k+1)\beta(f(x))^{2k} + \mu} \right] = \\ & = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{(2k+1)[\beta(f(x))^{2k+1} + \alpha x^{2k+1}] + \mu f(x) + \lambda x}{(2k+1)\beta(f(x))^{2k} + \mu} = \\ & \stackrel{(1')}{=} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{(2k+1)[- \mu f(x) - \lambda x] + \mu f(x) + \lambda x}{(2k+1)\beta(f(x))^{2k} + \mu} = \\ & = -2k \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\mu f(x) + \lambda x}{(2k+1)\beta(f(x))^{2k} + \mu} = \\ & = -2k \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\mu + \lambda \frac{x}{f(x)}}{(2k+1)\beta(f(x))^{2k-1} + \frac{\mu}{f(x)}} = \\ & = -2k \cdot \frac{\mu + \lambda \left[- \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} \right]}{(+\infty)} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that $y = -\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} x$ is an asymptote at $-\infty$ for the graph of f .

Similarly, $y = -\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} x$ is an asymptote at $+\infty$.

Now we prove (ix). Assume that $\alpha, \beta, \alpha_1, \beta_1$ are as in hypothesis of (ix). We have already proved that

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \left[f(x) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} x \right].$$

Assuming that $x < 0$ with $|x|$ arbitrary large is a prime integer such that $f(x)$ is also a prime integer, one obtains:

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \left[f(x) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2k+1}} x \right] = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \left[f(x) + \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} x \right] = \frac{1}{\beta_1} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} [\beta_1 f(x) + \alpha_1 x],$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} [\beta_1 f(x) + \alpha_1 x].$$

If $\alpha_1, \beta_1, x, f(x)$ are integers, the last equality leads to

$$\beta_1 f(x) + \alpha_1 x = 0$$

for $|x|$ sufficiently large. This may be rewritten as

$$(2) \quad \beta_1 f(x) = -\alpha_1 x$$

Since we have assumed that $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = 1$, we deduce that

$$\alpha_1 |f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 |x.$$

But x and $f(x)$, are primes such that $x < 0$, $f(x) > 0$, so that we must have

$$\alpha_1 \in \{1, f(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1 \in \{1, -x\}.$$

For $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\beta_1 = 1$, (2) becomes

$$f(x) = -x.$$

Using (1'), this yields

$$-x^{2k+1} - \lambda x = -x^{2k+1} - \mu x, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \lambda = \mu,$$

which is impossible because of hypothesis $\lambda \neq \mu$.

The other cases, when $\alpha_1 = f(x)$ or $\beta_1 = -x$ cannot occur for $|x|$ sufficiently large, since α_1, β_1 are given constants and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = +\infty$.

The proof is complete. \square

2.2. Remark. An assertion which is similar to (ix) Theorem 2.1., but for positive prime integers sufficiently large holds.

Next we apply Theorem 1.2 to an operatorial version of Theorem 2.1, when x "becomes" a self-adjoint operator U acting on a Hilbert space.

Let H be a Hilbert space. Denote by $\mathcal{A}(H)$ the real vector space of all self-adjoint operators acting on H . Let T be a fixed element of $\mathcal{A}(H)$. Put

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_1(T) := \{U \in \mathcal{A}(H); UT = TU\},$$

$$X := \{U \in \mathcal{A}_1; UV = VU \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{A}_1\}$$

(see [5], p.303 – 305)

$$X_+ := \{U \in X; \langle U(h), h \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall h \in H\}.$$

It is known that X is an order-complete vector lattice and a commutative algebra. With these notations and definitions, we prove the following result.

2.3. Theorem. *Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $k \geq 1$ and let $\alpha, \lambda, \beta, \mu$ be positive real numbers such that $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\lambda \neq \mu$. Let*

$$A_l := \{U \in X; \sigma(U) \subset]-\infty, 0[\} \cup \{0\},$$

$$A_r := \{U \in X; \sigma(U) \subset]0, \infty[\} \cup \{0\},$$

where $\sigma(U)$ is the spectrum of U .

Put

$$A := A_l \cup A_r.$$

Then there exists a strictly decreasing map

$$F : A \rightarrow A$$

such that

$$(1^{IV}) \quad \begin{aligned} -\alpha U^{2k+1} - \lambda U &= \beta(F(U))^{2k+1} + \mu F(U) \quad \forall U \in A_l, \\ \beta U^{2k+1} + \mu U &= -\alpha(F(U))^{2k+1} - \lambda F(U) \quad \forall U \in A_r \end{aligned}$$

and F has the following properties

- (i) 0 is the only fixed point of F ;
- (ii) F is invertible and $F^{-1} = F$ on A ;
- (iii) F can be constructed by formulae

$$F(U_0) = \sup\{U \in A_r; \beta U^{2k+1} + \mu U \leq -\alpha U_0^{2k+1} - \lambda U_0\} \quad \forall U_0 \in A_l,$$

$$F(U_0) = \inf\{U \in A_l; -\alpha U^{2k+1} - \lambda U \leq \beta U_0^{2k+1} + \mu U_0\} \quad \forall U_0 \in A_r.$$

Proof. One applies Theorem 1.2 to X , A_l , A_r , A defined above and to

$$g_l(U) := -\alpha U^{2k+1} - \lambda U, \quad U \in A_l,$$

$$g_r(U) := \beta U^{2k+1} + \mu U, \quad U \in A_r.$$

Using the fact that the operators

$$P(U) = U^n, \quad n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}, \quad U \in X_+$$

are convex on X_+ (see [16]), it is easy to see that g_l and g_r are convex on A_l , respectively A_r . On the other hand, we have

$$g'_l(U)(V) = [-(2k+1)\alpha U^{2k} - \lambda I] \cdot V \leq 0$$

and

$$[g'_l(U)]^{-1}(V) = [-(2k+1)\alpha U^{2k} - \lambda I]^{-1} \cdot V \leq 0, \forall U \in A_l \setminus \{0\}, \forall V \in X_+$$

(the product of two permutable self-adjoint operators, one of which being negative and the other one being positive, is a negative operator). It follows that

$$g'_l(U) \in -Izom_+(X) \quad \forall U \in A_l \setminus \{0\}.$$

Similarly,

$$g'_r(U) \in Izom_+(X) \quad \forall U \in A_r \setminus \{0\}.$$

The last condition of Theorem 1.2 that we have to verify is

$$R(g_l) = R(g_r).$$

Let $g_l(U_1) \in R(g_l)$ be such that $U_1 \in A_l \setminus \{0\}$. Let $f : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be the function constructed in Theorem 2.1. Let

$$U_2 := F(U_1),$$

where $F(U_1)$ is as in Lemma 3.3.1 [4], p.227. Then

$$\sigma(U_2) = \sigma(F(U_1)) = f(\sigma(U_1)) \subset]0, +\infty[$$

$$(U_1 \in A_l \setminus \{0\} \Rightarrow \sigma(U_1) \subset]-\infty, 0[\Rightarrow f(\sigma(U_1)) \subset]0, +\infty[$$

since f applies $] -\infty, 0[$ onto $]0, +\infty[$. This leads to the fact that $U_2 \in A_r \setminus \{0\}$. On the other hand, the construction of f implies

$$g(t_1) = g(f(t_1)) \quad \forall t_1 < 0.$$

If we integrate this equality on the spectrum $\sigma(U_1) \subset]-\infty, 0[$, with respect to the spectral measure attached to U_1 , one obtains:

$$g_l(U_1) = g_r(F(U_1)) = g_r(U_2),$$

where we have seen that $U_2 \in A_r \setminus \{0\}$. It follows that for any $U_1 \in A_r \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$g_l(U_1) \in R(g_r).$$

Hence $R(g_l) \subset R(g_r)$. The converse relation can be proved similarly, so that one obtains

$$R(g_l) = R(g_r).$$

Now the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.2. The proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] N. Boboc, *Analiză Matematică I*, Editura Universității din București, 1999.
- [2] I. Colojoară, *Analiză Matematică*, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1983.
- [3] I. Colojoară, *Elemente de Teorie Spectrală*, Editura Academiei Române, București, 1968.
- [4] R. Cristescu, *Analiză Funcțională*, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1970.
- [5] R. Cristescu, *Ordered Vector Spaces and Linear Operators*, Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, 1976.
- [6] R. Cristescu, Gh. Grigore, C. Niculescu, G. Păltineanu, N. Popa and D.T. Vuza, *Structuri de Ordine în Analiza Funcțională*, Vol. 3, Editura Academiei Române, București, 1992.
- [7] R. Cristescu, R.-M. Dăneț, Gh. Grigore, C. Niculescu, G. Păltineanu, N. Popa and D.T. Vuza, *Ordered Structures in Functional Analysis*, Vol. 4, Editura Academiei Române, București, 2001.
- [8] C. Dumitrescu, O. Olteanu, *The inverse of a convex operator. Newton's method for convex operators*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Mechanical Engineering 53, 3-4 (1991), 23-29.
- [9] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, Fifth Edition, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 1979.
- [10] A. Kurosh, *Higher Algebra*, Mir Publishing, Moscow, 1972.
- [11] G. Moldoveanu, A. Olteanu, O. Olteanu, *An exponential functional equation solved by a general geometrical method*, manuscript.
- [12] C. Niculescu, *Fundamentele Analizei Matematice*, Vol. I. *Analiză pe Dreapta Reală*, Editura Academiei Române, București, 1996.
- [13] V. Olariu, O. Olteanu, *Analiză Matematică*, Editura Semne, București, 1999.
- [14] A. Olteanu, O. Olteanu, *Applications of a geometrical method of solving a functional equation*, manuscript.
- [15] A. Olteanu, O. Olteanu, *Solving some special functional equations by a general geometrical method*, manuscript.
- [16] O. Olteanu, Gh. Simion, *A new geometric aspect of the implicit function principle and Newton's method for operators*, Math. Reports 5 (55), 1(2003), 61-84.
- [17] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*, Mc. Graw-Hill, New York, 1964.
- [18] O. Stănășilă, *Analiză Matematică*, Editura Didactică și Pedagogică, București, 1981.

- [19] G.E. Şilov, *Analiză Matematică. Funcții de o Variabilă*, Editura Ştiinţifică și Enciclopedică, Bucureşti, 1985.
- [20] C. Udrişte, *Convex Functions and Optimization Methods on Riemannian Manifolds*, Mathematics and Its Applications, 297, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1994.
- [21] Fl.-H. Vasilescu, *Inițiere în Teoria Operatorilor Liniari*, Editura Tehnică, Bucureşti, 1987.

Alina Olteanu and Octav Olteanu
University Politehnica of Bucharest,
Department of Mathematics I,
Splaiul Independentei 313, RO-060032 Bucharest, Romania
email: oolteanu@mathem.pub.ro, alinaolteanu001@yahoo.ie